ABP Port Centric Survey Report

APLS-1174 Harbourside Port Talbot Survey Control & Topographic Survey

NGR: SS 755 885





APLS-1174 Harbourside Port Talbot Survey Control & Topographic Survey Survey Report

To: Sophie Young

Associated British Ports Port of Southampton

Ocean Gate Atlantic Way Southampton SO14 3QN

From: Andy Pitcher

AP Land Surveys Ltd. 21 Grandisson Drive

Ottery St Mary

Devon EX11 1JD

Tel: 01392 690 222 Fax: 01392 690 223

Job Reference: APLS-1174

Job Title: Harbourside, Port Talbot Topographic Survey



APLS-1174 Harbourside Port Talbot Survey Control & Topographic Survey Survey Report

Contents


- 2.0 Survey Control
- 3.0 Methodology
- 4.0 Presentation
- 5.0 Comments

Appendix 1 – Equipment List

Appendix 2 – Calibration Certificates

Appendix 3 – Installed Survey Control

Appendix 4 – Survey Drawings



Job Title: Harbourside, Port Talbot Topographic Survey



APLS-1174 Harbourside Port Talbot Survey Control & Topographic Survey Survey Report

Report of Survey

Job No: APLS-1174

Date of Survey: December 2021

1.0 Scope

- 1.1 Following the commission of AP Land Surveys Ltd by Sophie Young of ABP Ports to undertake a topographic survey of a site in Harbourside, Port Talbot attached are copies of the survey information.
- 1.2 Survey control and data has been collected in line with the survey brief provided by the client.

2.0 Survey Control

- 2.1 Temporary control stations (PK Nails or timber pegs) were installed within the site location to capture the survey information. Each monument has been observed twice for a period of 180 seconds with a dormant period of at least 20 minutes in line with the specification. Only control coordinates with RMSE's of <15mm have been accepted.</p>
- 2.2 All survey control has been reduced to OSGB 1936(2015) using the OSTN15 and OSGM15 parameters.
- 2.3 All surveyed heights are therefore relative to Ordnance Datum Newlyn.
- 2.4 Pairs of survey stations were left on the site to provide a fixed site datum for construction going forward.
- 2.5 Details of this fixed survey control can be found in Appendix 3.

3.0 Methodology

3.1 All topographic survey data has been surveyed using either a Leica TS16 robotic total station or by direct GPS measurement. Where access was

Job Reference: APLS-1174

Job Title: Harbourside, Port Talbot Topographic Survey



problematic or dangerous, reflector less measurements and steel tape observations have been made.

- 3.2 All site levelling has carried out using a digital Leica NA720 level.
- 3.3 All survey data has been reduced and collated using SCC version 13 and Leica Infinity version 3.4.3.

4.0 Presentation

4.1 All survey information has been produced as the following 2D AutoCAD drawings.

APLS-1174-001 to 005 – Site topography

Previews of these drawings can be found in Appendix 4.


5.0 Comments

- 5.1 Every effort has been made to collect all visible data on all areas of the site.

 Due to the nature of the terrain there were areas that were inaccessible due to dense vegetation and health & safety issues.
- 5.2 All visible service covers have been surveyed but there may be others that have not been located.

Andy Pitcher Director


AP Land Surveys Ltd.



Job Title: Harbourside, Port Talbot Topographic Survey



Appendix 1 **Equipment List**



Job Reference: APLS-1174 Job Title: Harbourside, Port Talbot Topographic Survey



APLS-1174 Port Centric – Harbourside port Talbot



Equipment List

Item	Number	Manufacturer & Model	Used (Yes/No)
Control Observation			
GNSS GX1230+Receiver	1	Leica 1200 series GNSS Base/Rover Unit with AX1202GG Antenna	No
GNSS GX1230+Receiver	1	Leica 1200 series GNSS Base/Rover Unit	No
GS18 GNSS Receiver	1	Leica Net Rover	Yes
GS14 GNSS Receiver	1	Leica Net Rover	Yes
Total Station Equipment			
Total Stations	1	Leica TS16 R1000 robotic Total Station	Yes
Traverse Tripods	2	Leica	Yes
Traverse Prisms	4	Leica GPR1 with carriers and stem	Yes
Mini Prism '0 const'	2	Leica GMP-111	No
Optical Plummet	1	Wild (Leica) ZNL (1:30,000)	No
Levelling Equipment			
Automatic Level	1	Leica Sprinter 250M (1.5mm)	Yes
4m Barcode Staff 1 Leica Sprinter Series		Leica Sprinter Series	Yes
5m Con Staff	1	Seco	No
Change Plate	1	YSSC	Yes
General Site Equipment			
Decontamination Set		Steriliser spray for equipment, antibacterial hand wipes	Yes
Site PPE		Yellow jacket, safety boots, road signs	Yes
Water Safety Gear		Life jacket, throw lines, Hi visibility clothing	No
Drilling Equipment		Rotary hammer drill and assorted bits, eye protection, gloves	Yes
Echosounder		SonarMite BTX Echosounder Odom Hydrotrack	No
Laser Scanner		Leica P40	No

Appendix 2 **Calibration Certificates**

Job Reference: APLS-1174 Job Title: Harbourside, Port Talbot Topographic Survey





Unit 2, St. Catherine's Park, Pengam Road, Cardiff, CF24 2RZ 029 2267 9583 | service@sunbeltsurvey.co.uk | sunbeltsurvey.co.uk

Certificate of Conformity

Certificate No.:

0000205316

Instrument Serial No.:

3010414

Prepared For:

A P Land Surveys Ltd

Instrument Make:

Leica

Instrument Model:

Leica TS16 I 5" R1000

This is to certify that the equipment detailed hereon has been inspected and unless otherwise stated conforms in all aspects to the manufacturer's original specifications or company work instructions.

Testing was carried out using equipment which is subject to regular verification and where applicable, is traceable to International/National standards.

Signed for and on behalf of Sunbelt Rentals - Survey

Dominic Barrett

Technician:

Dominic Barrett

Test Date:

01/07/2021

Retest Due:

01/07/2022



Unit 3, Exeter Trade Centre, Silvérton Road, Marsh Barton Trading Estate, Exeter, EX2 8LD 01392 824045 | service@sunbeltsurvey.co.uk | sunbeltsurvey.co.uk

Certificate of Conformity

Certificate No.: 0000220021

Instrument Serial No.: 5673890

Prepared For: A P Land Surveys Ltd

Instrument Make:

Leica

Instrument Model:

NA720

This is to certify that the equipment detailed hereon has been inspected and unless otherwise stated conforms in all aspects to the manufacturer's original specifications or company work instructions.

Testing was carried out using equipment which is subject to regular verification and where applicable, is traceable to International/National standards.

Signed for and on behalf of **Sunbelt Rentals - Survey**

Mike Blake

Technician:

Mike Blake

Test Date:

05/01/2022

Retest Due:

05/01/2023

Appendix 3 **Installed Survey Control**

Job Reference: APLS-1174 Job Title: Harbourside, Port Talbot Topographic Survey



AP Land Surveys Limited

21 Grandisson Drive, Ottery St Mary, Devon EX11 1JD Tel 01392 690 222 Fax 01392 690 223 www.aplandsurveys.co.uk



APB Port Centric - Port Talbot Installed Control Stations

Station ID: STN10

Easting: 275344.430 Northing: 188557.341 Elevation: 9.124mAOD

Description: MAG nail & washer installed in tarmac access road.

Photos:







AP Land Surveys Limited

21 Grandisson Drive, Ottery St Mary, Devon EX11 1JD Tel 01392 690 222 Fax 01392 690 223 www.aplandsurveys.co.uk



Installed Control Stations

 Station ID:
 STN11

 Easting:
 275398.920

 Northing:
 188635.081

 Elevation:
 10.647mAOD

Description: MAG nail & washer installed in tarmac access road


Photos:







Appendix 4 **Survey Drawings**



Job Reference: APLS-1174 Job Title: Harbourside, Port Talbot Topographic Survey





















LanzaTech UK Limited

Project Dragon – Sustainable Aviation Fuel (SAF) Production Facility: Production Development Zone (PDZ)

Exploratory Ground Investigation Report







This report has been prepared for LanzaTech UK Limited in accordance with the terms and conditions of appointment for Project Dragon – Sustainable Aviation Fuel (SAF) Production Facility: Production Development Zone (PDZ). Tweedie Evans Consulting Limited (TEC) cannot accept any responsibility for any use of or reliance on the contents by a third party.

Project Dragon – Sustainable Aviation Fuel (SAF) Production Facility: Production Development Zone (PDZ)

Exploratory Ground Investigation Report

ISSUE RECORD					
Report Refe	rence: 2111006.00	3.01			
Version	Date	Amendments Record	Prepared by	Checked by	Authorised by
-	June 2022	-			
			Mitchell Tucker	Hannah Jeffery/ Richard Evans	Richard Evans
Α	June 2023	Inclusion of up-dated UXO Risk			
		Assessment and minor changes to the text	Richard Evans	Claire Hooley	Richard Evans
В	July 2023	Further minor amendments			
		following comments from Turley	Richard Evans	Claire Hooley	Richard Evans
С	August 2023	Further minor amendments			
		following comments from Turley	Amy Butler	Richard Evans	Clare Hooley
D	August 2023	Further minor amendments	19	Themas	1001
		following comments from Turley	Reinier van der Kuip	Sophie Thomas	Richard Evans
Report Liabi	lity Date: June 202	2			

TEC is ISO 9001:2015 and ISO 14001:2015 certified by Advanced Certification Limited, a UKAS Accredited Certification Body (number 8872) and ISO 45001:2018 certified by QMS International Ltd for the scope of 'Specialist consultancy services across the UK in contaminated land assessment, ground engineering, waste management and construction phase monitoring'.

TEC
The Old Chapel
35a Southover
Wells
Somerset
BA5 1UH
Tel: 01749 677760
Email: info@tecon.co.uk

Project Dragon – Sustainable Aviation Fuel (SAF) Production Facility: Production Development Zone (PDZ) Exploratory Ground Investigation Report



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive	Summary
-----------	---------

Background Information	1	Introduction	1
Ground Investigation Methodology			
4 Ground Investigation Findings			
Land Contamination - Generic Quantitative Risk Assessment	3	Ground Investigation Methodology	5
6 Land Contamination - Conclusions and Recommendations 1	4	Ground Investigation Findings	8
	5	Land Contamination - Generic Quantitative Risk Assessment	11
7 Ground Engineering	6	Land Contamination - Conclusions and Recommendations	19
	7	Ground Engineering	21

Figures and Drawings

Figure 1	Site Location Plan
----------	--------------------

Figure 2 Exploratory Hole Location Plan

Appendices

Appendix A Site Photographs

Appendix B Exploratory Hole Logs

- TEC BH01 – BH04 - TEC WS01 – WS11 - TEC TP01 - TP19

Appendix C Geochemical Certificates of Analysis

- i2 Analytical Report No. 22-53683-2
- i2 Analytical Report No. 22-53919-1
- i2 Analytical Report No. 22-54703-2
- i2 Analytical Report No. 22-57758-1

Appendix D Geotechnical Laboratory Report

- K4 Soils Report No. 31765

- ELAB Report Nos. 22-40756 & 22-40182

Appendix E Generic Quantitative Risk Assessment: Human Health

Appendix F Generic Quantitative Risk Assessment: Controlled Waters

Appendix G Ground Gas Monitoring Results

Appendix H Risk Methodologies and Evaluation



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

	Client	LanzaTech UK Limited
SITE INFORMATION	Site Details	The site currently comprises a roughly rectangular disused parcel of land situated off Crown Wharf, within part of the dock area owned by Associated British Ports (ABP), in Port Talbot. The site covers an area of approximately 9.12 hectares, with the centre situated at approximately National Grid Reference 276420, 188660. The nearest postcode is SA13 1RA.
SITE INFO	Current Land Use and Description	The site currently comprises a disused plot with a large central concrete slab and several smaller slabs to the northeast. The rest of the site comprises overgrown with dense scrub vegetation, with extensive Japanese Knotweed contamination observed across the southern half of the site.
O ,	Proposed Development	While full details have not been provided to TEC at this stage, it is understood that the proposed development is to comprise a new facility for the production of synthetic jet fuel.
	Unexploded Ordnance	The ground conditions across the majority of the site it considered to have been unconducive to the detection of UXO during WWII. Bombs, including those with delayed-action fuzes, are recorded across the southern part of the docks, ie potentially within the site boundary. Potential repair works, indicative of bomb damage, have been observed to the metal refinery adjacent to the site. This, together with the recorded bombing to the copper works and the nearby steelworks, has resulted in the majority of the site being assessed at a Moderate Risk from German UXBs.
	Ground Investigation Rationale	The scope of the ground investigation works was to provide information regarding the site conditions and constraints including an assessment of the potential presence of contaminants of potential concern and determine the potential ground gas regime for the site. In addition, to make comments and general recommendations in relation to ground engineering for the proposed development, provided on the basis of the findings of the exploratory ground investigation.
GATION	Scope of Works	4No. Cable percussive boreholes to approximately 20mbgl to determine the depth of made ground and nature of the underlying natural ground materials and allow sampling of encountered materials. 19No. Trial pits and 11No. dynamic sample boreholes to provide spatial coverage across the accessible site area to characterise shallow ground conditions and allow sampling of encountered materials. Installation of shallow and deep ground gas and groundwater monitoring boreholes across the accessible site area.
GROUND INVESTIGATION	Ground Conditions	Made ground was encountered across the majority of the site to a maximum observed depth of >5.0mgbl. The made ground generally comprised dark brown silty gravelly sand with gravel of vesicular slag, concrete and rare clinker, brick and mudstone. Superficial Deposits (BLOWN SAND) Wind-blown sand was encountered in four exploratory locations, generally observed as very loose becoming medium dense yellowish brown fine to medium sand, recorded to depths of between 1.2 and >6.5mbgl. Superficial Deposits (TIDAL FLAT DEPOSITS) Tidal Flat Deposits were encountered across the site and found to vary in composition, but typically comprised either loose dark grey and black mottled silty sand, very soft dark grey slightly sandy slightly gravelly silt, or soft to firm dark grey slightly sandy gravelly clay. Peat beds were observed within the cable percussive boreholes, which varied from plastic dark grey amorphous peat to firm dark grey mottled brown pseudo-fibrous peat. Superficial Deposits (ALLUVIAL FAN DEPOSITS) Below the fine grained Tidal Flat Deposits either dense becoming very dense dark grey, greyish brown and brownish grey mottled gravelly sand and slightly sandy gravel with medium cobble content or medium dense to very dense greyish brown slightly sandy gravel with low cobble content was recorded at depths below 9.5m to 14.2mbgl. Gravel and cobbles were consistently observed to be mudstone and sandstone. Solid Geology (SOUTH WALES MIDDLE COAL FORMATION) The solid geology was recorded at BH04 only, at a depth of 17.7mbgl, comprising dark grey sandstone to a maximum recorded depth of 20.35mbgl.



	Contamination Characterisation	The following CoPCs have been identified within the sampled made ground materials, in relation to the anticipated commercial site end use:
		• PAHs — benzo(a)anthracene, chrysene, benzo(b)fluoranthene, benzo(a)pyrene, and dibenz(a,h)anthracene
		Further, crocidolite, chrysotile and amosite loose fibres and loose fibrous debris were encountered within made ground materials in 7No. locations.
		Leachable CoPCs (heavy metals) have been identified within sampled made ground. In addition, CoPCs (heavy metals, heavy end TPH fractions, PAHs and sulphate) have been recorded within the sampled perched water/upper groundwater. Marginal elevated contaminant concentrations (heavy metals and sulphate) have been recorded within the deeper groundwater in relation to conservative EQS values.
NOI		Based on the limited investigation and initial ground gas monitoring undertaken to-date together with the conceptual site model, it is possible that the site may potentially be considered as Characteristic Situation 3. However further monitoring would be required to confirm this regime.
IINAT	Identified Pollutant	Human health (end users and construction workers) – potential exposure to CoPCs and asbestos within the shallow made ground via ingestion, inhalation and dermal contact.
LAND CONTAMINATION	Linkages	 Human health (end users and construction workers) – potential ingress and accumulation of bulk ground gas into proposed structures and chronic inhalation by future site end users.
LAND		 Controlled Waters (Secondary Aquifers and docks) – potential vertical and horizontal migration of identified leachable contaminants within groundwater, along preferential pathways
	Remediation Appraisal / Likely Remedial Approach	It is anticipated that much of the proposed development will be laid to hardstanding or within the footprint of proposed structures, which will mitigate the pollutant pathways. However, where soft landscaping is proposed in such areas and where made ground remains after finished site levels have been achieved, localised exposure to potential contaminants and asbestos cannot be discounted and a suitable cover system may be required within areas of soft landscaping. Furthermore, given the recorded presence of asbestos, good working practice methods should be adopted as per current guidance prior to commencement of any groundworks/site preparation works on site.
		Given that the proposed development layout is unknown and given that the exploratory investigation across much of the site was not possible due to ecological constraints, additional ground investigation and monitoring works would be required within these areas in order to fully characterise the site and determine the requirement for any remedial works in relation to human health and controlled water receptors.
	Preliminary Foundation recommendations	It is considered likely that a number of structures within the site will be heavily loaded and will require piled foundations to transfer the imposed loads through the weak and compressible strata down to the stronger material at depth. Based on the ground conditions recorded todate, depending on the magnitude of the loads, some structures may need to be founded in the rocks of the South Wales Middle Coal Measures.
EERING		For structures that impose lower loads, but still require deep foundations to control settlement, displacement piles driven down to refusal in the granular Alluvial Fan Deposits overlying the rocks of the South Wales Middle Coal Measures, may be a suitable geotechnical solution.
NBN		For lightly loaded structures ground improvement may provide a viable and effective alternative to piling.
GROUND ENGINEERING		Due to the presence of made ground, the likely founding method and the recorded ground conditions at the site, it is considered likely that suspended floors will be required throughout the design. Notwithstanding this, should small independent buildings be required with light loadings and that can accommodate some amount of differential settlement without significant impact then it may be possible that ground bearing concrete floor slabs can be adopted.
		Further, while significant areas of slag materials were not encountered during the current works, should their presence be recorded, there would be the need to determine the extent of any potential expansion of these materials such that sufficient voiding is included within the design to accommodate such potential ground movement.

Project Dragon – Sustainable Aviation Fuel (SAF) Production Facility: Production Development Zone (PDZ) Exploratory Ground Investigation Report



RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER WORKS

Given the site information obtained to-date, further works would be recommended to fully determine the site conditions and constraints with regards to the proposed development. These would include:

- additional exploratory ground investigation works across areas of the site currently inaccessible, as well as additional groundwater and ground gas monitoring of existing boreholes to confirm preliminary assessments made as part of this report and to determine the nature and extent of any remedial measures required;
- due to the presence of potential contamination and ground gas across the site, there
 is likely to be the need to undertake a Foundation Works Risk Assessment in order to
 determine and minimise the risks associated with each founding method;
- due to the potential mining legacy risk, there may be the need to determine the presence (or absence) of voiding in the bedrock as part of the geotechnical assessment; and
- it is unclear as to whether the groundwater levels recorded at the site are influenced by the tide and therefore as part of the main ground investigation works, it is recommended that further monitoring is undertaken in order to determine this.

The results from the recommended further ground investigations/survey work and associated assessments will be provided for inclusion within the planning application for development at the site.

LanzaTech UK Limited Prepared by TEC



INTRODUCTION 1

1.1 **Terms of Reference**

1.1.1 TEC has been appointed by Costain on behalf of LanzaTech UK Limited to undertake a geoenvironmental and geotechnical assessment of land adjacent to Crown Wharf, Port Talbot, referred to as the 'Production Development Zone (PDZ)'. All works were undertaken in accordance with our proposal letter dated 07 March 2022 and referenced RE.2111006.001 004C.

1.2 Background

- 1.2.1 The site currently comprises a roughly rectangular disused parcel of land that features a large central concrete slab surrounded by dense overgrown vegetation, situated in part of the dock area owned by Associated British Ports (ABP), in Port Talbot (Figure 1). The site covers an area of approximately 9.12 hectares, with the centre situated at approximately National Grid Reference 276420, 188660. The nearest postcode is SA13 1RA.
- 1.2.2 It is noted that extensive Japanese Knotweed contamination has been observed across much of the site. The exploratory works detailed within this report have been restricted due to this as well as due to other ecological constraints.
- 1.2.3 While full details have not been provided to TEC, it is understood that the proposed development is to comprise a new facility for the production of sustainable jet fuel. Notwithstanding this, the Production Development Zone (PDZ) will include process/production plant and equipment, administration buildings, process control room, warehouse, workshop, laboratory, car and cycle parking provision and a new electrical substation.
- 1.2.4 A number of previous assessments have been undertaken on a site located approximately 250m to the west. These include the following reports:
 - Port Talbot Renewable Energy Plant Environmental Desk Study Report by Sinclair Knight Merz (SKM). Prepared for PrenergyPower, dated May 2006.
 - Port Talbot Renewable Energy Plant Phase II Geo-Environmental Investigation by Sinclair Knight Merz (SKM). Prepared for PrenergyPower, dated May 2007.
 - Port Talbot Renewable Energy Plant, Port Talbot Docks, Factual Report on Ground Investigation. Report ref: H8090, prepared for Atkins Limited on behalf of PrenergyPower, dated March 2009.
- 1.2.5 As public domain information, it is assumed that the data held within these previous reports can be utilised, although TEC hold no responsibility for the validity of third-party information. Reference should be made to the reports for full information.
- 1.2.6 In addition, a preliminary geoenvironmental and geotechnical assessment of the site was undertaken by TEC, as documented in the following report:
 - 'Project Dragon Sustainable Aviation Fuel (SAF) Production Facility: Production Development Zone (PDZ)- Desk Study' report ref: 2111006.002.01F. Prepared for LanzaTech UK Limited in May 2022 and revised in August 2023.
- 1.2.7 Reference should be made to these documents for full details although salient information in relation to this ground investigation report is summarised in the following section.
- 1.2.8 The aim of these works is to provide information on the land contamination risk and the ground engineering conditions and constraints associated with the site with regard to the proposed development.

1.3 Scope of Works

- 1.3.1 The scope of work undertaken as part of this report is presented below:
 - Land Contamination Generic Quantitative Risk Assessment: this phase of assessment involves updating the site conceptual model developed as part of the Preliminary Risk Assessment based on



Project Dragon - Sustainable Aviation Fuel (SAF) Production Facility: **Production Development Zone (PDZ) Exploratory Ground Investigation Report**



the findings of an exploratory ground investigation. Generic assessment criteria and assumptions, if appropriate, are used to identify relevant pollutant linkages.

- Ground Engineering: general recommendations in relation to ground engineering for the proposed development are provided on the basis of the findings of the exploratory ground investigation.
- 1.3.2 The above scope of work has been undertaken in accordance with current guidance such as LCRM - Land contamination: risk management (Environment Agency, 2023), BS10175+A2 (2017), BS5930:2015+A1:2020 and, where appropriate, Eurocode 7 and NHBC/ LABC.

LanzaTech UK Limited 2111006.003.01D Page 2

2 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

2.1 Previous Report Summary

- 2.1.1 Information for the site had also been obtained through previous assessment undertaken by TEC, as detailed within the following report:
 - 'Project Dragon Sustainable Aviation Fuel (SAF) Production Facility: Production Development Zone (PDZ) – Desk Study' report ref: 2111006.002.01F. Prepared for LanzaTech UK Limited in May 2022 and revised in August 2023.
- 2.1.2 It is noted that the above previous report by TEC reviews additional assessments undertaken at the site as detailed within the following documents:
 - Port Talbot Renewable Energy Plant Environmental Desk Study Report by Sinclair Knight Merz (SKM). Prepared for PrenergyPower, dated May 2006.
 - Port Talbot Renewable Energy Plant Phase II Geo-Environmental Investigation by Sinclair Knight Merz (SKM). Prepared for PrenergyPower, dated May 2007.
 - Port Talbot Renewable Energy Plant, Port Talbot Docks, Factual Report on Ground Investigation. Report ref: H8090, prepared for Atkins Limited on behalf of PrenergyPower, dated March 2009.
 - Detailed UXO Desk Study and Risk Assessment. Report ref: DRA-23-1532 rev1, prepared by Brimstone, dated April 2023.
- 2.1.3 Reference should be made to these documents for full details. It is assumed that these reports may be utilised as public domain information; however, TEC holds no liability with regards to the validity or the accuracy of the information contained within such third party reporting.
- 2.1.4 A summary of the relevant information from the previous TEC reporting, in relation to this assessment, is summarised in Table 2.1 below. Reference should be made to the previous report for full information.

Table 2.1: Previous TEC Site Report Summary

Earliest available historical mapping shows the site remained undeveloped until 1917 when a centrally located large factory building is identified on maps as 'Crown Preserved Coal Works'. This was demolished and replaced by factory buildings identified as 'Metal Refinery Works' by 1939 and later as 'Steel Ceilings Factory' and 'Wagon Repair Shop' with associated railway tracks. By 1939, additional railway lines were constructed on an embankment in the south of the site on the area previously recorded as 'sand'. By 1949, a large pond is present in the west of the site, along with a number of heaped areas of unknown constituents. Additional industrial buildings have been constructed along the northern perimeter, with three rectangular warehouse buildings constructed to the east on mapping dating to 1964. All buildings on site appear to have been demolished as of 2009.

Environmental Setting

The site is recorded to be underlain by superficial Tidal Flat Deposits (designated a Secondary (Undifferentiated) Aquifer of medium groundwater vulnerability), typically described as a consolidated soft silty clay with layers of sand, gravel and peat.

The underlying bedrock geology is recorded as the South Wales Middle Coal Measures (designated as a Secondary Aquifer A of medium groundwater vulnerability), described as grey coal bearing mudstones/siltstones with seatearths and minor sandstones.

In addition, the BGS reports the site and surrounding area to comprise 'Landscaped Ground', described as mainly redeveloped areas and others where extensive earth moving has occurred. Previous ground investigations at an adjacent site record made ground up to 5.5mbgl.

The nearest surface water features are the small ponds located on the site, followed by the adjacent docks.

There are no groundwater abstractions reported within 500m of the site. There are two discharge consents to groundwater reported within 500m of the site. The northern half of the site is reported to be located in an area with potential for groundwater flooding to occur at the surface, whilst the rest of the site having potential for groundwater flooding of property situated below ground level.

There are 19No. reported surface water abstraction records within 500m and 27No. reported discharge consents within 250m of the site. The site is not reported to be located within an area of extent of flooding from rivers and seas without defences (Flood Zone 1).





Coal Mining

It is reported that the site is located within an area which may be affected by coal mining activity, although is not located within a Development High Risk Area and therefore there is no requirement for a Coal Mining Risk Assessment to determine the mining legacy at the site.

The Mining and Ground Stability Datasheet included within the Envirocheck Report present in the Desk Study report prepared by TEC (Ref: 2111006.002.01A) indicates that the data is inconclusive with regard the presence of mining instability. The report also indicates that historical records indicate the presence of underground coal mining at Morfa Colliery, located approximately 310m to the southeast of the site.

The Consultants Coal Mining Report (ref: 51003005839001), also presented in the Desk Study report prepared by TEC, states there to be no records of 'probable unrecorded shallow workings' (<30m below ground level) at the site, no mine entries, recorded mine gas emissions or mining subsidence notice/claims in the vicinity of the site. Further, there are no records of future underground mining.

Notwithstanding the above, a previous site investigation on land to the west of the site recorded coal beds at varying depths between 31m and 47.3mbgl. One of the boreholes indicates the presence of a coal seam between 34m and 36mbgl; however, below this, potential backfilled workings are recorded to a depth of 37.5mbgl. As a consequence, although the risk of voiding below the site may be considered as being low, at this stage, it cannot be ruled out.

Identified Pollutant Linkages

Potential pollutant linkages that have been identified as part of this assessment include:

- Human Health (current and future site users) exposure to contaminants associated with
 potential made ground and potentially contaminative historic industrial processes on and in
 proximity to site through ingestion, inhalation and dermal contact pathways.
- Human Health (future site users and proposed structures) migration, ingress and accumulation
 of ground gases sourced from both potential made ground and potential organic-rich superficial
 deposits.
- Controlled Waters Leaching of potential contaminants from potential made ground/shallow soils and vertical and lateral migration through the saturated zone to controlled waters.

Ground Engineering

Multiple records of potential excavations or extractive industry usage are reported on site, including unspecified deposited materials, unspecified pits, railway embankments and railway cuttings. In addition, current and historical ponds are reported on site.

Potentially soft, compressible clay/silt/sand tidal flat deposits which could include peat as indicated from BGS borehole records, and/or potentially significant thicknesses of made ground, may yield low CBR and design subgrade surface modulus values requiring improvement of the subgrade to support the construction of a pavement foundation.

The potential for a high-water table may need consideration within the pavement design based on proximity to the docks and seasonal ponds observed on site.

Unexploded Ordanance

Following a Preliminary Risk Assessment, a Detailed UXO Desk Study and Risk Assessment has been completed by Brimstone (ref: DRA-23-1532 rev1, dated 19th April 2023) to assess the risk from Unexploded Ordnance (UXO) across the site.

The ground conditions across the majority of the site was unconducive to the detection of UXO. Bombs, including those with delayed-action fuzes, are recorded across the southern part of the docks, ie within the site. Potential repair works, indicative of bomb damage, have been observed to the metal refinery. This, together with the recorded bombing to the copper works and the nearby steelworks, has resulted in the majority of the site being assessed at a Moderate Risk from German UXBs.

Prior to the start of construction, it is recommended that a non-intrusive magnetometer survey is undertaken across the site and, in particular, within the areas of undeveloped open ground identified on the WWII mapping. Where anomalies are recorded there would be the need for further investigation to confirm/remove the risk. It should be noted that the recorded presence of slag within the made ground materials, may reduce the effectiveness of a magnetometer survey.

Once a piling layout has been finalised, an intrusive magnetometer survey would be required, comprising CPT testing at 2m centres to depth of 12m within the purposed pile layout. Again, where anomalies are recorded there would be the need for further investigation to confirm/remove the risk.



3 GROUND INVESTIGATION METHODOLOGY

3.1 Background

- 3.1.1 The exploratory ground investigation undertaken was designed to provide information on the ground conditions to aid with the design of the development; and to investigate the potential pollutant linkages identified as part of the Preliminary Risk Assessment (previous TEC report ref: 2111006.002.01A).
- 3.1.2 All site works were undertaken in accordance with BS5930:2015+A1:2020, BS10175+A2:2017 and, where appropriate, Eurocode 7. Works were supervised by a suitably experienced geoenvironmental consultant from TEC.
- 3.1.3 It is noted that the exploratory investigation was restricted due to the presence of Japanese Knotweed across the majority of the site area, together with other ecological constraints, restricting the areas in which works could be undertaken.

3.2 Methodology

3.2.1 A summary of the ground investigation works undertaken and the rationale for each location is provided in in Table 3.1, as follows:

Table 3.1: Summary of Ground Investigation Works

Investigation Method	Location	Date(s)	Location Rationale	Purpose
Trial Pitting (JCB 4CX)	TP01 - TP19	12.04.2022 – 13.04.2022	Positioned to provide spatial coverage across the site area	Characterisation of shallow ground conditions in areas where access was possible; and
				Collection of geochemical samples for analysis
Window Sample Borehole (Dando Terrier)	WS01, WS03/3a, WS06,	14.04.2022 & 21.04.2022	Positioned to provide spatial coverage across the site area	Characterisation of shallow ground conditions in areas where access was possible;
	WS07, WS10 &			Collection of geochemical samples for analysis; and
	WS11			Installation of monitoring wells for ground gas and groundwater
	WS02, WS04/4a, WS05,			Characterisation of shallow ground conditions in areas where access was possible; and
	WS08/8a & WS09			Collection of geochemical samples for analysis.
Cable Percussive Borehole	BH01 – BH04	04.04.2022 – 19.04.2022	Positioned to provide spatial coverage across the site area	Characterisation of deeper ground conditions in areas where access was possible and to prove depth to bedrock;
				Collection of geotechnical samples for analysis; and
				Installation of monitoring wells for ground gas and groundwater.

3.2.2 Exploratory hole locations are presented on Figure 2 and detailed descriptions of encountered ground conditions are shown on exploratory hole logs presented in Appendix B.

3.3 Field Testing

3.3.1 A summary of in situ field testing undertaken as part of these ground investigation works is provided in Table 3.2



Table 3.2: Summary of Field Testing

Field Test	Purpose
Hand Shear Vane (HSV)	Estimation of undrained shear strengths of cohesive strata
Pocket Penetrometer (PP)	Indication of unconfined compressive strength of cohesive strata
Standard Penetration Test (SPT)	Provision of strength profile of underlying ground conditions Provision of in situ densities of granular deposits
Photo-Ionisation Detector (PID)	Indication of the presence/concentrations of volatile organic compounds (VOCs)

3.4 Monitoring Works

3.4.1 A summary of the monitoring works completed at the site to date is provided below.

Table 3.3: Summary of Monitoring Works

Monitoring	Instrument/ Kit	No. of Rounds	Date(s)
Ground Gas	GFM436 Landfill Gas Monitor	3	09/05/2022-10/05/2022
			17/05/2022
			24/05/2022
Groundwater	Waterra inertial pump	1	09/05/2022-10/05/2022

3.5 Chemical Testing

- 3.5.1 Laboratory testing was scheduled on the basis of the Preliminary Risk Assessment and field observations.
- 3.5.2 Representative soil and groundwater samples were collected and chemically tested at i2 Analytical Ltd, a UKAS/MCERTS accredited laboratory, for a selection of the following parameters:

Soils (Totals)

- Heavy metals and metalloids;
- Total Organic Carbon (TOC);
- Phenols (monohydric);
- Total Cyanide;
- Sulphate, sulphide, elemental sulphur and pH;
- Speciated Polyaromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH);
- Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH-CWG), including BTEX and MTBE; and
- · Asbestos Screen.

Soils (Leachable)

- Heavy metals (arsenic, chromium, cadmium, copper, lead, selenium, zinc, barium, mercury, nickel, beryllium, vanadium and water soluble boron);
- Phenol (monohydric),
- Total Cyanide;
- Sulphate, sulphide, pH; and
- Speciated Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs).

Waters

Heavy metals and metalloids;

Project Dragon – Sustainable Aviation Fuel (SAF) Production Facility: Production Development Zone (PDZ) Exploratory Ground Investigation Report



- Phenols (monohydric);
- Total Cyanide;
- General Inorganics;
- Speciated Polyaromatic Hydrocarbons (PAH); and
- Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH-CWG), including BTEX and MTBE.
- 3.5.3 Geochemical certificates of analysis are presented Appendix C.

3.6 General Sampling

- 3.6.1 Samples were collected in accordance with the following guidance;
 - BS5930:2015+A1:2020 Code of practice for ground investigations;
 - BS-EN 1997-2:2007 Eurocode 7 Geotechnical design —Part 2: Ground investigation and testing
 - BS ISO 10175:2011+A2:2017 Investigation of potentially contaminated sites Code of practice;
 - BS ISO 18400-105 Soil quality Sampling Packaging, transport, storage and preservation of samples; and
 - BS ISO 18400-106 Soil quality Sampling Quality control and quality assurance.



4 GROUND INVESTIGATION FINDINGS

4.1 Introduction

4.1.1 A summary of encountered ground conditions for the site is provided below while detailed descriptions are shown on exploratory hole logs presented in Appendix B. Photographs of the materials encountered are presented within Appendix A.

Made Ground

- 4.1.2 Made ground was encountered from the surface in all locations, with the exception of BH03A and BH04. The made ground was generally observed as a dark brown silty gravelly sand with gravel of vesicular slag, concrete and rare clinker, brick and mudstone.
- 4.1.3 It is noted that a number of exploratory holes were terminated prematurely due the presence of underground obstructions (i.e. concrete slab (TP08, TP09, TP10) or gravel/cobbles of slag/concrete WS03, WS04/4A, WS08/8A).

Superficial Deposits (BLOWN SAND)

4.1.4 Wind-blown sand was encountered in four locations, from the ground surface in BH03A and BH04, and beneath the made ground in BH03 and WS05. This material was generally observed as very loose becoming medium dense yellowish brown fine to medium sand. The wind-blown sands were recorded to depths of between 1.2 and >6.5mbgl.

Superficial Deposits (TIDAL FLAT DEPOSITS)

- 4.1.5 Tidal Flat Deposits were encountered beneath the made ground materials or blown sands and was found to vary in composition across the site, but typically observed as either loose dark grey and black mottled silty sand, very soft dark grey slightly sandy slightly gravelly silt, or soft to firm dark grey slightly sandy gravelly clay.
- 4.1.6 Notably, within the cable percussive boreholes, the Tidal Flat Deposits were noted to contain beds of peat, which varied from plastic dark grey amorphous peat to firm dark grey mottled brown pseudo-fibrous peat.

Superficial Deposits (ALLUVIAL FAN DEPOSITS)

4.1.7 Below the fine grained Tidal Flat Deposits either dense becoming very dense dark grey, greyish brown and brownish grey mottled gravelly sand and slightly sandy gravel with medium cobble content or medium dense to very dense greyish brown slightly sandy gravel with low cobble content was recorded at depths below 9.5m to 14.2mbgl. Gravel and cobbles were consistently observed to be mudstone and sandstone.

Solid Geology (SOUTH WALES MIDDLE COAL FORMATION)

4.1.8 The solid geology was recorded at BH04at a depth of 17.7mbgl and was recorded as dark grey sandstone to a maximum recorded depth of 20.35mbgl.

4.2 Generalised Ground Profile

4.2.1 The general ground profile encountered at the site is summarised in Table 4.1 below.

Table 4.1: Generalised Ground Profile

Table 411. Generalised Ground		
Depth (mbgl)	Encountered Material	
MADE GROUND		
0.0 - 0.15/>5.0	Dark brown silty gravelly sand. Gravel of vesicular slag, concrete and rare clinker, brick, mudstone and occasional wood fragments.	
SUPERFICIAL DEPOSITS – BLOWN SAND		
0.0/2.0 – 1.2/>6.5 BH03/3A, WS05 (southern site area) BH04 (south-western site area)	Very loose becoming medium-dense yellowish brown fine to medium sand.	



Depth (mbgl)	Encountered Material				
SUPERFICIAL DEPOSITS – TIDAL FLAT DEPOSITS					
0.15/6.5 – 9.5/14.2	Interbedded very loose to loose gravelly silty sands, soft to firm slightly sandy slightly gravelly clays, very soft slightly sandy slightly gravelly silts, locally with pockets of plastic black amorphous peat.				
BH01 9.3-9.5m					
BH02 3.8-4.8m & 10.2-13.5m	Plastic amorphous to firm pseudo-fibrous peat.				
BH03A 10.5-13.5m					
BH04 9.0-13.0m					
SUPERFICIAL DEPOSITS – ALLUVIAL FAN DEPOSITS					
9.5/14.2 – 19.6/24.5	Medium-dense becoming very dense dark grey, greyish brown and brownish grey mottled gravelly sand and slightly sandy gravel with low to medium cobble content or slightly sandy gravel with low cobble content. Gravels and cobbles of mudstone and sandstone.				
SOLID GEOLOGY – SOUTH WALES MIDDLE COAL FORMATION					
17.7 - >20.35 (BH04 only)	Dark grey sandstone				

4.3 Groundwater

4.3.1 Groundwater observations recorded during the ground investigation works and standing depths within the boreholes recorded as part of subsequent monitoring visits are summarised in Table 4.2 below. Groundwater strikes/ observations are also shown on the exploratory hole logs in Appendix B.

Table 4.2: Summary of Groundwater Conditions

Location	Perched / Groundwater Strike (mbgl)	Standing Depths (mbgl)				Strata
		21.04.2022	09.05.2022	17.05.2022	24.05.2022	
BH01	0.6	1.91	2.75	2.14	2.22	Made Ground
	11.6					Alluvial Fan Deposits
BH02	-	3.95	4.33	3.95	4.82	Tidal Flat Deposits
BH03	0.6		-	-	-	Made Ground
	2.0	-				Blown Sand
вноза	0.6	3.42	3.77	3.32	4.4	Made Ground
	14.7					Alluvial Fan deposits
	15.2					
BH04	2.6	5.36	5.67	5.27	5.4	Tidal Flat Deposits
WS01	2.3	1.70	1.90	1.94	2.0	Made Ground
WS02	1.7	=	=	-	-	
WS03A	-	0.55	1.70	1.82	1.88	
WS06	-	0.35	0.35	0.32	0.37	
WS07	2.1	0.45	0.62	0.55	0.69	Tidal Flat Deposits
WS09	1.0	-	=	=	-	- Made Ground
WS10	2.4	1.89	2.10	-	2.12	
WS11	2.2	1.04	1.22	1.82	1.28	Tidal Flat Deposits
TP01	1.4	-	-	-	-	
TP02	2.3	-	-	-	-	Made Ground
TP03	2.85	-	=	=	-	Tidal Flat Deposits



Location	Perched / Groundwater			Strata		
	Strike (mbgl)	21.04.2022	09.05.2022	17.05.2022	24.05.2022	0
TP04	1.2	=	=	=	=	Made Ground
TP05	1.8	-	=	-	-	
TP12	2.1	=	=	=	=	Tidal Flat Deposits
TP13	2.3	=	=	-	=	
TP15	0.7	-	-	-	-	
TP17	1.0	=	=	=	=	- Made Ground
TP18	1.8	=	=	=	=	
TP19	0.9	-	-	-	-	

- 4.3.2 Perched groundwater was recorded within the made ground materials across the site, with a shallow groundwater body recorded within the superficial Tidal Flat Deposits, as well as a deeper groundwater body encountered in the Alluvial Fan Deposits in BH01 and BH03A.
- 4.3.3 It should be noted that groundwater conditions recorded during the investigation may not be representative of long-term conditions and that groundwater levels may vary in response to meteorological/ seasonal changes.

4.4 Contamination Observations

4.4.1 No visual or olfactory evidence of contamination was observed during the ground investigation. Screening of soil samples with a PID recorded a maximum concentration of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) of 1.4ppm from made ground materials recovered from TP10, whilst most other concentrations below or near to the instrument level of detect (i.e. 0.0ppm).

LanzaTech UK Limited 2111006.003.01D
Prepared by TEC Page 10

Exploratory Ground Investigation Report

5 LAND CONTAMINATION - GENERIC QUANTITATIVE RISK ASSESSMENT 5.1.1 The generic quantitative risk assessment comprises a screening of identified contaminants against generic assessment criteria (GAC) that are appropriate to the site setting and the receptors concerned. 5.2 **Human Health** Methodology 5.2.1 Detailed information on the background legislation and selection of the GAC used within this assessment for human health is presented in Appendix E. 5.2.2 The standard land use for the site, for use in this generic assessment, has been defined as "commercial" based on the proposed development and in accordance with current guidance. 5.2.3 As the site investigation methodology involved targeted sampling, statistical analysis has not been undertaken and the results have been directly compared to the GAC. Summary of Results 5.2.4 The full human health generic quantitative risk assessment is presented in Appendix E. Made Ground 5.2.5 The results of the assessment recorded (marginal) exceedances of the GAC and therefore, the following contaminants of potential concern (CoPC) have been identified within the made ground encountered; • PAHs – benzo(a)anthracene, chrysene, benzo(b)fluoranthene, benzo(a)pyrene, and dibenz(a,h)anthracene; 5.2.6 The laboratory screening for asbestos identified asbestos fragments/ fibres within 7No. samples of the made ground, identified as crocidolite, chrysotile and amosite loose fibres and loose fibrous debris. Further quantitative analysis was completed on these samples with the results indicating concentrations of <0.001% - 0.186% of asbestos by weight to be present.

5.3 Controlled Waters

Methodology

- 5.3.1 Detailed information on the background legislation and selection of the GAC (SSV) used within this assessment for controlled waters is presented in Appendix F.
- 5.3.2 Based on the conceptual understanding, the nearest significant controlled waters receptor is considered to be the underlying Secondary Aquifers considered likely to provide baseflow to the nearby Port Talbot Docks.
- 5.3.3 Therefore, an initial assessment of the potential risk to controlled waters has been undertaken by comparing the soil leachate analytical results and to published Environmental Quality Standards (EQS), where available. In the absence of EQS values, Drinking Water Standards have been utilised.

<u>Summary of Results - Leachate</u>

- 5.3.4 Leachate samples were collected form the made ground materials at 5No. locations across the site, the results are presented in Appendix C.
- 5.3.5 The results of the assessment recorded (marginal) exceedances of the GAC and therefore the following contaminants of potential concern (CoPC) have been identified within the leachate at the site:
 - Heavy metals (cadmium, copper, lead and zinc).
- 5.3.6 Notwithstanding this, it is noted that although the concentrations of these potential contaminants exceed the conservative EQS for coastal waters, they do not exceed the current UK Drinking Water Standards (where available).

LanzaTech UK Limited
Prepared by TEC



Exploratory Ground Investigation Report

- 5.3.7 Whilst it is acknowledged that exceedances of the SSVs for mercury, total cyanide and total phenol are recorded, this is due to the laboratory limit of detection being greater than the selected SSV. No leachable concentrations have been recorded within the sampled material above the laboratory limit of detection and no elevated total concentrations have been recorded within the soil samples analysed. Therefore, these are not considered to be contaminants of potential concern.
- 5.3.8 In addition, it should be noted that petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations (PAHs) were below limits of detection for all samples suggesting no potential gross or dissolved phase hydrocarbon contamination.

Summary of Results – Perched Water and Groundwater

- 5.3.9 Water samples were taken from each of the installed borehole monitoring wells (BH01 to BH04, WS01, WS03a, WS06-WS07, WS10-WS11).
- 5.3.10 The response zones within BH01 to BH04 was within the natural ground (Superficial Granular Deposits). The response zones within WS03a, WS06 and WS11 was within the superficial Tidal Flat Deposits.
- 5.3.11 The response zone of WS01 was located fully within the made ground, whereas in WS07 and WS10 the response zone was partly in the made ground and partly in the Tidal Flat Deposits.
- 5.3.12 The results of the groundwater samples are summarised in Appendix F.

Perched Water (Made Ground) and Upper Groundwater (Superficial Tidal Flat Deposits) – WS01, WS3A, WS06, WS07, WS10 and WS11

- 5.3.13 The results of the assessment recorded a number of exceedances of the GAC, and therefore, the following contaminants of potential concern (CoPC) have been identified within the perched water (made ground) and upper groundwater of the Tidal Flat Deposits at the site:
 - Heavy metals (antimony, arsenic, cobalt, lead, nickel and zinc)
 - TPH Aromatic (C12-C16, C16-C21 and C21-C35);
 - PAHs (naphthalene, anthracene and benzo(a)pyrene)
 - Sulphate.
- 5.3.14 Notwithstanding this, it is noted that, with the exception of benzo(a)pyrene, although the concentrations of these potential contaminants exceed the conservative EQS for coastal waters, the majority do not exceed the current UK Drinking Water Standards, (where available).
- 5.3.15 In addition, it is noted that no detectable VOC concentrations were recorded within the perched water/upper groundwater above the laboratory limit of detection. However, a number of detectable SVOC concentrations were reported above the laboratory limit of detection. These include the previously reported PAH concentrations as well as concentrations of 2,4-dimethylphenol, 2-methylnaphthalene, dibenzofuran, carbozole and anthraguinone
- 5.3.16 Whilst it is acknowledged that exceedances of the SSVs total cyanide and total phenol are recorded, this is due to the laboratory limit of detection being greater than the selected SSV. No concentrations have been recorded within the sampled perched/upper groundwater above the laboratory limit of detection and no elevated total or leachable concentrations have been recorded within the soil samples analysed. Therefore, these are not currently considered to be contaminants of potential concern.

Deeper Groundwater (Alluvial Fan Deposits and South Wales Middle Coal Measure Formation) – BH01 to BH04

- 5.3.17 The results of the assessment recorded a number of marginal exceedances of the GAC, and therefore, the following contaminants of potential concern (CoPC) have been identified within the deeper groundwater of the Alluvial Fan Deposits and the South Wales Middle Coal Measures Formation at the site:
 - Heavy metals (cadmium and cobalt); and
 - Sulphate.

- **Exploratory Ground Investigation Report**
- 5.3.18 Notwithstanding this, it is noted that although the concentrations of these potential contaminants exceed the conservative EQS for coastal waters, they do not exceed the current UK Drinking Water Standards, (where available).
- 5.3.19 Whilst it is acknowledged that exceedances of the SSVs for benzo(a)pyrene, total cyanide and total phenol are recorded, this is due to the laboratory limit of detection being greater than the selected SSV. No concentrations have been recorded within the sampled deeper groundwater above the laboratory limit of detection and no elevated total or leachable concentrations of total cyanide and total phenol have been recorded within the soil samples analysed. Therefore, these are not currently considered to be contaminants of potential concern for the deeper groundwater.
- 5.3.20 In addition, it should be noted that petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations (including TPHs, PAHs, VOCs and SVOCs) were below limits of detection for all samples suggesting no potential gross or dissolved phase hydrocarbon contamination.

5.4 Ground Gas Risk Assessment

5.4.1 The risks to the development from ground gases have been assessed in accordance with the empirical, semi-quantitative approach as outlined in BS8485:2015+A1:2019 (BS8485). This process includes combining the qualitative assessment of risk (using the CSM) with ground investigation and monitoring data to derive a Characteristic Situation for the site. Notwithstanding this, it noted that much of the site was inaccessible due to the presence of Japanese Knotweed as well as other ecological constraints, therefore the data obtained to date currently does not fully comply with BS8576:2013 and is for preliminary purposes only. Additional investigation and monitoring would be required to fully characterise the ground gas regime at the site in accordance with current guidance.

Conceptual Site Model Summary

5.4.2 The following potential sources of ground gas have been identified:

On Site Ground Gas Sources

- Made ground observed to a maximum depth of >5.0mbgl (including areas of historic heaped areas of unknown constituents and potentially infilled ponds);
- Organic material / Peat layers within the Superficial Tidal Flat Deposits; and
- Nearby (off site) historic coal mining activities.

Soil Organic Matter

5.4.3 8No. samples of the peat materials within the Superficial Tidal Flat Deposits were analysed for their soil organic matter content. The results are presented in Appendix D and summarised in Table 5.1.

Table 5.1: Soil Organic Matter Results Summary

Borehole	Depth (mbgl)	Strata	Soil Organic Matter (SOM%)
BH01	5.0	Tidal Flat Deposits (silt with peat lenses)	2.6
BH01	9.3	Tidal Flat Deposits (spongy fibrous peat)	5.8
BH02	3.9	Tidal Flat Deposits (firm fibrous peat)	10.0
BH02	10.4	Tidal Flat Deposits (plastic pseudo-fibrous peat)	7.2
вноза	10.5	Tidal Flat Deposits (plastic amorphous peat)	16.0
вноза	12.0	Tidal Flat Deposits (plastic pseudo-fibrous peat)	6.5
BH04	9.0	Tidal Flat Deposits (firm pseudo-fibrous peat)	1.0
BH04	11.5	Tidal Flat Deposits (plastic amorphous peat)	15.0

LanzaTech UK Limited 2111006.003.01D
Prepared by TEC Page 13





Ground Gas Monitoring Results

5.4.4 All gas monitoring was undertaken using a calibrated GFM 436 infra-red gas analyser fitted with an internal flow pod. The monitoring results are presented in Appendix G and summarised in Table 5.2.

Table 5.2: Summary of Ground Gas Monitoring Data

	Summary of Ground Gas Monitoring Data								
Borehole	Response Zone/Strata	Potential source of ground gas	No. of monitoring occasions	Maximum (Steady State) Methane (%)	Maximum Carbon dioxide (Steady State)	Minimum (Steady State) Oxygen (%)	Maximum (Steady State) Flow Rate (I/hr)	Water levels (mbgl)	Range of atmospheric pressure (mb)
BH01	Allowital			44	1.9	10.0	0.4	2.14 – 2.75	1010 – 1020
BH02	Alluvial Fan Deposits	Organic deposits		81	6.7	0.6	3.4	3.95 – 4.82	1005 – 1018
вноза				39	1.4	11.1	<0.1	3.32 – 4.40	1007 – 1022
BH04	Alluvial Fan Deposits and SWMCF	Organic deposits and potential off- site historic coal mining		71.9	2.5	3.9	<0.1	5.27 – 5.67	1006 – 1018
WS01	Made Ground	Potential infilled ponds /heaped material	3	<0.1	0.4	18.4	<0.1	1.90 – 2.0	1003 – 1018
WS03A	Tidal Flat	Peat layers / organic deposits		<0.1	1.9	16.4	0.1	1.70 – 1.88	1005 – 1018
WS06	Deposits			10.6	2.3	13.6	<0.1	0.32 – 0.37	1010 – 1017
WS07	Made Ground/	Potential infilled ground /		<0.1	0.3	20.0	<0.1	0.55 - 0.69	1010 – 1017
WS10	Tidal Flat Deposits	organic deposits		<0.1	<0.1	2.4	13.0	Dry – 2.12	1006 – 1017
WS11	Tidal Flat Deposits	Peat layers / organic deposits		<0.1	1.4	19.4	0.4	1.22 – 1.82	1006 – 1017

5.4.5 Monitoring has been undertaken during periods of generally high atmospheric pressures and, therefore, may not represent worst cast temporal conditions.

Borehole Hazardous Gas Flow Rate (Qhq)

Hazardous gas flow rates calculated for each borehole standpipe and for each monitoring event, together 5.4.6 with the resulting implied maximum Characteristic Situation (CS), are summarised in Table 5.3.

Table 5.3: Borehole Hazardous Gas Flow Rates

Borehole	Date	Methane – Hazardous Flow Rate CH ₄ Qhg (I/hr) ¹	Carbon Dioxide – Hazardous Flow Rate CO ₂ Qhg (I/hr) ¹	Implied CH₄ CS	Implied CO ₂ CS
	09/05/2022	0.0001	0.0001	1	1
BH01	17/05/2022	0.0001	0.0001	1	1
	24/05/2022	0.0001	0.0004	1	1

LanzaTech UK Limited 2111006.003.01D **Prepared by TEC** Page 14





Borehole	Date	Methane – Hazardous Flow Rate CH₄ Qhg (I/hr)¹	Carbon Dioxide – Hazardous Flow Rate CO ₂ Qhg (I/hr) ¹	Implied CH₄ CS	Implied CO₂ CS
	09/05/2022	2.261	0.1666	3	2
BH02	17/05/2022	2.673	0.211	3	2
	24/05/2022	0.0001	0.0001	2	1
	09/05/2022	0.0001	0.0001	1	1
вноза	17/05/2022	0.021	0.0008	1	1
	24/05/2022	0.0006	0.0014	1	1
	09/05/2022	0.0001	0.0018	1	1
BH04	17/05/2022	0.0557	0.0022	1	1
	24/05/2022	0.0719	0.0025	2	1
	09/05/2022	0.0001	0.0001	1	1
WS01	17/05/2022	0.0001	0.0004	1	1
	24/05/2022	0.0001	0.0001	1	1
	09/05/2022	0.0001	0.0001	1	1
WS03A	17/05/2022	0.0001	0.0016	1	1
	24/05/2022	0.0001	0.0019	1	1
	09/05/2022	0.0106	0.0023	1	1
WS06	17/05/2022	0.0001	0.0002	1	1
	24/05/2022	0.0001	0.0019	1	1
	09/05/2022	0.0001	0.0001	1	1
WS07	17/05/2022	0.0001	0.0003	1	1
	24/05/2022	0.0001	0.0003	1	1
	09/05/2022	0.0001	0.0001	1	1
WS10	17/05/2022	0.0001	0.0024	1	1
	24/05/2022	0.0001	0.0032	1	1
	09/05/2022	0.0004	0.0004	1	1
WS11	17/05/2022	0.0001	0.0009	1	1
	24/05/2022	0.0001	0.0014	1	1

Note 1: Where flow rates of <0.1l/hr or concentrations of <0.1% have been recorded, a value of 0.1 (limit of detection of the instrument) has been assumed to calculate the Q_{hg}

LanzaTech UK Limited 2111006.003.01D Prepared by TEC Page 15



Worst-Case Check

5.4.7 The plausible worst-case condition has also been calculated for each gas by multiplying the maximum recorded flow and gas concentration (for standpipes located in the same strata), to provide the worst-case implied CS, as summarised in Table 5.4.

Table 5.4: Worst Case Check - Ground Gas

Boreholes	Response Zone/ Strata	Max. Flow Rate (I/hr)	Max. Methane Conc. (%)	Max. Carbon Dioxide Conc. (%)	Maximum Methane – Hazardous Flow Rate CH ₄ Qhg (I/hr) ¹	Maximum Carbon Dioxide - Hazardous Flow Rate CO ₂ Qhg (I/hr) ¹	Implied CH ₄ CS	Implied CO ₂ CS
BH01, BH02, BH03A, BH04	Alluvial Fan Deposits and SWMCF	3.4	81	6.7	2.754	0.2278	3	2
WS01	Made Ground	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.0001	0.0004	1	1
WS03A, WS06, WS11	Tidal Flat Deposits	0.4	10.6	2.3	0.0424	0.0092	1	1
WS07, WS10	Made Ground/ Tidal Flat Deposits	0.1	0.1	3.2	0.0001	0.0032	1	1

Note 1: Where flow rates of <0.1l/hr or concentrations of <0.1% have been recorded, a value of 0.1 (limit of detection of the instrument) has been assumed to calculate the Q_{hg}

Gas Screening Values (GSVs)

5.4.8 It is considered that there is currently insufficient data to adequately characterise the site. However, the initial results indicate the site may be Characteristic Situation 3. Further ground investigation and additional monitoring would be required to fully characterise the ground gas regime at the site and inform the scope of ground gas protection measures required for any proposed development.

5.5 **Updated Conceptual Model**

5.5.1 The findings of the site investigation and the GQRA have been used to update the conceptual model and confirm the relevant pollutant linkages associated with the proposed development.

Identified Sources

5.5.2 Sources of contamination/ ground gas identified on and within the vicinity of the site are summarised below:

On Site Sources

- Made ground/unspecified deposited material; and
- Potentially infilled land;
- Organic natural ground

Off Site Sources

Surrounding current and historic industrial land uses, including coal mining, copper works, iron and steel works, warehouses, factories, depots, engineering works and railway sidings/mineral tramways.

2111006.003.01D Page 16



Identified Receptors

- 5.5.3 Receptors identified as part of this updated conceptual model are:
 - Current/future site users;
 - Construction workers;
 - Controlled waters (Secondary A Aquifer and Secondary (undifferentiated) Aquifer and surface waters);
 - Proposed development/ structures.

Identified Pathways

- 5.5.4 Potential contaminant pathways relating to the identified receptors and contaminants of concern include:
 - Dermal contact contact with soil, dust or water;
 - Ingestion ingestion of soil, dust or water;
 - Inhalation inhalation of soil, dust or vapours;
 - Vertical migration leaching of contaminants within the made ground/unsaturated zone resulting in vertical contaminant migration to the underlying aguifer;
 - Horizontal migrations lateral migration of contaminants within the saturated zone along preferential pathways;
 - Migration, ingress and accumulation of ground gases along preferential pathways.
- The updated conceptual model is presented in Table 5.5. References to risk estimations are made in 5.5.5 accordance with the methodology presented in CIRIA publication C552 (2001) titled 'Contaminated Land Risk Assessment: A Guide to Good Practice' and summarised in Appendix H.

2111006.003.01D Page 17

Project Dragon – Sustainable Aviation Fuel (SAF) Production Facility: Production Development Zone (PDZ) Exploratory Ground Investigation Report



Table 5.5: Updated Conceptual Model (Hazard Assessment and Risk Estimation)

Identified Hazard/ Source	Identified Receptor	Potential Pathway	Potential Consequence of Source-Pathway-Receptor Pollutant Linkage	Potential Likelihood for Source-Pathway-Receptor Pollutant Linkage	Risk Classification
Made ground/ infilled ground on site and potentially contaminative	Current and future site users and construction workers	Exposure to potential contaminants through ingestion, inhalation and dermal contact.	Medium	Low Likelihood to Likely: Laboratory analysis of the made ground encountered on site recorded elevated PAH concentrations, in excess of the assessment criteria for a commercial site end use. In addition, asbestos fibres/fibrous debris (<0.001% - 0.186% of asbestos by weight) was recorded within 7no. samples of made ground. Therefore, the risk cannot be fully discounted.	Low to Moderate Risk
processes				It is understood that much of the proposed development will be laid to hardstanding or within the footprint of proposed structures which will mitigate the pollutant pathways. However, where soft landscaping is proposed and where made ground materials remain on-site after finished site levels have been achieved, exposure to potential contaminants cannot be discounted. Additional investigation works in areas currently inaccessible would be required to confirm this preliminary assessment.	
	Controlled waters	Leaching of potential contaminants from made ground and vertical and lateral migration through the saturated zone to controlled waters.	Medium	Low Likelihood to Likely: Elevated leachable concentrations of heavy metals, have been recorded within made ground and elevated concentrations of heavy metals, PAHs and heavy-end TPH fractions have been recorded within the perched water/upper groundwater underlying the central and western site areas, in relation to conservative EQS GACs. Notwithstanding this, it is noted that only localised, marginal exceedances of limited heavy metals were recorded within the deeper groundwater sampled on site, in relation to conservative EQS values. In addition, it is noted that petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations (including TPHs, PAHs, VOCs and SVOCs) were below limits of detection for all samples of the deeper groundwater suggesting no potential gross or dissolved phase hydrocarbon contamination migration to the deeper groundwater body. Furthermore, given the generally cohesive nature of the superficial tidal flat deposits, significant vertical and horizontal contaminant migration is likely to be limited. Additional ground investigation and monitoring would be required to fully characterise the site.	Low Risk
	Current and future site users, construction workers and proposed development	Migration, ingress and accumulation of ground gasses.	Severe	Unlikely to Low Likelihood: Site observations and gas monitoring undertaken to date indicates the made ground encountered is considered unlikely to be a potential source of significant ground gas composition (with no visual evidence of degradable/ putrescible materials noted). Additional investigation and gas monitoring would be required to confirm he ground gas regime onsite in accordance with BS8576: 2013.	Low to Moderate Risk
Organic Rich natural ground on site and historic coal mining activities off site	Current and future site users, construction workers, controlled waters and proposed development/structures	Migration, ingress and inhalation of ground gasses.	Severe	Low Likelihood to Likely: Gas monitoring undertaken to date indicates the site may be placed in CS3, requiring ground gas protection measures to be incorporated within the proposed development. Additional investigation works and gas monitoring would be required to comply with BS8576: 2013 and to confirm this preliminary assessment of the ground gas regime on site.	Moderate Risk



LAND CONTAMINATION - CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 6 6.1 Introduction 6.1.1 No visual or olfactory evidence of significant contamination was noted during the ground investigation. 6.1.2 Laboratory analytical results of the encountered made ground materials reported localised exceedances of the GAC for a commercial site end use for a number of PAHs compounds, together with asbestos fibres/fragments and fibrous debris encountered in a number of locations. 6.1.3 Leachable CoPCs (heavy metals) have been identified within sampled made ground. In addition, CoPCs (heavy metals, heavy end TPH fractions, PAHs and sulphate) have been recorded within the sampled perched water/upper groundwater. Marginal elevated contaminant concentrations (heavy metals and sulphate) have been recorded within the deeper groundwater in relation to conservative EQS values. 6.1.4 Based on the limited ground gas monitoring undertaken to date, together with the conceptual site model, it is possible that the site may potentially be considered as Characteristic Situation 3. However, further monitoring would be recommended to confirm this regime. 6.1.5 No radon protective measures are considered necessary within the construction of new homes. 6.2 **Remedial Appraisal and Recommended Further Works** Human Health 6.2.1 Contaminants of potential concern (CoPC) have been recorded within made ground materials encountered in the accessible areas of the site, when considering a commercial site end use. 6.2.2 It is understood that much of the proposed development will be laid to hardstanding or within the footprint of proposed structures, which will mitigate the pollutant pathways. However, where soft landscaping is proposed in such areas and where made ground remains after finished site levels have been achieved, localised exposure to potential contaminants and asbestos cannot be discounted and a suitable cover system may be required within areas of soft landscaping. 6.2.3 Furthermore, given that the proposed development layout is unknown and given that the exploratory investigation across much of the site was not possible due to ecological constraints, additional ground investigation works would be required within these areas in order to fully characterise the site and determine the requirement for any remedial works in relation to human health. 6.2.4 Given the recorded presence of asbestos, good working practice methods should be adopted as per current guidance prior to commencement of any groundworks/site preparation works on site (e.g. CL:AIRE (2016) Control of Asbestos Regulations 2012 - Interpretation for Managing and Working with Asbestos in Soil and Construction and Demolition Materials: Industry guidance and, CIRIA Report C765 "Asbestos in soil and made ground good practice site guide" (2017)). **Controlled Waters** 6.2.5 Contaminants of potential concern (CoPC) have been recorded within the sampled groundwater in relation to conservative EQS values. 6.2.6 Given the generally cohesive component of the upper superficial Tidal Flat Deposits , the anticipated low mobility of the identified heavy end TPH fractions and PAHs recorded within the perched water and upper groundwater, and the presence of hardstanding across parts of the proposed development area which will limit the potential for infiltration and as such, the potential for contaminant migration is considered likely to be low. 6.2.7 Notwithstanding this, additional ground investigation and groundwater monitoring/sampling works would be required to confirm this preliminary assessment and the fully determine the potential risk to controlled waters on site and any potential remedial measures required. **Ground Gas** 6.2.8 Additional ground investigation in areas not currently accessible is required as well as additional ground gas

LanzaTech UK Limited 2111006.003.01D
Prepared by TEC Page 19

Project Dragon - Sustainable Aviation Fuel (SAF) Production Facility: **Production Development Zone (PDZ) Exploratory Ground Investigation Report**



monitoring of existing boreholes to confirm the ground gas regime on site and determine the need for and extent of any ground gas protections measures within the proposed development.

6.3 **General Considerations**

- 6.3.1 Given the presence of general made ground and asbestos, good brownfield site working practices should be adopted by construction workers to mitigate against potential risks.
- 6.3.2 Should water supply pipes be placed within the made ground encountered at the site, due consideration would need to be given to the UK Water Industry Research Ltd (UKWIR) guidance.

2111006.003.01D Page 20



7 GROUND ENGINEERING

7.1 Proposed Development

7.1.1 While details have not been provided to TEC at this stage, it is understood that the proposed development is to comprise a new facility for the production of synthetic jet fuel. As likely loadings derived from the proposed structures are not available for the proposed development, general recommendations with regards to the ground engineering have been made at this preliminary stage.

7.2 Site Preparation

- 7.2.1 Significant areas of Japanese Knotweed were observed across the site at the time of the site works. This will need to be controlled prior to the commencement of any construction activity.
- 7.2.2 A number of services are known to be present within the development area and may need to be diverted or protected during the works.
- 7.2.3 Areas of concrete hardstanding and ground slabs were observed across the site. It is considered likely that below ground structures associate with the historic buildings will be present and these will need to be removed as part of the enabling works.

7.3 UXO Mitigation Measures

- 7.3.1 The majority of the site has been assessed at a Moderate Risk from German UXBs and as a result, prior to the start of construction, it is recommended that a non-intrusive magnetometer survey is undertaken across the site and, in particular, within the areas of undeveloped open ground identified on the WWII mapping. Where anomalies are recorded there would be the need for further investigation to confirm/remove the risk. It should be noted that the recorded presence of slag within the made ground materials, may reduce the effectiveness of a magnetometer survey.
- 7.3.2 Once a piling layout has been finalised, an intrusive magnetometer survey would be required, comprising CPT testing at 2m centres to depth of 12m within the purposed pile layout. Again, where anomalies are recorded there would be the need for further investigation to confirm/remove the risk.

7.4 Geotechnical Test Data Summary

7.4.1 Laboratory test data are presented in Appendix D while in situ test results are presented on the engineering logs Appendix B.

Plasticity

7.4.2 Atterberg Limit tests were undertaken on 13no. cohesive Tidal Flats Deposits samples from BH01 to BH04, summarised in Table 7.1 below:

Table 7.1: Summary of Laboratory Test Results

Moisture Content	Plasticity Index (%)	% passing 425μm	Modified Plasticity	Volume Change
(%)		sieve	Index ⁽¹⁾ (%)	Potential ⁽¹⁾
22 – 58	10 - 24	86 – 100	10 – 22.1	Low to Medium

Note 1: Based on recommendations provided in the NHBC Standard

Particle Size Distribution

7.4.3 Particle Size Distribution (PSD) testing was undertaken on 14No. samples of the more granular superficial deposits, summarised in Table 7.2 below:

Table 7.2: Summary of PSD Laboratory Results

Stratum	Fines (<0.063mm) Component (%)	Sand Component (%)	Gravel Component (%)	
Superficial Blown Sands (granular)	1.9 – 25.4	73.3 - 97.8	0.3 – 22.3	
Superficial Tidal Flat Deposits (granular)	4.7 – 8.7	30.4 – 90.9	0.7 – 64.8	
Alluvial Fan Deposits (granular)	1.4 – 57.3	14.9 – 72.3	0.0 – 79.4	

LanzaTech UK Limited Prepared by TEC



Soil Strength

A summary of the geotechnical field test data is provided in Table 7.3 below. 7.4.4

SPT 'N' Values								
Strata (depth)	Number of Tests	Range of Results, 'N' Values Ranges	Equivalent Undrained Shear Strength (Cu, kPa) ⁽¹⁾					
Superficial Blown Sand: Very loose becoming medium-dense fine to medium sand (0.0->6.5m)	10	1 – 23 (Average: 9.2)	-					
Superficial Tidal Flat Deposits: Interbedded very loose to loose sands/soft to firm clays/very soft silts, locally with peat lenses (0.15 – 14.2m)	48	0 - 37 (Average: 5)	20					
Alluvial Fan Deposits: Medium-dense becoming very dense gravelly sand / slightly sandy gravel with low to medium cobble content /slightly sandy gravel with low cobble content (9.5-24.5m).	23	1 - >50 (Average: 48)	-					
South Wales Middle Coal Measures Formation: Dark grey sandstone (17.7->20.35m)	2	36 - >50 (Average: 43)	-					
Hand Shear Vane and Pocket Penetromet	er Results							
Strata (depth)	Number of Tests	Range of Results	Undrained Strength Classification (2)					
Superficial Tidal Flat Deposits	45	5 – 55 (Cu, kPa)	Extremely low to medium strength					
(where cohesive) (1.3-7.0m)	13	0.5 – 1.5 (kg/cm²) / 22.5 – 67.5 (Cu, kPa)	Low to medium strength					
Undrained Triaxial Results								
Strata (depth)	Number of Tests	Range of Results (Cu, kPa)	Undrained Strength Classification (2)					
Tidal Flat Deposits (Peat/peaty clay) (6.4 – 11.6m)	9	7 - 25 (Average 16.6)	Extremely low to low strength					
Tidal Flat Deposits (Clay) (5.5 – 5.65m)	3	13 – 14 (Average 13.6)	Very low strength					

Note 1: Based on published correlations (Stroud, 1975)

Note 2: BS5930:2015/ BS EN ISO 14688-2:2004

Wind Blown Sand

The Blown Sands are described as generally being loose to medium dense with uncorrected SPT 'N' values 7.4.5 of between 0 and 23 (average 9) being recorded, suggesting a friction angle, φ' , no greater than 30° may be appropriate.

LanzaTech UK Limited 2111006.003.01D **Prepared by TEC** Page 22



Tidal Flat Deposits

7.4.6 The testing undertaken to-date suggests the within the Tidal flat Deposits, where cohesive in nature, to be of low strength and it is suggested that a characteristic undrained strength of 15kN/m^2 may be appropriate for these materials. Further, while effective strength testing was not undertaken as part of the current scope, based on standard correlations with plasticity index, strength parameters of φ' of 28° (CIRIA 104) can be derived for these cohesive materials. However, when using the correlation with plasticity suggested in BS8002:2015 friction values of between 25° and 29.5° are derived.

7.4.7 Where granular in nature a correlated friction angle of \emptyset' of 25° may be derived (Schmertmann (1975), Meyerhoff (1956), Carter, M. and Bentley, S. (1991) and Swiss Standard SN 670 010b).

Alluvial Fan Deposits

7.4.8 Based on available information the sands and gravels recorded to underly the Tidal Flat Deposits at depth of between 9.5m to 14.2mbgl are considered to be very dense with uncorrected SPT 'N' values generally being >50 being recorded, suggesting a friction angle, ϕ' , in the order of 45° may be appropriate.

7.5 Tentative Design Parameters

7.5.1 Based on the available preliminary information, it is considered that the following tentative parameters may be appropriate for initial design purposes. However, it should be noted that additional geotechnical assessment will be required as part of the main ground investigation works. A summary of the preliminary design parameters id presented in below:

Table 7.4: Summary of Tentative Geotechnical Parameters

Property ⁽¹⁾	Blown Sands	Tidal Flat Deposits	Alluvial Fan Deposits
Unit Weight ⁽²⁾	17kN/m³	15-17kN/m3	20 - 21kN/m³
Plastic Index	-	10-24	-
Uncorrected SPT 'N' value	9	5	>50
Undrained Strength, Su	-	15kN/m ²⁽²⁾	-
Drained Friction, φ'	28° ⁽³⁾	26° ⁽⁴⁾	45° ⁽³⁾
Drained Modulus, E'	9MN/m ²⁽⁵⁾	-	>50MN/m ²⁽⁵⁾
Undrained Modulus, Eu	-	4-5MN/m ²⁽⁵⁾	-
Modulus of Compressibility, m _v	-	0.65m ² /MN ⁽²⁾	-


Notes:

- 1. Properties should not be considered as characteristic values for design purposes.
- 2. CIRIA Funder Report CP/7
- 3. Schmertmann (1975)
- 4. BS8002:2015 recommendations
- 5. CIRIA 143

7.6 Foundations

- 7.6.1 It is considered that conventional foundations are unlikely to be suitable for the proposed development and it is considered likely that a piled foundation solution will be required at the proposed development.
- 7.6.2 The underlying soils have been recorded to be of low to medium volume change potential, requiring standard voids to be incorporated into the design.
- 7.6.3 It is considered likely that a number of structures within the site will be heavily loaded. These loads will likely need to be transferred through the weak and compressible strata down to the stronger material at depth, by means of piled foundations. Based on the ground conditions recorded to-date, depending on the loads, some structures may need to be founded in the rocks of the South Wales Middle Coal Measures. As a result, there may be the need to determine the presence (or absence) of voiding in these strata and, if present, potentially, the piles may need to penetrate through coal seams/voids and socket into the underlying rock.

Project Dragon – Sustainable Aviation Fuel (SAF) Production Facility: **Production Development Zone (PDZ)**





- 7.6.4 For structures that impose lower loads, but still require deep foundations to control settlement, displacement piles driven down to refusal in the granular deposits overlying the rocks of the South Wales Middle Coal Measures may be a suitable geotechnical solution.
- 7.6.5 For lightly loaded structures ground improvement may provide a viable and effective alternative to piling.
- 7.6.6 It should be noted that due to the presence of potential contamination and ground gas across the site, there is likely to be the need to undertake a Foundation Works Risk assessment in order to determine and minimise the risks associated with each founding method.
- 7.6.7 Due to the presence of made ground, the likely founding method and the recorded ground conditions at the site, it is considered likely that suspended floors will be required throughout the design. Notwithstanding this, should small independent buildings be required with light loadings and that can accommodate some amount of differential settlement without significant impact then it may be possible that ground bearing concrete floor slabs can be adopted.
- 7.6.8 Further, while significant areas of slag materials were not encountered during the current works, should their presence be recorded, there would be the need to determine the extent of any potential expansion of these materials such that sufficient voiding is included within the design to accommodate such potential ground movement.
- 7.6.9 Groundwater was recorded at shallow depth. However, at this stage, it is unclear as to whether the groundwater levels recorded at the site are influenced by the tide and therefore as part of the main ground investigation works, it is recommended that further monitoring is undertaken in order to determine this.

7.7 **Preliminary Pavement Design**

7.7.1 While no testing was undertaken as part of the current phase of works to determine appropriate pavement design parameters, given the recorded thickness of made ground across the site, a CBR of <2.5% would be recommended where formations are within this material.

7.8 **Excavations**

- 7.8.1 Excavations at the site may be achievable using conventional equipment. However, it should be noted that many of the exploratory holes were noted to collapse due to instability of made ground or superficial blown sand, particularly were below the groundwater level.
- 7.8.2 Groundwater seepages/levels were recorded at shallow depths across the site and therefore groundwater ingress into excavations is considered likely to be problematic, particularly where the excavation is within the blown sands where instability is considered likely to occur.
- 7.8.3 It should be noted that groundwater levels might fluctuate according to the tide, the season and from year to year. This may have implications on recommendations, including those for foundations and excavations.

7.9 **Protection of Buried Concrete**

- 7.9.1 The desk study and observations of the encountered ground materials during the intrusive works indicate the site would be categorised as 'brownfield locations, including potential aggressive materials or leachates, except those containing pyrite'.
- 7.9.2 In addition, observations made during the intrusive works suggest the groundwater conditions to be 'mobile', as flow is likely to be into excavations or is percolating through the ground.
- 7.9.3 The results of the testing of the soil samples, together with the resulting Aggressive Chemical Environment for Concrete (ACEC) Class and Design Sulphate (DS) Class, as derived in accordance with BRE Special Digest 1, are presented in Table 7.5. The full laboratory results are presented in Appendix C and Appendix D.

LanzaTech UK Limited 2111006.003.01D Page 24



Table 7.5: Summary of ACEC


Stratum	No. of tests	рН	Water Soluble Sulphate (mg/l)	Sulphate Concentration in Groundwater (mg/l)	ACEC ⁽¹⁾	DS Class ⁽¹⁾
Made Ground	21	8.7 – 10.6	4.7 – 230	-	AC-1	DS-1
Tidal Flat Deposits	11	7.5 – 8.1	89 - 874	16.6 – 96.6	AC-2	DS-2
Alluvial Fan Deposits	5	7.5 – 8.0	22 - 302	142 - 411	AC-1	DS-1


Note 1: Based on a characteristic value derived for a data set of 5-9 samples per stratum (mean of the two highest sulphate test results and two lowest pH tests) as per BRE SD1


7.9.4 While further testing (including testing of groundwater samples) is recommended in order to comply with the requirements of the BRE guidance, provisionally buried concrete can be designed to DS-2.

TEC

LanzaTech UK Limited 2111006.003.01D Prepared by TEC Page 25







Appendix A
Site Photographs





Photograph 1: Excavation at TP03.



Photograph 2: Excavated materials from TP03.





Photograph 3: Excavation at TP05.



Photograph 4: Excavated materials from TP05.





Photograph 5: Excavation at TP12.



Photograph 6: Excavated materials from TP13.





Photograph 7: Excavation at TP14.



Photograph 8: WS01 0-5.0mbgl.





Photograph 9: WS02 materials.



Photograph 10: WS03A materials.




Phoenix Wharf, Port Talbot



Photograph 11: WS06 materials.


Appendix B
Exploratory Hole Logs



Remarks

Terminated at depth due to refusal on bedrock. Groundwater resting at 1.91mbgl after 24 hours.





Remarks

17.60

17.60 - 19.00

19.30

19.30 - 19.60

19.50

С

17B

С

18B

N=59 (2,3/59 for

246mm)

N=50

(10,11/13,37,0,0)

N=50 (25 for

18mm/50 for

0mm)

Water=0.60m

Water=2.80m

Water=3.10m

19.60

Terminated at depth due to refusal on bedrock. Groundwater resting at 1.91mbgl after 24 hours.




End of borehole at 19.6 m

17

18

19

20



8.00

10.20

Remarks

6.50 - 7.10

8.00

8.00 - 8.50

8.50 - 8.60

9.50

10.20 - 10.40

10.40 - 10.50

11U

12B

13D

14B

Terminated at depth due to refusal on bedrock. Groundwater resting at 3.95mbgl after 24 hours.

N=3 (1,0/1,1,0,1)

N=0 (1,0/0,0,0,0)



7

8

9

10


Very soft greyish brown slightly sandy SILT.

Sand is fine to medium (TIDAL FLAT

Plastic greyish brown mottled brown

Continued on next sheet

DEPOSITS).



Remarks

17.00

17.00 - 17.50

18.50

20.00

19.00 - 19.50 20B

С

19B

С

С

N=34

(4,8/7,7,10,10)

N=50 (10,11/12,11,13,1

N=33

(11,11/8,8,8,9)

Terminated at depth due to refusal on bedrock. Groundwater resting at 3.95mbgl after 24 hours.



Continued on next sheet


16

17

18

19

20




Remarks

Terminated at depth due to refusal on bedrock. Groundwater resting at 3.95mbgl after 24 hours.



				Borehole Log					Borehole No.	
te	ВН03									
Project News Phaseir What Part Tellet				Project No.			Co-ords: -		Sheet 1 of 1 Hole Type	
Project Name: Phoenix Wharf, Port Taibot			2111006.00	3			-	CP Scale		
Location: Port Talbot						Lev	/el:	mbgl	1:53	
Client: LanzaTech					Da	Dates: 11/04/2022 - 12/04/2022		Logged B MT	Ву	
Well Water Strikes		Samples and In Situ Testi			Depth (m)	Level	Legend	Stratum Description		
Well Strikes Strikes	1.00 1.00 - 2.00 2.00 2.00 - 3.00 3.00 - 4.00 4.00 - 5.00 5.00 - 6.00	Type 1D 2D 3D 4D 5D	N=26 (1,2/4,4,8,10) N=2 (1 for 375mm/2 for 75mm) N=13 (1,1/2,3,3,5) N=23 (2,4/6,5,6,6)	Information	2.00 6.50	(m)		Dark brown mottled black grave rare rootlets and low cobble cor of vesicular slag, brick and rare Cobbles of brick (MADE GROU Very loose becoming medium-c brown and greyish brown mottle medium SAND (BLOWN SAND) End of borehole at 6.5	elly SAND with ntent. Gravel concrete. ND).	1 - 2 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3 - 3
										10
Remarks	denth due to a	aturoto	ed sands undermining	ria stability	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1			
. Smilliated at t		auraic	a sands andermining	g stability.					AGS	



DEPOSITS).

Remarks

5.7

5.65 - 5.85

6.70

6.7

6.70 - 8.20

8.20 - 9.20

9.20 - 9.65 9.20 - 9.70

9.70

9.70 - 10.50

HV

7D

S

HV

9D

10D

12D S

13D

Terminated at depth due to refusal on bedrock. Groundwater resting at 3.42mbgl after 24 hours.

N=0 (1 for

450mm/0 for

0mm)

15kPa

N=1 (2 for 225mm/1 for 225mm)

15kPa



Continued on next sheet


6

7

8

9

10



20.60


Remarks

Terminated at depth due to refusal on bedrock. Groundwater resting at 3.42mbgl after 24 hours.

for 150mm)



End of borehole at 20.6 m



9.00

10.00

ale ale

DEPOSITS).

Remarks

8.00

9.00 - 9.20

9.50 - 9.95

6D

7U

Terminated at depth due to refusal on bedrock. Groundwater resting at 5.36mbgl after 24 hours.

N=4 (1,1/1,1,1,1) Casing=8.00m



Firm dark grey mottled brown pseudo-fibrous

PEAT with weak organic odour (TIDAL FLAT

Plastic dark grey amorphous PEAT with


Continued on next sheet

strong organic odour (TIDAL FLAT

8


9

10



										Borehole N	0.
	e					В	ore	ho	le Log	WS01	
					Project No.					Sheet 1 of	
Proje	ct Name	: Phoenix	Whar	f, Port Talbot	2111006.00	3	Со	-ords:	-	Hole Type WS	<i>,</i>
Locat	ion:	Port Talb	ot				Le	vel:	mbgl	Scale 1:33	
Client		LanzaTe				_		tes:	14/04/2022 - 14/04/2022	Logged By AB	у
Well	Water Strikes	Sa Depth (m)	mple Type	s and In Situ Test Results	ting Information	Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description	on	
		0.40 - 0.60 0.50	1ES PID	0ppmv N=11 (3,3/2,3,3,3)			(w)		Black and dark brown mottled c SAND with a weak organic odor brick, concrete and slag (MADE	ır. Gravels of	1 -
		2.00	С	N=3 (1,0/1,1,0,1)					Occasional wood fragments observed.		2 -
		3.00	С	7 (2,2/3,2,2,)							3 -
		4.00	С	N=7 (4,2/3,2,2,0)							4
Rema		5.00	С	N=0 (1,0/0,0,0,0)		5.00			End of borehole at 5.0 i	n	6

Groundwater encountered at 2.30mbgl, resting at 1.695mbgl after 24 hours.




Groundwater encountered at 1.70mbgl.



(te					le Log	Borehole No				
To at Name	Dh : aniv	`		Project No.					Sheet 1 of Hole Type	
Project Name			f, Port Talbot	2111006.003	3		ords:	<u>-</u>	WS Scale	
Location:	Port Talb					Lev		mbgl	1:33 Logged By	/
Client: Water	LanzaTeo Sa		s and In Situ Test	ina	Depth	Dat Level		14/04/2022 - 14/04/2022	AB	
Well Water Strikes	Depth (m)			Information	(m)	(m)	Legend			
Strikes	Depth (m)	Туре	Results	Information	(m) 0.70	(m)		Dark brown and black mottled si SAND with gravel of brick and cometal (MADE GROUND). Frequent rootlets observed Occasional rootlets observed End of borehole at 0.7 m	lty gravelly orroded	1 2 3 4 5 5
Remarks										

No groundwater encountered. Terminated at depth due to refusual on gravels and cobbles of slag.



No groundwater encountered during drilling. Groundwater resting at 0.55mbgl after 24 hours.



										Borehole N	
	9					Bo	ore	hol	le Log	WS04	
Projed	ct Name	: Phoenix	Wharf	, Port Talbot	Project No. 2111006.00	3	Co-	ords:	-	Sheet 1 of Hole Type WS	
Locat	ion:	Port Talb	ot				Lev	el:	mbgl	Scale 1:33	
Client	:	LanzaTe	ch				Dat	es:	14/04/2022 - 14/04/2022	Logged By AB	y
Well	Water	Sa Depth (m)	mples	and In Situ Tes Results	ting Information	Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description		
		1.00	C	N=50 (23,24/50 for 105mm)		1.00			Dark brown and black mottled s SAND with gravel of brick (MAD GROUND). End of borehole at 1.0 r	E	3


Remarks
Terminated at depth due to refusual on gravels and cobbles of slag/concrete. No groundwater encountered.



Client: LanzaTech Dates: 14/04/2022 - 14/04/2022 Logged By AB
Colorion: Port Talbot Level: mbgl Scale 1:33
Client: LanzaTech Dates: 14/04/2022 - 14/04/2022 Logged By AB
Well Water Strikes Samples and In Situ Testing Depth (m) Type Results Information New York New Y
Strikes Depth (m) Type Results Information (m) (m) Legend Dark brown and black mottled slitty gravelly SAND with gravel of brick (MADE GROUND). 1.00 C N=50 (23,24/50 for 115mm) 1.00 Tend of borehole at 1.0 m
1.00 C N=50 (23,24/50 for 115mm) 1.00 End of borehole at 1.0 m


Remarks
Terminated at depth due to refusual on gravels and cobbles of slag/concrete. No groundwater encountered.






Terminated at depth due to borehole collapse in Blown Sands. No groundwater encountered.





Groundwater was not encountered during drilling. Groundwater resting at 0.35mbgl after 24 hours.





Groundwater was encountered at 2.1mbgl, resting at 0.45mbgl after 1 hour.



										Borehole N	lo.
	e					В	ore	hol	le Log	WS08	
										Sheet 1 of	
Projed	ct Name	e: Phoenix	Whar	f, Port Talbot	Project No. 2111006.00	3	Co-	ords:	-	Hole Type WS	9
Locati	ion:	Port Talb	ot				Lev	el:	mbgl	Scale 1:33	
Client	:	LanzaTe	ch				Dat	es:	21/04/2022 - 21/04/2022	Logged By MT	у
Well	Water			s and In Situ Tes		Depth	Level	Legend	Stratum Description	on	
Well	Strikes				Information	0.40	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description Dark brown gravelly SAND with rootlets. Gravel of vesicular slag GROUND). End of borehole at 0.4 n	frequent (MADE	2
											5

Remarks
Groundwater was not encountered. Terminated at depth due to refusual on gravels and cobbles of slag.



	e					В	ore	hol	le Log	Borehole N	
Projec	ct Name	e: Phoenix	Whar	f, Port Talbot	Project No. 2111006.00			ords:	-	Sheet 1 of Hole Type WS	
Locati	on:	Port Talb	ot				Lev	el:	mbgl	Scale 1:33	
Client	:	LanzaTe					Dat	es:	21/04/2022 - 21/04/2022	Logged By MT	У
Well	Water Strikes			s and In Situ Tes Results	Information	Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend			
	<u>o trikes</u>	Depth (m) 0.30	С	N=0 (50 for 15mm/0 for 0mm)	Information	0.30	(m)		Dark brown gravelly SAND with rootlets. Gravel of vesicular slag GROUND). End of borehole at 0.3 r	frequent (MADE	2
											6

Remarks
Groundwater was not encountered. Terminated at depth due to refusual on gravels and cobbles of slag.



										Borehole N	
						Bo	ore	no	le Log		
Proje	ct Name	: Phoenix	Wharf	, Port Talbot	Project No. 2111006.00	3	Co-	ords:	-	Sheet 1 of Hole Typ WS	
Locat	ion:	Port Talb	ot				Lev	vel:	mbgl	Scale 1:33	
Client	:	LanzaTe	ch				Dat	ies:	21/04/2022 - 21/04/2022	Logged B MT	у
Well	Water			s and In Situ Te		Depth	Level	Legend	Stratum Description		
Well	Strikes	Depth (m)	Туре	Results	Information	0.30 0.90 1.10	(m)	Legend	Black slightly gravelly slightly clawith frequent rootlets. Gravel of GROUND). Black slightly gravelly slightly clawith concrete GROUND). Black mottled grey slightly grave with low cobble content. Gravel slag and clinker. Cobbles of slag GROUND). End of borehole at 1.1 in the slightly grave with low cobble content.	ayey SAND slag (MADE ayey SAND. e (MADE	2


Remarks
Groundwater was not encountered during drilling, resting at 1.0mbgl after 10 mins.



	te					Вс	ore	ho	le Log	Borehole N WS10	
Proje	ect Name	e: Phoenix	Whar	f, Port Talbot	Project No. 2111006.00	2	Co-	ords:	-	Sheet 1 of Hole Type WS	
Loca	tion:	Port Talb	ot		2111000.00	3	Lev	vel:	mbgl	Scale 1:33	
Clier	t:	LanzaTe	ch				Dat	es:	21/04/2022 - 21/04/2022	Logged By MT	У
Well	Water Strikes			s and In Situ Tes Results	ting Information	Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description		
	Strikes	0.20	PID	0ppmv	mormation	0.40	(111)		Brown gravelly SAND with frequ Gravel of slag (MADE GROUND Dark grey and black mottled gra Gravel of clinker, slag, mudstone	velly SAND.	-
		1.00	С	N=14 (5,5/5,3,3,3)					concrete (MADE GRÖUND). No recovery.		1 -
		2.00	С	N=6 (2,1/2,1,2,1)					Poor recovery.		2 -
		3.00	С	N=6 (1,1/2,1,2,1)		2.80			Loose locally dense black fine S occasional wood fragments and organic odour (TIDAL FLAT DEF No recovery.	weak	3 -
		4.00	С	N=37 (8,0/0,20,13,4)							4 -
		5.00	С	N=8 (2,2/2,2,2)		5.00			End of borehole at 5.0 n	·	5
											6

Remarks
Groundwater encountered at 2.4mbgl, resting at 1.89mbgl after 1 hour.





Groundwater encoutnered at 2.2mbgl, raising to 1.04mbgl after 1 hour.



	ec					Tr	ial	Pit Lo	9	Trialpit TP0 Sheet 1	1
Project Name: Locatio	Filoeii		, Port Talbot		ct No. 006.003		Co-ords Level: Dimensi Inclination	mbgl ions:	2.50m	Date 12/04/20 Scale 1:25)22
Client:	Lanza	Tech					Orientat			Logge AB	
Water Strike	S Depth	Samples Type	and In Situ Tes	sting Information	Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend		um Description		
S Ø	0.10	1ES	resuits	IIIIOIIIIatioii		, ,		Grass and moss ov SAND with frequer	ver dark brown slight nt rootlets. (MADE Gl	ly clayey ROUND)	
•	0.15	2ES			0.20			Loose* pale brown	slightly gravelly SAN	ID. Sand	1 -
					1.50			Ē	nd of pit at 1.5 m		2 —
											3
											4
Remarl		al pit term servation	inated due to s only.	idewall collapse	. Ground	water e	encounter	ed at 1.40mbgl. *De	ensity from field	46	5 -



	ec					Tr	ial	Pit Log	Trialpit No TP02	
Projec	:t			Proje	ct No.		Co-ords	S: -	Sheet 1 of Date	1
Name	Phoeni	x Wharf	, Port Talbot		006.003		Level:	mbgl	12/04/202	2
Locati	on: Port Ta	lbot					Dimens Inclinati		Scale 1:25	
Client	: Lanza1	Tech					Orienta	tion: °	Logged	
					1		Depth: 2	2.5m	→ AB	
Water Strike	S Depth	Type	and In Situ Tes	Information	Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Descriptio	n	
Rema	0.20 - 0.60 2.40 - 2.50 2.50	1ES 2ES PID	Oppmv	idewall collapse	2.50	water e	ncounter	Dark brown and black mottled sligi gravelly SAND with low cobble cor Gravel of brick, concrete and occal fragments (MADE GROUND). Frequent roots and rootlets observed. Occasional roots and rootlets observed. End of pit at 2.5 m	ntent of brick.	2
Stahili		table							AGS	3

Unstable

ec					Tr	ial	Pit Log	Trialpit No TP03 Sheet 1 of	
t Phoeni	ix Wharf.	Port Talbot						Date	
			211	11006.003				+	22
on: Port Ta	ılbot					Inclination		1:25	
Lanza	Гесh					1	tion: ° 6	Logged	
				Depth	Level	Legend	Stratum Description	AB	
Depth 1.20 - 1.80	1ES	Results	Information		(m)	Legend	Dark brown gravelly silty SAND with fr wood fragments and rootlets. Gravel of concrete (MADE GROUND). Frequent roots and rootlets observed. Loose* yellowish brown fine to medium with lenses of soft grey sandy silt (TID DEPOSITS).	n SAND AL FLAT	1 -
	Priderii Den: Port Ta Lanza S Depth	Depth Type	n: Port Talbot LanzaTech Samples and In Situ Te Depth Type Results	price Port Talbot LanzaTech Samples and In Situ Testing Depth Type Results Information	n: Port Talbot LanzaTech Samples and In Situ Testing Depth Type Results Information 0.30 1.20 - 1.80 1ES	Project No. 2111006.003 Depth Type Results Information 1.20 - 1.80 1ES Project No. 2111006.003 Depth Level (m) 2.10	Project No. 2111006.003 Dimension: Port Talbot LanzaTech Samples and In Situ Testing Depth Type Results Information 1.20 - 1.80 IES Depth IES 2.10	Procents whart, Port Talbot ItanzaTech Samples and In Situ Testing Depth Type Results Information 0.30 Depth (m) Level (m) Legend Stratum Description Dark brown gravelly sity SAND with free wood fragments and rooflets. Gravel or concrete (MADE GROUND). Frequent roots and rooflets of soft grey sandy silt (TID.) DEPOSITS). Firm grey, yellow and red mottled slight CLAY, Sand is fine to medium (TIDAL) DEPOSITS).	Trial Pit Log Thomas Wharf, Port Talbot Project No. 2111006.003 Port Talbot Lanza Tech Samples and In Situ Testing Depth Type Results Information Depth (m) Date (m) Depth Type Results Information Date (m) Depth Type Results Information Date (m) Depth Type Results Information Date (m) Depth Depth Depth (m) Date brown gravelly silly SAND with frequent wood fragments and rodlets. Gravel of brick and concrete MADE GROUND). Frequent roots and rodlets observed. Date brown gravelly silly SAND with frequent wood fragments and rodlets. Gravel of brick and concrete MADE GROUND. Frequent roots and rodlets observed. Date brown gravelly silly SAND with frequent wood fragments and rodlets. Gravel of brick and concrete MADE GROUND. Frequent roots and rodlets observed. Date brown gravelly silly SAND with frequent wood fragments and rodlets. Gravel of brick and concrete MADE GROUND. Frequent roots and rodlets observed. Depth Strom fire to medium SAND with lenses of soft grey sandy silt (TIDAL FLAT DEPOSITS). Firm grey, yellow and red mottled slightly sandy CLAY. Sand is fine to medium (TIDAL FLAT DEPOSITS).

Trial pit terminated due to sidewall collapse. Groundwater encountered at 2.85mbgl. *Density from field observation only. Remarks:



	ec					Tr	ial	Pit Log	Trialpit I TP04 Sheet 1	4
Projec	ct Phoeni	v Whar	f, Port Talbot		oject No.		Co-ords		Date	
Name	7.		i, i dit idibot	21	11006.003		Level: Dimens	mbgl sions: 2.90m	12/04/20 Scale	
Locati	ion: Port Ta	lbot					Inclinat		1:25	
Client	: Lanza	Tech					Orienta Depth:		Logge AB	d
<u>-</u> 0	s	amples	and In Situ Tes	stina	Depth	Level	ľ		AD.	
Water Strike	Depth	Туре	Results	Information	_ , ,	(m)	Legend	Stratum Description		
	0.80 - 1.00	1ES			1.40			Dark brown and black mottled gravell SAND with gravel of brick, concrete a wood fragments (MADE GROUND). Frequent roots and rootlets observed. Occasional roots and rootlets observed. End of pit at 1.4 m	y clayey nd frequent	2 — 3 — 5 — 5 — 5 — 5 — 5 — 5 — 5 — 5 — 5
Rema Stabili		l pit tern table	ninated due to si	dewall colla	ose. Grour	nawater	encounte	ered at 1.20mbgl	AG	S

								Dit I o	~	Trialpit I	
	EU						ıaı	Pit Lo	9	Sheet 1	
Projec	t _{Phooni}	v Whar	f, Port Talbot	Proje	ct No.		Co-ords	s: -		Date	
Name	: Filoeili	A VVIIAII	, FOIL IAIDOL	21110	006.003		Level: Dimens	mbgl	2.00m	12/04/20 Scale	
Locati	on: Port Ta	lbot					Inclinati	0		1:25	
Client:	LanzaT	ech					Oriental			Logge MT	d
e ë	S	amples	and In Situ Tes	sting	Depth	Level	ľ			1011	
Water Strike	Depth	Туре	Results	Information	(m)	(m)	Legend		tum Description		
W. Str	Depth 0.10 - 0.20	Type 1ES	Results	Information	1.80			Black slightly sand rootlets. Gravel of (MADE GROUND Yellowish brown be depth fine to med DEPOSITS).	dy gravelly SILT with f brick and wood fragn	nents vn with	2 -
Rema	rks: Trial	pit tern	ninated due to si	dewall collapse	e. Ground	dwater e	encounte	red at 1.80mbgl.			5 -
Stahili	tv: Une	tahle								AC	S

Unstable

Project Name: Phoenix Wharf, Port Talbot Location: Port Talbot Client: LanzaTech Samples and In Situ Testing Depth Type Results Information Depth (m) Dark brown silty gravelly SAND with frequent wood fragments and rootlets. Gravel of brick concrete (MADE GROUND). Loose* yellowish brown fine to medium SAN with lenses of grey sandy silt (TIDAL FLAT DEPOSITS).	Trialpit No TP06 Sheet 1 of 1
Dimensions: 2.80m	Date
Client: LanzaTech Samples and In Situ Testing Depth Type Results Information Depth (m) Dark brown silty gravelly SAND with frequen wood fragments and rootlets. Gravel of brick concrete (MADE GROUND). Loose* yellowish brown fine to medium SAN with lenses of grey sandy silt (TIDAL FLAT DEPOSITS).	12/04/2022 Scale
Depth Type Results Information (m) Legend Stratum Description Dark brown silty gravelly SAND with frequent wood fragments and rootlets. Gravel of brick concrete (MADE GROUND). Loose* yellowish brown fine to medium SAN with lenses of grey sandy silt (TIDAL FLAT DEPOSITS).	1:25 Logged AB
0.30 Dark brown silty gravelly SAND with frequen wood fragments and rootlets. Gravel of brick concrete (MADE GROUND). Loose* yellowish brown fine to medium SAN with lenses of grey sandy silt (TIDAL FLAT DEPOSITS).	
1.80 Firm grey, yellow and red mottled slightly san CLAY Sand is fine to medium (TIDAL FLAT DEPOSITS). End of pit at 2.0 m	ND -

Trial pit terminated due to sidewall collapse. No groundwater encountered. *Density from field observation only. Remarks:



Projec	ec			Proje	ct No.	Tr	rial	Pit Lo	g	Trialpit N TP07 Sheet 1 o	7
Name	: Filoeili		Port Talbot		006.003		Level:	mbgl	2.50	12/04/20	
Locati							Inclinati Oriental	on: ° E	2.50m	Scale 1:25 Logged	
Client	: LanzaT	ech			1	1	Depth:	1.0m		AB	
Water Strike			and In Situ Tes		Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stra	tum Description		
W SS	Depth 0.20 - 0.90	1ES	Results	Information	0.15	(")		frequent rootlets (Loose* pale brow occasional rootlet	mover slightly clayey MADE GROUND). In fine to medium SA (TIDAL FLAT DEP)	.ND with	1 —
											2 — 3 — 3 — 5 — 5 — 5 — 5 — 5 — 5 — 5 — 5

Trial pit terminated due to sidewall collapse. No groundwater encountered. *Density from field observation only. Remarks:



			1							- · · ·	
(te					Tr	ial	Pit I	Log		Trialpit I	
					• •	ıaı		_09		Sheet 1	of 1
Project P Name:	hoenix Wha	rf, Port Talbot		ect No. 006.003		Co-ords Level:	: - mbgl			Date 12/04/20	
ocation: P	ort Talbot					Dimens Inclinati		3.10m	, T	Scale	
						Orientat		0.80m		1:25 Logge	
Client: La	anzaTech					Depth: (o		AB	
Strike Dep		s and In Situ Tes		Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend		Stratum Descriptio	n		
Dep 0.10 - 0.2	0.35 1ES	Results Oppmv	Information	0.35	(m)		and a low	velly silty SAND with freq cobble content of slag ar slag, brick and slate (MA	uent ro	potlets k.	2
											4
Remarks:	Trial pit ter	minated at 0.35m	bgl on concret	e slab. No	ground	dwater er	ncountered			AG	.

Stable

	ec					Tr	ial	Pit Lo	g	Trialpit No TP09
Projec	+			Proie	ct No.		Co-ords	 S: -		Sheet 1 of 1 Date
Name	Phoeni	ix Wharf	, Port Talbot		006.003		Level:	mbgl		12/04/2022
Locati	on: Port Ta	llbot					Dimens Inclinat		2.50m	Scale
<u> </u>							Orienta			1:25 Logged
Client	: Lanza1	lech					Depth:	0.8m		AB
Water Strike	S	amples	and In Situ Te		Depth		Legend	Stra	tum Description	
Wa	Depth	Туре	Results	Information	(m)	(m)	××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××××			
	0.20 - 0.60 0.40	1ES PID	Oppmv		0.75			brick, slag, concre GROUND).	SAND with a high or ag and concrete. Grate and clinker (MADE	2 —
			. ,					<u> </u>		5 —
Rema Stabili			ninated at 0.75r	nbgl on concrete	e slab. N	o groun	dwater e	encountered.		AGS

Stable

	ec						Tr	ial	Pit Log	J	Trialpit N	0
Projec	ot Dhaani	i \ \ /	f David Tallbad	F	Project No	0.		Co-ords	: -		Sheet 1 o	
Name	: Prioerii	ıx vviiai	f, Port Talbot	2	2111006.0	003		Level: Dimensi	mbgl		12/04/20	
_ocati	on: Port Ta	albot						Inclination		2.50m	Scale 1:25	
Client	: Lanza	Tech						Orientat	<u> </u>		Logge	
	9	amnlos	and In Situ Te	stina				Depth: 0).8m <u> </u>		AB	
Water Strike			1		,	epth m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratu	m Description		
We We Str	Depth 0.10 - 0.15 0.15	Type 1ES PID	Results 1ppmv	Informat		m) .80	(m)		Black gravelly slightl cobble content of bri and clinker with occa (MADE GROUND). Frequent rootlets observe	y silty SAND with a ck and slag. Grave asional brick and ch	l of slag	2 3
												4 —
												5
Rema	rks: Tria	l pit terr	ninated at 0.80m	nbgl on con	crete slat	b. No	ground	lwater en	countered.		AG	n

Stable

	ec					Tr	ial	Pit Log	Trialpit TP1	1
Project Name	ct Phoeni	ix Wharf	f, Port Talbot	I	oject No.		Co-ords		Date	
				21	11006.003		Level: Dimens	mbgl ions: 2.50m	12/04/20 Scale	
Locati	ion: Port Ta	ılbot					Inclinati		1:25	
Client	: Lanza	Гесh					Oriental		Logge AB	d
<u> </u>	s	amples	and In Situ Te	stina	Depth	Lovel	Depth:	1.3111	Ab	
Water Strike	Depth	Туре	Results	Information		Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description		
- "	0.10 - 0.20	1ES			0.25			Dark brown gravelly silty SAND with fr wood fragments and rootlets. Gravel c concrete (MADE GROUND).	f brick and	
					1.30			Loose* yellowish brown fine to mediur with lenses of grey sandy silt (TIDAL F DEPOSITS).	n SAND FLAT	1
										3 -
										4 -

Trial pit terminated due to sidewall collapse. No groundwater encountered. *Density from field observation only. Remarks:



	ec					Tr	ial	Pit Lo	g	TP12	2
Proje	ct Phoenix	Wharf	, Port Talbot		oject No.		Co-ords	s: -		Date	
Name	7.			21	11006.003		Level: Dimens	mbgl sions:	2.70m		
Locat Client							Inclinati Orienta Depth:	ion: ° E		12/04/202 70m Scale 1:25 Logged AB Pescription SAND with frequent ets. Gravel of brick and D). Gravel of slag, corroded E GROUND).	
Water Strike		amples Type	and In Situ Te	sting Informatio	Depth (m)	Level (m)	I		atum Description		
					0.40			wood fragments a concrete (MADE	GROUND).	brick and	-
—	0.60 - 1.20	1ES			2.10			metal and concre	te (MADE GROUND).		1
					2.20			with lenses of gre DEPOSITS).	y sandy silt (TIDAL FL	JAND LAT ,	3

Trial pit terminated due to sidewall collapse. Groundwater encountered at 2.10mbgl. *Density from field observation only. Remarks:



Project Name		ix Wharf,	Port Talbot	-	ect No.	Tr	Co-ords	Pit Log	Trialpit N TP13 Sheet 1 o Date 12/04/202	f 1
Locati		albot		2111	1000.003		Dimens	ions: 2.40m	Scale 1:25	ZZ
Client:	Lanza	Гесһ					Orienta Depth:	tion: °	Logged AB	l
Water Strike			and In Situ Te	1	Depth	Level	Legend	Stratum Description		
We Str	Depth	Type	Results	Information	(m) 0.30	(m)		Dark brown gravelly silty SAND with fre wood fragments and rootlets. Gravel of concrete (MADE GROUND). Brown gravely SAND with gravels of coand slag (MADE GROUND).	brick and	
	1.00	1ES PID	0ppmv		0.80			Grey slightly gravelly clayey fine to coa with gravels of fine to medium angular angular mudstone (TIDAL FLAT DEPO	to sub-	1
					2.30			End of pit at 2.3 m		3 —

Trial pit terminated due to sidewall collapse. Groundwater encountered at 2.30mbgl. *Density from field observation only. Remarks:

Stability: Unstable



5 -

	ec					Tr	ial	Pit Log	Trialpit N TP14 Sheet 1 c	4
Projec	t Phoeni	x Wharf	f, Port Talbot		ect No.		Co-ords		Date	
Name:	•			2111	006.003		Level: Dimens	mbgl ions: 2.40m	12/04/20 Scale	
Location							Inclinati Orienta	on: °	1:25 Logged	
Client:	LanzaT	ech			_		Depth: 2	2.0m	MT	
Water Strike			and In Situ Tes		Depth (m)	Level (m)	Legend	Stratum Description		
× 10	Depth	Type	Results	Information	0.80			Black gravelly SAND with low cobble coccasional rootlets. Gravel of brick and fragments. Cobbles of brick (MADE GROOT) Orangish brown SAND with occasional of greyish brown silt (TIDAL FLAT DEP	wood ROUND).	
					2.00					1 —
					2.00			End of pit at 2.0 m		3 —
										4
										5 —
Remai	rks: Trial	pit term	ninated due to si	dewall collapse	e. Ground	water w	vas not ei	ncountered.	AG	S

Unstable

	ec					Tr	ial	Pit	Log		Trialpit I TP1	5
Projec	ot Phoeni	ix Wharf	f, Port Talbot		ct No.		Co-ords				Date	!
Name	·-		, I oit idica:	21110	006.003		Level: Dimens		2.50n	า	13/04/20 Scale	
Locati	ion: Port Ta	albot ———					Inclinati	ion: °	0.70m		1:25	
Client	: Lanza	Tech					Oriental		0.7		Logge AB	d
Water Strike			and In Situ Te	1	Depth	Level	Legend		Stratum Descr	iption		
Wa	Depth	Туре	Results	Information	(m)	(m)		Dark bro			avel of	T
We Wt	Depth 0.80 - 1.20	1ES	Results	Information	1.40	(m)		chert, co	wn gravelly silty SAN nrete, metal and woo GROUND). End of pit at 1.4	D with gra	avel of its	3
												5 —
Rema		l pit term	ninated due to s	sidewall collapse	Ground	lwater e	ncounter	ed at 0.70	mbgl.		AG	S

Project No. Co-ords - No. Level in high 1304/2022 13		ec					Tr	ial	Pit Log	Trialpit N TP16	6
Collection Port Taibbot Por	Projec	t Phoeni	x Wharf,	, Port Talbot							
Cilent: LanzaTech Samples and In Situ Testing Depth Type Results Information O.20 - 0.80 IES Depth Level Legend Stratum Description Black graving sity SAND with a high cobble content of brick. Graving sity SAND with a high cobble (MADE GROUND). Information: Open Type Results Information O.80 IES Depth Level Legend Stratum Description Depth Level Legend Stratum Description Information: Open Type Results Information Popular Information Information O.80 IES Depth Level Legend Stratum Description Information: Open Type Results Information		•			21110	006.003					
Samples and in Situ Testing Depth Evel Evel Stratum Description	Locati	on: Port la	IDOT					_		1:25	
Depth Type Results Information (m) (m)	Client	Lanza	Tech					1	1.4m		u
Black gravely elly SAND with a high cobble control of brick (Gravels of brick and concrete (MADE GROUND). Loose' pale brown fine to medium SAND with lenses of grey sandy silt. 1.40 End of pila 11.4 m 2 5 5	er (e	s	amples	and In Situ Tes	sting	Depth		Logond	Stratum Dogarintion	1	
0.20 - 0.80 1ES 0.30	Wal Stri	Depth	Туре	Results	Information	(m)	(m)	Legend			ı
Loose figrey sandy silt. 1.40 End of pil at 1.4 m 2 -		0.20 - 0.80	1ES						content of brick. Gravels of brick and o	obble oncrete	-
2-						0.90			Loose* pale brown fine to medium SAI lenses of grey sandy silt.	ND with	1 -
4-						1.40		**************************************	End of pit at 1.4 m		-
											2 -
											3 —
											- - - - - - - -
											4 -
											- - - - - - - -
										T	5 -



	ec					Tı	ial	Pit Log	Trialpit No TP17 Sheet 1 of 1	
Project Phoenix Wharf, Port Talbot					Project No.		Co-ords: -		Date	
iname.				2	111006.00	3	Level: Dimens	mbgl sions: 2.20m	13/04/2022 Scale	
Location: Port Talbot							Inclinat		1:25	
Client: LanzaTech							Orienta Depth:		Logged AB	
Samples and In Situ Testing				sting	Dep	th Leve	1			
Water Strike	Depth	Туре	Results	Information	on (m	(m)	Logona			
Rema	0.20 - 0.80	pit term	ninated due to si	dewall colla	pse, Grou		encounte	Black gravelly silty SAND with a high content of brick. Gravels of brick and (MADE GROUND). Frequent roots and rootlets observed. End of pit at 1.5 m	2 - 3 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5	
reilia	ino. IIIal	pic tern	imated due to Si	uewan cona	pse. Grou	nuwater	encounte	reu at 1.00mbgl.	AGS	
Stability Unatable								AUS		

Unstable

tec					Tr			Pit Log	Trialpit No TP18 Sheet 1 of 1	
, Phoenix Whart Port Jainot					Project No.		Co-ords		Date	
Name:				21110	2111006.003		Level: Dimens	mbgl ions: 2.40m	13/04/2022 Scale	
_ocati	on: Port Ta	lbot						^	1:25	
Client	: Lanza٦	ech						Orientation: ° E Logge Depth: 1.9m		
Water Strike	Samples and In Situ Testing				Depth		Legend	Stratum Description		
Wa	Depth	Depth Type Results Inform		Information	(m)	(m)		Dark brown gravelly silty SAND with gravel of		
	0.20 - 1.00	1ES			4.00			chert, conrete, brick, metal and wood fra (MADE GROUND).	agments	,
\					1.90			Loose* yellowish brown slightly gravelly with lenses of grey sandy clay. Gravel or conrete and slag (MADE GROUND).	SAND †brick,	1
					1.90			End of pit at 1.9 m		2
										3
										4

Trial pit terminated due to sidewall collapse. Groundwater encountered at 1.80mbgl. *Density from field observation only. Remarks:



	ec					Tr	ial	Pit Log	Trialpit TP1	9
Project Phoenix Wharf Port Talbot				Proje	Project No.			3: -	Date	
Name: Phoenix Wharf, Port Talbot				21110	2111006.003			mbgl	13/04/20	
Location: Port Talbot							Dimens Inclinati	. ^	Scale 1:25	
Client: LanzaTech							Oriental	<u> </u>	Logged	
<u>_</u> 0	S	amples	and In Situ Te	stina	Depth	Level	Depth:		AB	
Water Strike	Depth	Туре	Results	Information	(m)	(m)	Legend	Stratum Description		
	0.20 - 0.80	1ES			1.20			Dark brown gravelly silty SAND with of chert, conrete, brick, metal and wood (MADE GROUND). Concrete slabs exposed on either end of the slabs exposed on of pit at 1.2 m. End of pit at 1.2 m.	fragments	2 — 3 — 5 — 5 — 5 — 5 — 5 — 5 — 5 — 5 — 5
Rema	rks: Trial	pit tern	ninated due to s	idewall collapse	. Ground	lwater e	ncounter	red at 0.90mbgl.		ŢŢ Ţ₽
Ctabilita u Unatable								AC	S	

Unstable

Appendix C Geochemical Certificates of Analysis





Amy Butler

Tweedie Evans Consulting Ltd The Old Chapel 35a Southover Wells Somerset BA5 1UH

t: 01749 677 760 **f:** 01749 679 345

i2 Analytical Ltd.
7 Woodshots Meadow,
Croxley Green
Business Park,
Watford,
Herts,
WD18 8YS

t: 01923 225404 **f:** 01923 237404

e: reception@i2analytical.com

Analytical Report Number: 22-53683

Replaces Analytical Report Number: 22-53683, issue no. 1 Additional analysis undertaken.

Project / Site name:Pheonix Wharf, Port TalbotSamples received on:19/04/2022

Your job number: 2111006 Samples instructed on/ 25/04/2022

Analysis started on:

Your order number: Analysis completed by: 10/05/2022

Report Issue Number: 2 **Report issued on:** 06/06/2022

Samples Analysed: 5 leachate samples - 15 soil samples

Signed:

Anna Goc

Junior Reporting Specialist

For & on behalf of i2 Analytical Ltd.

Standard Geotechnical, Asbestos and Chemical Testing Laboratory located at: ul. Pionierów 39, 41 -711 Ruda Śląska, Poland.

Accredited tests are defined within the report, opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of accreditation.

Standard sample disposal times, unless otherwise agreed with the laboratory, are : soils - 4 weeks from reporting

leachates - 2 weeks from reporting waters - 2 weeks from reporting asbestos - 6 months from reporting

Excel copies of reports are only valid when accompanied by this PDF certificate.

Any assessments of compliance with specifications are based on actual analytical results with no contribution from uncertainty of measurement. Application of uncertainty of measurement would provide a range within which the true result lies.

An estimate of measurement uncertainty can be provided on request.





Lab Connection Name to the				2250025	2250026	2250027	2250020	2250020
Lab Sample Number				2250035	2250036	2250037	2250038	2250039
Sample Reference Sample Number				TP01 None Supplied	TP02 None Supplied	TP02 None Supplied	TP03 None Supplied	TP05 None Supplied
Depth (m)				0.15	0.20	2.40	1.20	0.10
Date Sampled				04/12/2022	04/12/2022	04/12/2022	04/12/2022	04/12/2022
Time Taken				None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied
Analytical Parameter (Soil Analysis)	Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status	толе заррнеа	толе зарряес	нопе одруже	топе заррнеа	попе обружен
		tion	9					
Stone Content	%	0.1	NONE	< 0.1	< 0.1	26	< 0.1	< 0.1
Moisture Content	%	0.01	NONE	11	9.7	11	11	12
Total mass of sample received	kg	0.001	NONE	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
Asbestos in Soil Screen / Identification Name	Туре	N/A	ISO 17025	-	Chrysotile & Amosite	Chrysotile & Amosite	-	-
Asbestos in Soil	Туре	N/A	ISO 17025	Not-detected	Detected	Detected	Not-detected	Not-detected
Asbestos Quantification (Stage 2)	%	0.001	ISO 17025	-	< 0.001	0.186	-	-
Asbestos Quantification Total	%	0.001	ISO 17025	-	< 0.001	0.186	-	-
Asbestos Analyst ID	N/A	N/A	N/A	ASE	DSA	DSA	ASE	ASE
General Inorganics								
pH - Automated	pH Units	N/A	MCERTS	8	7.9	8	8.7	7.8
Total Cyanide	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Total Sulphate as SO4	mg/kg	50	MCERTS	-	2800	1200	-	1500
Water Soluble SO4 16hr extraction (2:1 Leachate Equivalent)	g/l	0.00125	MCERTS	0.01	0.23	0.18	0.011	0.022
Sulphide	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	430	110	190	6.2	4.8
Total Organic Carbon (TOC) - Automated	%	0.1	MCERTS	1.8	3.5	2.7	0.6	5.6
Total Phenols	ma/ka		MCERTS					
Total Phenols (monohydric)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Consisted DAMS								
Speciated PAHs	ma/ka	0.05	MCERTS	. 0.05		0.51	0.00	0.72
Naphthalene Acenaphthylene	mg/kg mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05 < 0.05	1.1	0.51	0.96	0.72
Acenaphthene Acenaphthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	1.4 0.51	< 0.05 < 0.05	< 0.05 9.9	< 0.05 2.5
Fluorene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	3.4	< 0.05	9.3	3
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	1.1	24	1.5	45	29
Anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.25	15	0.31	24	8
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	2.3	27	1.5	45	31
Pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	2.3	18	1.2	43	27
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	1.8	24	1.1	51	14
Chrysene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	1.6	16	0.88	33	13
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	2.5	18	0.86	45	12
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	1.1	6.6	0.56	17	3.2
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	2.5	13	0.79	40	9.2
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	1.1	5.5	0.36	15	5.3
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	0.05 0.05	MCERTS MCERTS	0.34	2.8	< 0.05	5.3	1.5
Benzo(ghi)perylene	mg/kg	0.05	PICERTS	1.4	5.3	0.47	15	5.3
Total PAH								
Speciated Total EPA-16 PAHs	mg/kg	0.8	MCERTS	18.4	181	9.99	398	165
Speciated Total Ern To Frida	5, 3			10.7	101	3.33	330	103
Heavy Metals / Metalloids								
Heavy Metals / Metalloids Arsenic (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	12	300	32	13	32
•	mg/kg mg/kg	1	MCERTS MCERTS	12 44	300 91	32 250	13 8.9	32 340
Arsenic (aqua regia extractable)								
Arsenic (aqua regia extractable) Barium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	44	91	250	8.9	340
Arsenic (aqua regia extractable) Barium (aqua regia extractable) Beryllium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg mg/kg	1 0.06 0.2 0.2	MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS	44 0.4	91 1.2	250 2.2	8.9 0.26	340 1.2
Arsenic (aqua regia extractable) Barium (aqua regia extractable) Beryllium (aqua regia extractable) Boron (water soluble) Cadmium (aqua regia extractable) Chromium (hexavalent)	mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg	1 0.06 0.2 0.2 1.2	MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS NONE	44 0.4 0.7 < 0.2 < 1.2	91 1.2 0.3 < 0.2 < 1.2	250 2.2 0.9 < 0.2 < 1.2	8.9 0.26 0.4 < 0.2 < 1.2	340 1.2 0.4 2.1 < 1.2
Arsenic (aqua regia extractable) Barium (aqua regia extractable) Beryllium (aqua regia extractable) Boron (water soluble) Cadmium (aqua regia extractable) Chromium (hexavalent) Chromium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg	1 0.06 0.2 0.2 1.2	MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS NONE MCERTS	44 0.4 0.7 < 0.2 < 1.2 8.7	91 1.2 0.3 < 0.2 < 1.2	250 2.2 0.9 < 0.2 < 1.2 59	8.9 0.26 0.4 < 0.2 < 1.2 8.7	340 1.2 0.4 2.1 < 1.2
Arsenic (aqua regia extractable) Barium (aqua regia extractable) Beryllium (aqua regia extractable) Boron (water soluble) Cadmium (aqua regia extractable) Chromium (hexavalent) Chromium (aqua regia extractable) Copper (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg	1 0.06 0.2 0.2 1.2 1	MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS NONE MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS	44 0.4 0.7 < 0.2 < 1.2 8.7 29	91 1.2 0.3 < 0.2 < 1.2 70 67	250 2.2 0.9 < 0.2 < 1.2 59	8.9 0.26 0.4 < 0.2 < 1.2 8.7 22	340 1.2 0.4 2.1 < 1.2 72 140
Arsenic (aqua regia extractable) Barium (aqua regia extractable) Beryllium (aqua regia extractable) Boron (water soluble) Cadmium (aqua regia extractable) Chromium (hexavalent) Chromium (aqua regia extractable) Copper (aqua regia extractable) Lead (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg	1 0.06 0.2 0.2 1.2 1 1	MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS NONE MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS	44 0.4 0.7 < 0.2 < 1.2 8.7 29 31	91 1.2 0.3 < 0.2 < 1.2 70 67 260	250 2.2 0.9 < 0.2 < 1.2 59 81 150	8.9 0.26 0.4 < 0.2 < 1.2 8.7 22 9.7	340 1.2 0.4 2.1 < 1.2 72 140
Arsenic (aqua regia extractable) Barium (aqua regia extractable) Beryllium (aqua regia extractable) Boron (water soluble) Cadmium (aqua regia extractable) Chromium (hexavalent) Chromium (aqua regia extractable) Copper (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg	1 0.06 0.2 0.2 1.2 1	MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS NONE MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS	44 0.4 0.7 < 0.2 < 1.2 8.7 29	91 1.2 0.3 < 0.2 < 1.2 70 67	250 2.2 0.9 < 0.2 < 1.2 59	8.9 0.26 0.4 < 0.2 < 1.2 8.7 22	340 1.2 0.4 2.1 < 1.2 72 140





TP01 TP02 TP02 TP03	TP05 None Supplied 0.10 04/12/2022 None Supplied < 1.0 93 230 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0
Depth (m)	0.10 04/12/2022 None Supplied < 1.0 93 230
Depth (m)	04/12/2022 None Supplied < 1.0 93 230 < 1.0
Date Sampled Date	< 1.0 93 230 < 1.0
None Supplied None Supplie	< 1.0 93 230 < 1.0
Selenium (aqua regia extractable) mg/kg 1 MCERTS < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0 93 230
Monadium (aqua regia extractable) mg/kg 1 MCERTS 17 120 100 15	93 230 < 1.0
Monoaromatics & Oxygenates Mg/kg 1 MCERTS 77 460 290 30	230
Monoaromatics & Oxygenates μg/kg 1 MCERTS - < 1.0 < 1.0 - Toluene μg/kg 1 MCERTS - < 1.0	< 1.0
Benzene μg/kg 1 MCERTS - < 1.0 < 1.0 - Toluene μg/kg 1 MCERTS - < 1.0	
MCERTS C L.0 C L.0 C C	
Ethylbenzene μg/kg 1 MCERTS - < 1.0 < 1.0 - p & m-xylene μg/kg 1 MCERTS - < 1.0	< 1.0
p & m-xylene μg/kg 1 MCERTS - < 1.0 < 1.0 - o-xylene μg/kg 1 MCERTS - < 1.0	
0-xylene µg/kg 1 MCERTS - < 1.0 < 1.0 -	< 1.0
13.3 1.10 1.10 1.10	< 1.0
MTBE (Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether) μg/kg 1 MCERTS - < 1.0 < 1.0 -	< 1.0
	< 1.0
Petroleum Hydrocarbons	
TPH C10 - C40 _{EH_CU_1D_TOTAL} mg/kg 10 MCERTS - 340 120 -	110
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC5 - EC6 _{HS_1D_AL} mg/kg 0.001 MCERTS - < 0.001 < 0.001 -	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC6 - EC8 _{HS_1D_AL} mg/kg 0.001 MCERTS - < 0.001 < 0.001 -	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC8 - EC10 _{HS_1D_AL} mg/kg 0.001 MCERTS - < 0.001 < 0.001 -	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC10 - EC12 _{EH. CU, 1D, AL} mg/kg 1 MCERTS - < 1.0 3.4 -	< 1.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC12 - EC16 _{EH_CU_1D_AL} mg/kg 2 MCERTS - 9.4 12 -	< 2.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC16 - EC21 _{EH_CU_1D_AL} mg/kg 8 MCERTS - 17 18 -	< 8.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC21 - EC35 _{EH_CU_ID_AL} mg/kg 8 MCERTS - 38 41 -	< 8.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic (EC5 - EC35) _{EH_CU+HS_1D_AL} mg/kg 10 MCERTS - 66 74 -	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aromatic > EC5 - EC7 _{HS_ID_AR} mg/kg 0.001 MCERTS - < 0.001 -	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aromatic > EC7 - EC8 HS_ID_AR	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC8 - EC10 _{HS 1D AR} mg/kg 0.001 MCERTS - < 0.001 < 0.001 -	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aromatic > EC10 - EC12 _{EH,CU,1D,AR} mg/kg 1 MCERTS - 3 1.9 -	< 1.0
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC12 - EC16 _{BH, CJ, ID, AR} mg/kg 2 MCERTS - 13 5.2 -	11
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC16 - EC21 EH CU 1D AR mg/kg 10 MCERTS - 91 < 10 -	41
TPH-CWG - Aromatic > EC21 - EC35 _{EH CLI ID AR} mg/kg 10 MCERTS - 150 18 -	60
TPH-CWG - Aromatic (EC5 - EC35) BH_CU+HS_ID_AR	110

 $\label{eq:U/S} \text{U/S} = \text{Unsuitable Sample} \qquad \text{I/S} = \ \text{Insufficient Sample}$





				1				
Lab Sample Number				2250040	2250041	2250042	2250043	2250044
Sample Reference				TP06	TP07	TP08	TP10	TP11
Sample Number				None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied
Depth (m)				1.00	0.20	0.10	0.10	0.10
Date Sampled				04/12/2022	04/12/2022	04/12/2022	04/12/2022	04/12/2022
Time Taken	T		1	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied
Analytical Parameter (Soil Analysis)	Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status					
Stone Content	%	0.1	NONE	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Moisture Content	%	0.01	NONE	15	17	8.1	9.5	11
Total mass of sample received	kg	0.001	NONE	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.5
Asbestos in Soil Screen / Identification Name	Туре	N/A	ISO 17025	-	-	Chrysotile &	-	-
Asbestos in Soil	Туре	N/A	ISO 17025	Not-detected	Not-detected	Amosite Detected	Not-detected	Not-detected
Asbestos (III 3011 Asbestos Quantification (Stage 2)	%	0.001	ISO 17025	-	-	0.008	-	-
Asbestos Quantification (Stage 2) Asbestos Quantification Total	%	0.001	ISO 17025	-	_	0.008	-	-
Asbestos Analyst ID	N/A	N/A	N/A	ASE	ASE	DSA	DBU	DBU
General Inorganics								
pH - Automated	pH Units	N/A	MCERTS	8.3	8.7	8.1	8.3	8.1
Total Cyanide	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	1.6	< 1.0	< 1.0
Total Sulphate as SO4	mg/kg	50	MCERTS	240	-	2400	760	-
Water Soluble SO4 16hr extraction (2:1 Leachate		0.00125	MCERTS	0.02	0.0063	0.19	0.13	0.026
Equivalent)	g/l mg/kg	1	MCERTS	4.7	1.1			
Sulphide Total Organic Carbon (TOC) - Automated	111g/kg %	0.1	MCERTS	0.3	< 0.1	33 2.2	38 1.8	1.1
Total Organic Carbon (TOC) Automated				0.5	₹ 0.1	2.2	1.0	1.0
Total Phenois								
Total Phenols (monohydric)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
real rielies (illelieli, alle)				1.0	1.0	11.0	11.0	11.0
Speciated PAHs								
Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.57	< 0.05	1.3
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.28	< 0.05	0.86	0.3	2.7
Fluorene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.23	< 0.05	1	0.33	2.7
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	4.1	< 0.05	11	3	28
Anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.91	< 0.05	2.8	0.84	8.1
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	4.5	< 0.05	16	4.7	27
Pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	3.8	< 0.05	13	4.3	24
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	2.5	< 0.05	7.8	2.9	16
Chrysene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	1.6	< 0.05	8.3	2.2	16
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	1.9	< 0.05	7	2.3	18
Benzo(x)nurana	mg/kg mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS MCERTS	0.79	< 0.05	3.2	1.1	4.5
Benzo(a)pyrene Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	1.7 0.7	< 0.05 < 0.05	5.7 3.5	1.9 1.2	15 6.9
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.7	< 0.05	1.1	0.42	2.1
Benzo(ghi)perylene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.81	< 0.05	3.6	1.4	7.3
(3. n/pci / iciic	5. 3	-		0.01	` 0.05	5.0	2.7	,.5
Total PAH								
Speciated Total EPA-16 PAHs		0.8	MCERTS	24	< 0.80	84.5	26.9	180
	mg/kg	0.0			3.00		_3.5	
Speciated Total Ervi 10 Tivilio	mg/kg	0.0						
Heavy Metals / Metalloids	mg/kg	0.0						
	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	11	9.3	27	16	18
Heavy Metals / Metalloids			MCERTS MCERTS	11 18	9.3 5.1	27 380	16 100	18 46
Heavy Metals / Metalloids Arsenic (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1						
Heavy Metals / Metalloids Arsenic (aqua regia extractable) Barium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg mg/kg	1 1	MCERTS	18	5.1	380	100	46
Heavy Metals / Metalloids Arsenic (aqua regia extractable) Barium (aqua regia extractable) Beryllium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg	1 1 0.06	MCERTS MCERTS	18 0.34	5.1 0.17	380 1.2	100 0.76	46 0.33
Heavy Metals / Metalloids Arsenic (aqua regia extractable) Barium (aqua regia extractable) Beryllium (aqua regia extractable) Boron (water soluble)	mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg	1 1 0.06 0.2	MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS NONE	18 0.34 0.3	5.1 0.17 < 0.2	380 1.2 1.1	100 0.76 0.9	46 0.33 0.7
Heavy Metals / Metalloids Arsenic (aqua regia extractable) Barium (aqua regia extractable) Beryllium (aqua regia extractable) Boron (water soluble) Cadmium (aqua regia extractable) Chromium (hexavalent) Chromium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg	1 0.06 0.2 0.2 1.2	MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS NONE MCERTS	18 0.34 0.3 < 0.2 < 1.2 11	5.1 0.17 < 0.2 < 0.2 < 1.2 5.3	380 1.2 1.1 4.8 < 1.2 140	100 0.76 0.9 1.6 < 1.2	46 0.33 0.7 1.3 < 1.2
Heavy Metals / Metalloids Arsenic (aqua regia extractable) Barium (aqua regia extractable) Beryllium (aqua regia extractable) Boron (water soluble) Cadmium (aqua regia extractable) Chromium (hexavalent)	mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg	1 0.06 0.2 0.2 1.2 1	MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS NONE MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS	18 0.34 0.3 < 0.2 < 1.2 11 18	5.1 0.17 < 0.2 < 0.2 < 1.2 5.3 7.3	380 1.2 1.1 4.8 < 1.2 140 290	100 0.76 0.9 1.6 < 1.2 47 67	46 0.33 0.7 1.3 < 1.2 12 350
Heavy Metals / Metalloids Arsenic (aqua regia extractable) Barium (aqua regia extractable) Beryllium (aqua regia extractable) Boron (water soluble) Cadmium (aqua regia extractable) Chromium (hexavalent) Chromium (aqua regia extractable) Copper (aqua regia extractable) Lead (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1 0.06 0.2 0.2 1.2 1 1	MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS NONE MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS	18 0.34 0.3 < 0.2 < 1.2 11 18 30	5.1 0.17 < 0.2 < 0.2 < 1.2 5.3 7.3 4.7	380 1.2 1.1 4.8 < 1.2 140 290 1300	100 0.76 0.9 1.6 < 1.2 47 67	46 0.33 0.7 1.3 <1.2 12 350 40
Heavy Metals / Metalloids Arsenic (aqua regia extractable) Barium (aqua regia extractable) Beryllium (aqua regia extractable) Boron (water soluble) Cadmium (aqua regia extractable) Chromium (aqua regia extractable) Chromium (hexavalent) Chromium (aqua regia extractable) Copper (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg mg/kg	1 0.06 0.2 0.2 1.2 1	MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS NONE MCERTS MCERTS MCERTS	18 0.34 0.3 < 0.2 < 1.2 11 18	5.1 0.17 < 0.2 < 0.2 < 1.2 5.3 7.3	380 1.2 1.1 4.8 < 1.2 140 290	100 0.76 0.9 1.6 < 1.2 47 67	46 0.33 0.7 1.3 < 1.2 12 350





Sample Refrence	Lab Sample Number				2250040	2250041	2250042	2250043	2250044
Depth (m)	Sample Reference				TP06	TP07	TP08	TP10	TP11
Page	Sample Number				None Supplied				
Time Taken	Depth (m)				1.00	0.20	0.10	0.10	0.10
Analytical Parameter Soil Analysis Selenium (aqua regia extractable)	Date Sampled				04/12/2022	04/12/2022	04/12/2022	04/12/2022	04/12/2022
Selenium (aqua regia extractable) mg/kg 1 MCERTS < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0	Time Taken		None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied		
Variandium (aqua regia extractable)		Units	Limit of detection	_					
Monoaromatics & Oxygenates Monoaromatics	Selenium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Monoaromatics & Oxygenates Monoaromatics & Oxygenate Oxygenate Oxygenate Oxygenate Monoaromatics & Oxygenate	Vanadium (aqua regia extractable)				17	11	180	52	20
Benzene	Zinc (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	41	18	770	120	94
Toluene	Monoaromatics & Oxygenates								
State Stat						-			-
p & m-xylene	Toluene				< 1.0	-		< 1.0	-
Park	Ethylbenzene	μg/kg			< 1.0	-	< 1.0	< 1.0	-
MCERTS S. S. S. S. S. S. S.	p & m-xylene	μg/kg	1		< 1.0	-	< 1.0	< 1.0	-
Petroleum Hydrocarbons TPH C10 - C40 _{EH, CU, ID, TOTAL} mg/kg 10 MCERTS 55 - 560 1400 - TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >ECS - EC6 _{HS, ID, AL} mg/kg 0.001 MCERTS < 0.001	o-xylene				< 1.0	-	< 1.0	< 1.0	-
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC5 - EC6 _{HS_1D_AL}	MTBE (Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether)	μg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	< 1.0	-
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC5 - EC6 _{HS_1D_AL} mg/kg 0.001 MCERTS < 0.001 - < 0.001 < 0.001 - <									
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC6 - EC8 HS_1D_AL	TPH C10 - C40 _{EH_CU_1D_TOTAL}	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	55	-	560	1400	-
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC6 - EC8 HS_1D_AL	B								
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC8 - EC10 HS_ID_AL		mg/kg			< 0.001	-	< 0.001	< 0.001	-
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC10 - EC12 _{EN CU, ID AL} mg/kg 1 MCERTS < 1.0 - < 1.0 3.6 - TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC12 - EC16 _{EN CU, ID AL} mg/kg 2 MCERTS < 2.0					< 0.001	-	< 0.001	< 0.001	-
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC12 - EC16 _{EH, CU, ID, AL} mg/kg 2 MCERTS < 2.0		mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	-	< 0.001	< 0.001	-
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC16 - EC21 _{BH, CU, ID, AL} mg/kg 8 MCERTS < 8.0		mg/kg	1		< 1.0	-	< 1.0	3.6	-
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC21 - EC35 _{EH, CU, ID, AL} mg/kg 8 MCERTS < 8.0		mg/kg			< 2.0	-	14	86	-
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic (ECS - EC35) _{EH.CU-HS_ID_AL} mg/kg 10 MCERTS < 10 - 290 660 - TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC5 - EC7 _{HS_ID_AR} mg/kg 0.001 MCERTS < 0.001 - < 0.001 < 0.001 - TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC7 - EC8 _{HS_ID_AR} mg/kg 0.001 MCERTS < 0.001 - < 0.001 < 0.001 - TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC8 - EC10 _{HS_ID_AR} mg/kg 0.001 MCERTS < 0.001 - < 0.001 < 0.001 - TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC10 - EC12 _{EH.CU_ID_AR} mg/kg 1 MCERTS < 0.001 - < 0.001 - TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC10 - EC12 _{EH.CU_ID_AR} mg/kg 1 MCERTS < 1.0 - TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC16 - EC21 _{EH.CU_ID_AR} mg/kg 2 MCERTS < 2.0 - TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC16 - EC21 _{EH.CU_ID_AR} mg/kg 10 MCERTS 19 - 38 140 - TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC21 - EC35 _{EH.CU_ID_AR} mg/kg 10 MCERTS 31 - 120 360 -	TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC16 - EC21 EH_CU_1D_AL	mg/kg	8	MCERTS	< 8.0	-	49	120	-
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC5 - EC7 _{HS_1D_AR}	TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC21 - EC35 EH_CU_1D_AL	0. 0			< 8.0	-	230	440	-
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC7 - EC8 HS_1D_AR mg/kg 0.001 MCERTS < 0.001	TPH-CWG - Aliphatic (EC5 - EC35) EH_CU+HS_1D_AL	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	< 10	-	290	660	-
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC7 - EC8 HS_1D_AR mg/kg 0.001 MCERTS < 0.001									
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC8 - EC10 HS_1D_AR mg/kg 0.001 MCERTS < 0.001 - < 0.001 < 0.001 - TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC10 - EC12 EH_CU_1D_AR mg/kg 1 MCERTS < 1.0		mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	-	< 0.001	< 0.001	-
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC8 - EC10 HS_1D_AR mg/kg 0.001 MCERTS < 0.001 - < 0.001 < 0.001 - TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC10 - EC12 EH_CU_1D_AR mg/kg 1 MCERTS < 1.0	TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC7 - EC8 HS_1D_AR	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	-	< 0.001	< 0.001	-
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC10 - EC12 _{EH, CU,ID,AR} mg/kg 1 MCERTS < 1.0 - 1.5 1.2 - TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC12 - EC16 _{EH, CU,ID,AR} mg/kg 2 MCERTS < 2.0		mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	-	< 0.001	< 0.001	-
TPH-CWG - Aromatic > EC12 - EC16 _{EH, CU, ID, AR} mg/kg 2 MCERTS < 2.0 - 7.7 19 - TPH-CWG - Aromatic > EC16 - EC21 _{EH, CU, ID, AR} mg/kg 10 MCERTS 19 - 38 140 - TPH-CWG - Aromatic > EC21 - EC35 _{EH, CU, ID, AR} mg/kg 10 MCERTS 31 - 120 360 -	TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC10 - EC12 EH_CU_1D_AR	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	-	1.5	1.2	-
TPH-CWG - Aromatic > EC16 - EC21 _{EH_CU_ID_AR} mg/kg 10 MCERTS 19 - 38 140 - TPH-CWG - Aromatic > EC21 - EC35 _{EH_CU_ID_AR} mg/kg 10 MCERTS 31 - 120 360 -		mg/kg	2	MCERTS	< 2.0	-	7.7	19	-
TPH-CWG - Aromatic > EC21 - EC35 FH CILID AR mg/kg 10 MCERTS 31 - 120 360 -		mg/kg	10	MCERTS	19	-	38	140	-
TPH-CWG - Aromatic (EC5 - EC35) _{EH, CU+HS, 1D, AR} mg/kg 10 MCERTS 53 - 170 510 -	TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC21 - EC35 FH CIJ 1D AR	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	31	-	120	360	-
	TPH-CWG - Aromatic (EC5 - EC35) EH_CU+HS_1D_AR	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	53	-	170	510	-

 $\label{eq:U/S} \text{U/S} = \text{Unsuitable Sample} \qquad \text{I/S} = \ \text{Insufficient Sample}$





Lab Camula Numbau				2250245	2250246	2250247	2250240	2250242
Lab Sample Number				2250045	2250046	2250047	2250048	2250049
Sample Reference Sample Number				TP12 None Supplied	TP15 None Supplied	TP16 None Supplied	TP17 None Supplied	TP19 None Supplied
Depth (m)				0.60	0.80	0.20	0.20	0.20
Date Sampled				04/12/2022	04/12/2022	04/12/2022	04/12/2022	04/12/2022
Time Taken				None Supplied				
Analytical Parameter (Soil Analysis)	Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	чоне Заррнеа
				.01	.01	.01	.01	.01
Stone Content	%	0.1	NONE NONE	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Moisture Content Total mass of sample received	kg	0.001	NONE	23 0.5	31 0.7	18 0.5	18 0.5	10 0.7
Total mass of sample received	J			0.5	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.7
	1			a	GI	Chrysotile &		GI
Asbestos in Soil Screen / Identification Name	Type	N/A	ISO 17025	Chrysotile	Chrysotile	Amosite	-	Chrysotile
Asbestos in Soil	Type	N/A	ISO 17025	Detected	Detected	Detected	Not-detected	Detected
Asbestos Quantification (Stage 2)	%	0.001	ISO 17025	0.011	0.002	0.001	-	< 0.001
Asbestos Quantification Total	%	0.001	ISO 17025	0.011	0.002	0.001	-	< 0.001
Asbestos Analyst ID	N/A	N/A	N/A	DSA	DSA	DSA	DSA	DSA
Company I Transporting								
General Inorganics	nH Hnite	N/A	MCERTS	0.4	0.3	7.0	0.4	0.7
pH - Automated	pH Units mg/kg	N/A 1	MCERTS	8.4	8.2	7.8	8.1	9.7
Total Cyanide Total Sulphate as SO4	mg/kg	50	MCERTS	< 1.0 1700	< 1.0	< 1.0 770	6.4 380	< 1.0
Water Soluble SO4 16hr extraction (2:1 Leachate	mg/kg	30	PICENTS					
Equivalent)	g/l	0.00125	MCERTS	0.083	0.13	0.14	0.066	0.047
Sulphide	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	17	11	10	9.2	27
Total Organic Carbon (TOC) - Automated	%	0.1	MCERTS	1.3	6.4	5.8	5	1.6
Total Phenols Total Phenols (monohydric)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Speciated PAHs								
Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	1.9	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.87	< 0.05	0.4
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	3.8	11	66	< 0.05
Fluorene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	3.2	12	99	0.34
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	2.2	44	140	950	2.3
Anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS MCERTS	0.57	14	35	220	0.43
Fluoranthene	mg/kg mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	3	53	180	1100	3.9
Pyrene Ronzo(a)anthracono	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	2.6 1.8	54 48	160 100	900 530	2.8 3.1
Benzo(a)anthracene Chrysene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	1.4	36	75	520	2.6
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	1.9	47	68	330	3
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.65	20	50	280	0.85
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	1.5	44	71	340	1.7
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.79	20	36	130	2.5
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	5.8	11	42	0.69
Benzo(ghi)perylene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.9	23	36	130	5.3
Total PAH								
Speciated Total EPA-16 PAHs	mg/kg	0.8	MCERTS	17.2	417	992	5630	29.8
Heavy Metals / Metalloids								
Arsenic (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	13	64	68	19	11
Barium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	640	220	390	92	300
Beryllium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	0.06	MCERTS	0.23	1.1	1.1	0.41	0.84
Boron (water soluble)	mg/kg	0.2	MCERTS	3.9	2.3	1	0.7	0.4
Cadmium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	0.2	MCERTS	110	5.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	5
Chromium (hexavalent)	mg/kg	1.2	NONE	< 1.2	< 1.2	< 1.2	< 1.2	< 1.2
Chromium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	140	33	28	12	240
Copper (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS MCERTS	2200	430	400	120	890
Lead (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg mg/kg	0.3	MCERTS	570	170	520	96	260
Mercury (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	2 370	< 0.3 38	0.6 72	0.4	< 0.3 35
Nickel (aqua regia extractable)	ilig/kg		FIGER 13	3/0	30	14	14	33





Lab Sample Number				2250045	2250046	2250047	2250048	2250049
Sample Reference				TP12	TP15	TP16	TP17	TP19
Sample Number				None Supplied				
Depth (m)				0.60	0.80	0.20	0.20	0.20
Date Sampled		04/12/2022	04/12/2022	04/12/2022	04/12/2022	04/12/2022		
Time Taken				None Supplied				
Analytical Parameter (Soil Analysis)	Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status					
Selenium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Vanadium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	19	55	55	22	430
Zinc (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	1900	360	400	160	720
Monoaromatics & Oxygenates								
Benzene	μg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	< 1.0	-
Toluene	μg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	< 1.0	-
Ethylbenzene	μg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	< 1.0	-
p & m-xylene	μg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	< 1.0	-
o-xylene	μg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	< 1.0	-
MTBE (Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether)	μg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	< 1.0	-
Petroleum Hydrocarbons								
TPH C10 - C40 EH_CU_1D_TOTAL	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	250	-	2000	11000	-
				=				
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC5 - EC6 HS_1D_AL	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	-	< 0.001	< 0.001	-
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC6 - EC8 HS_1D_AL	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	-	< 0.001	< 0.001	-
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC8 - EC10 HS_1D_AL	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	-	< 0.001	< 0.001	-
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC10 - EC12 EH_CU_1D_AL	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	1.1	-	< 1.0	< 1.0	-
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC12 - EC16 EH_CU_1D_AL	mg/kg	2	MCERTS	9.1	=	5.1	< 2.0	-
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC16 - EC21 EH_CU_1D_AL	mg/kg	8	MCERTS	32	-	< 8.0	< 8.0	-
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC21 - EC35 EH_CU_1D_AL	mg/kg	8	MCERTS	110	-	73	< 8.0	-
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic (EC5 - EC35) EH_CU+HS_1D_AL	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	160	-	80	< 10	-
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC5 - EC7 HS 1D AR	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	-	< 0.001	< 0.001	-
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC7 - EC8 HS_1D_AR	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	-	< 0.001	< 0.001	-
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC8 - EC10 HS_1D_AR	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	-	< 0.001	< 0.001	-
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC10 - EC12 _{EH_CU_1D_AR}	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	-	1.4	28	-
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC12 - EC16 _{EH_CU_1D_AR}	mg/kg	2	MCERTS	2	-	41	320	-
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC16 - EC21 _{EH CU 1D AR}	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	18	-	590	3400	-
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC21 - EC35 _{EH CU 1D AR}	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	46	-	1100	6800	-
TPH-CWG - Aromatic (EC5 - EC35) EH_CU+HS_1D_AR	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	66	-	1700	11000	-

 $\label{eq:U/S} \text{U/S} = \text{Unsuitable Sample} \qquad \text{I/S} = \ \text{Insufficient Sample}$





Project / Site name: Pheonix Wharf, Port Talbot

Your Order No:

Certificate of Analysis - Asbestos Quantification

Methods:

Qualitative Analysis

The samples were analysed qualitatively for asbestos by polarising light and dispersion staining as described by the Health and Safety Executive in HSG 248.

Quantitative Analysis

The analysis was carried out using our documented in-house method A006-PL based on HSE Contract Research Report No: 83/1996: Development and Validation of an analytical method to determine the amount of asbestos in soils and loose aggregates (Davies et al, 1996) and HSG 248. Our method includes initial examination of the entire representative sample, then fractionation and detailed analysis of each fraction, with quantification by hand picking and weighing.

The limit of detection (reporting limit) of this method is 0.001 %.

The method has been validated using samples of at least 100 g, results for samples smaller than this should be interpreted with caution.

Both Qualitative and Quantitative Analyses are UKAS accredited.

Sample Number	Sample ID	Sample Depth (m)	Sample Weight (g)	Asbestos Containing Material Types Detected (ACM)	PLM Results	Asbestos by hand picking/weighing (%)	Total % Asbestos in Sample
2250036	TP02	0.20	109	Loose Fibres	Chrysotile & Amosite	< 0.001	< 0.001
2250037	TP02	2.40	138	Loose Fibres & Loose Fibrous Debris	Chrysotile & Amosite	0.186	0.186
2250042	TP08	0.10	112	Loose Fibres & Loose Fibrous Debris	Chrysotile & Amosite	0.008	0.008
2250045	TP12	0.60	100	Loose Fibres	Chrysotile	0.011	0.011
2250046	TP15	0.80	126	Loose Fibrous Debris	Chrysotile	0.002	0.002
2250047	TP16	0.20	134	Loose Fibres	Chrysotile & Amosite	0.001	0.001
2250049	TP19	0.20	144	Loose Fibres	Chrysotile	< 0.001	< 0.001

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS accreditation.





Lab Sample Number				2250050	2250051	2250052	2250053	2250054
Sample Reference				TP01	TP04	TP09	TP13	TP18
Sample Number				None Supplied				
Depth (m)				0.10	0.80	0.20	0.90	0.20
Date Sampled				04/12/2022	04/12/2022	04/12/2022	04/12/2022	04/12/2022
Time Taken				None Supplied				
Tille Takeli		-		None Supplied	None Supplied	моне заррнеа	None Supplied	None Supplied
Analytical Parameter (Leachate Analysis)	Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status					
General Inorganics								
pH (automated)	pH Units	N/A	ISO 17025	7.7	7.9	8.4	7.9	8
Total Cyanide	μg/l	10	ISO 17025	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Sulphate as SO ₄	mg/l	0.1	ISO 17025	2.5	6	11.4	90.3	8.8
Sulphide	μg/l	5	NONE	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/l	0.1	NONE	8.17	4.6	6.09	2.76	5.58
Total Phenois								
Total Phenols (monohydric)	μg/l	10	ISO 17025	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
					•			
Speciated PAHs								
Naphthalene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Acenaphthylene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Acenaphthene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Fluorene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Phenanthrene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Anthracene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Fluoranthene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Pyrene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Benzo(a)anthracene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Chrysene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	µg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Benzo(a)pyrene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	μg/l	0.01	NONE	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	μg/l	0.01	NONE	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Benzo(ghi)perylene	µg/l	0.01	NONE	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01
Delizo(grif)per yiene	1.5			₹ 0.01	₹ 0.01	₹ 0.01	₹ 0.01	₹ 0.01
Total PAH Total EPA-16 PAHs	μg/l	0.2	NONE	.0.3	. 0.2	. 0.0	. 0.3	.0.0
TUGI LFA-10 PARS	P3/1	J.2		< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
Heavy Metals / Metalloids								
Arsenic (dissolved)	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	3.7	8.5	1.9	6.2
Barium (dissolved)	μg/l	0.05	ISO 17025	12	37	18	47	19
Beryllium (dissolved)	μg/l	0.2	ISO 17025	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.3
Boron (dissolved)	μg/l	10	ISO 17025	24	25	40	180	52
Cadmium (dissolved)	μg/l	0.08	ISO 17025	< 0.08	< 0.08	0.12	0.31	< 0.08
Chromium (dissolved)	μg/l	0.4	ISO 17025	1.1	2	2.6	0.8	1.5
Copper (dissolved)	μg/l	0.7	ISO 17025	8.2	2.7	11	25	10
Lead (dissolved)	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	5.3	6.8	8	2.9	9.4
Mercury (dissolved)	μg/l	0.5	ISO 17025	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5	< 0.5
Nickel (dissolved)	μg/l	0.3	ISO 17025	4	2.7	5	4.1	4.7
Selenium (dissolved)	μg/l	4	ISO 17025	< 4.0	< 4.0	< 4.0	< 4.0	5
Vanadium (dissolved)	μg/l	1.7	ISO 17025	11	5.2	10	< 1.7	5.2
Zinc (dissolved)	μg/l	0.4	ISO 17025	8	8	13	8.1	16

 $\label{eq:U/S} \text{U/S} = \text{Unsuitable Sample} \qquad \text{I/S} = \ \text{Insufficient Sample}$





Project / Site name: Pheonix Wharf, Port Talbot

* These descriptions are only intended to act as a cross check if sample identities are questioned. The major constituent of the sample is intended to act with respect to MCERTS validation. The laboratory is accredited for sand, clay and loam (MCERTS) soil types. Data for unaccredited types of solid should be interpreted with care.

Stone content of a sample is calculated as the % weight of the stones not passing a 10 mm sieve. Results are not corrected for stone content.

Lab Sample Number	Sample Reference	Sample Number	Depth (m)	Sample Description *
2250035	TP01	None Supplied	0.15	Brown sandy loam with vegetation and gravel
2250036	TP02	None Supplied	0.2	Brown clay and sand with gravel and vegetation.
2250037	TP02	None Supplied	2.4	Brown sandy gravel with stones.
2250038	TP03	None Supplied	1.2	Brown sandy clay with vegetation.
2250039	TP05	None Supplied	0.1	Brown clay and sand with gravel and vegetation.
2250040	TP06	None Supplied	1	Brown sandy clay with vegetation.
2250041	TP07	None Supplied	0.2	Brown sand with vegetation.
2250042	TP08	None Supplied	0.1	Brown sandy clay with gravel.
2250043	TP10	None Supplied	0.1	Brown clay and sand with gravel.
2250044	TP11	None Supplied	0.1	Brown sandy loam with vegetation.
2250045	TP12	None Supplied	0.6	Brown sandy clay.
2250046	TP15	None Supplied	0.8	Brown clay and loam with vegetation and gravel
2250047	TP16	None Supplied	0.2	Brown sandy loam with gravel.
2250048	TP17	None Supplied	0.2	Brown sandy loam with gravel.
2250049	TP19	None Supplied	0.2	Brown sandy clay with gravel.





Project / Site name: Pheonix Wharf, Port Talbot

Water matrix abbreviations:
Surface Water (SW) Potable Water (PW) Ground Water (GW) Process Waters (PrW) Final Sewage Effluent (FSE) Landfill Leachate (LL)

Analytical Test Name	Analytical Method Description	Analytical Method Reference	Method number	Wet / Dry Analysis	Accreditation Status
Metals in soil by ICP-OES	Determination of metals in soil by aqua-regia digestion followed by ICP-OES.	In-house method based on MEWAM 2006 Methods for the Determination of Metals in Soil.	L038-PL	D	MCERTS
Sulphate, water soluble, in soil (16hr extraction)	Determination of water soluble sulphate by ICP-OES. Results reported directly (leachate equivalent) and corrected for extraction ratio (soil equivalent).	In house method.	L038-PL	D	MCERTS
NRA Leachate Prep	10:1 extract with de-ionised water shaken for 24 hours then filtered.	In-house method based on National Rivers Authority	L020-PL	W	NONE
Asbestos identification in soil	Asbestos Identification with the use of polarised light microscopy in conjunction with disperion staining techniques.	In house method based on HSG 248	A001-PL	D	ISO 17025
Metals by ICP-OES in leachate	Determination of metals in leachate by acidification followed by ICP-OES.	In-house method based on MEWAM 2006 Methods for the Determination of Metals in Soil.	L039-PL	W	ISO 17025
Boron in leachate	Determination of boron in leachate. Sample acidified and followed by ICP-OES.	In-house method based on MEWAM	L039-PL	W	ISO 17025
Boron, water soluble, in soil	Determination of water soluble boron in soil by hot water extract followed by ICP-OES.	In-house method based on Second Site Properties version 3	L038-PL	D	MCERTS
Moisture Content	Moisture content, determined gravimetrically. (30 oC)	In house method.	L019-UK/PL	W	NONE
Monohydric phenols in leachate	Determination of phenols in leachate by distillation followed by colorimetry.	In-house method based on Examination of Water and Wastewater 20th Edition: Clesceri, Greenberg & Eaton (skalar)	L080-PL	W	ISO 17025
Monohydric phenols in soil	Determination of phenols in soil by extraction with sodium hydroxide followed by distillation followed by colorimetry.	In-house method based on Examination of Water and Wastewater 20th Edition: Clesceri, Greenberg & Eaton (skalar)	L080-PL	w	MCERTS
Speciated EPA-16 PAHs in leachate	Determination of PAH compounds in leachate by extraction in dichloromethane followed by GC-MS with the use of surrogate and internal standards.	In-house method based on USEPA 8270	L102B-PL	W	ISO 17025
Speciated EPA-16 PAHs in soil	Determination of PAH compounds in soil by extraction in dichloromethane and hexane followed by GC-MS with the use of surrogate and internal standards.	In-house method based on USEPA 8270	L064-PL	D	MCERTS
pH in soil (automated)	Determination of pH in soil by addition of water followed by automated electrometric measurement.	In house method.	L099-PL	D	MCERTS
pH at 20oC in leachate (automated)	Determination of pH in leachate by electrometric measurement.	In house method.	L099B	W	ISO 17025
Sulphide in leachate	Determination of sulphide in leachate by ion selective electrode.	In-house method	L010-PL	w	NONE
Sulphide in soil	Determination of sulphide in soil by addification and heating to liberate hydrogen sulphide, trapped in an alkaline solution then assayed by ion selective electrode.	In-house method	L010-PL	D	MCERTS
Total sulphate (as SO4 in soil)	Determination of total sulphate in soil by extraction with 10% HCl followed by ICP-OES.	In house method.	L038-PL	D	MCERTS





Project / Site name: Pheonix Wharf, Port Talbot

Water matrix abbreviations:

Surface Water (SW) Potable Water (PW) Ground Water (GW) Process Waters (PrW) Final Sewage Effluent (FSE) Landfill Leachate (LL)

Analytical Test Name	Analytical Method Description	Analytical Method Reference	Method number	Wet / Dry Analysis	Accreditation Status
Stones content of soil	Standard preparation for all samples unless otherwise detailed. Gravimetric determination of stone > 10 mm as % dry weight.	In-house method based on British Standard Methods and MCERTS requirements.	L019-UK/PL	D	NONE
Total cyanide in leachate	Determination of total cyanide by distillation followed by colorimetry.	In-house method based on Examination of Water and Wastewater 20th Edition: Clesceri, Greenberg & Eaton (Skalar)	L080-PL	W	ISO 17025
Total cyanide in soil	Determination of total cyanide by distillation followed by colorimetry.	In-house method based on Examination of Water and Wastewater 20th Edition: Clesceri, Greenberg & Eaton (Skalar)	L080-PL	W	MCERTS
Total organic carbon in leachate	Determination of dissolved organic carbon in leachate by TOC/DOC NDIR analyser.	In-house method based on Examination of Water and Wastewater 20th Edition: Clesceri, Greenberg & Eaton	L037-PL	w	NONE
Total organic carbon (Automated) in soil	Determination of organic matter in soil by oxidising with potassium dichromate followed by titration with iron (II) sulphate.	In house method.	L009-PL	D	MCERTS
BTEX and MTBE in soil (Monoaromatics)	Determination of BTEX in soil by headspace GC-MS.	In-house method based on USEPA8260	L073B-PL	W	MCERTS
TPHCWG (Soil)	Determination of hexane extractable hydrocarbons in soil by GC-MS/GC-FID.	In-house method with silica gel split/clean up.	L088/76-PL	W	MCERTS
TPH Banding in Soil by FID	Determination of hexane extractable hydrocarbons in soil by GC-FID.	In-house method, TPH with carbon banding and silica gel split/cleanup.	L076-PL	D	MCERTS
Asbestos Quantification - Gravimetric	Asbestos quantification by gravimetric method - in house method based on references.	HSE Report No: 83/1996, HSG 248, HSG 264 & SCA Blue Book (draft).	A006-PL	D	ISO 17025
Sulphate in leachates	Determination of sulphate in leachate by acidification followed by ICP-OES.	In-house method based on MEWAM 1986 Methods for the Determination of Metals in Soil""	L039-PL	W	ISO 17025
Hexavalent chromium in soil (Lower Level)	Determination of hexavalent chromium in soil by extraction in water then by acidification, addition of 1,5 diphenylcarbazide followed by colorimetry.	In-house method	L080-PL	W	NONE

For method numbers ending in 'UK' analysis have been carried out in our laboratory in the United Kingdom.

For method numbers ending in 'PL' analysis have been carried out in our laboratory in Poland.

Soil analytical results are expressed on a dry weight basis. Where analysis is carried out on as-received the results obtained are multiplied by a moisture correction factor that is determined gravimetrically using the moisture content which is carried out at a maximum of 30oC.

Unless otherwise indicated, site information, order number, project number, sampling date, time, sample reference and depth are provided by the client. The instructed on date indicates the date on which this information was provided to the laboratory.

Information in Support of Analytical Results

List of HWOL Acronyms and Operators

Acronym	Descriptions
HS	Headspace Analysis
MS	Mass spectrometry
FID	Flame Ionisation Detector
GC	Gas Chromatography
EH	Extractable Hydrocarbons (i.e. everything extracted by the solvent(s))
CU	Clean-up - e.g. by Florisil®, silica gel
1D	GC - Single coil/column gas chromatography
2D	GC-GC - Double coil/column gas chromatography
Total	Aliphatics & Aromatics
AL	Aliphatics





Project / Site name: Pheonix Wharf, Port Talbot

Water matrix abbreviations:
Surface Water (SW) Potable Water (PW) Ground Water (GW) Process Waters (PrW) Final Sewage Effluent (FSE) Landfill Leachate (LL)

Analytical Test Name	Analytical Method Description	Analytical Method Reference	Method number	Wet / Dry Analysis	Accreditation Status
AR	Aromatics				
#1	#1 EH_2D_Total but with humics mathematically subtracted				
#2	#2 EH_2D_Total but with fatty acids mathematically subtracted				
_ Operator - understore to separate acronyms (exception for +)					
+ Operator to indicate cumulative e.g. EH+HS Total or EH CU+HS Total					





Mitchell Tucker

Tweedie Evans Consulting Ltd The Old Chapel 35a Southover Wells Somerset BA5 1UH

t: 01749 677 760 f: 01749 679 345

e: mitchell.tucker@tecon.co.uk

i2 Analytical Ltd. 7 Woodshots Meadow, Croxley Green Business Park, Watford, Herts, **WD18 8YS**

t: 01923 225404 **f:** 01923 237404

e: reception@i2analytical.com

Analytical Report Number: 22-53919

Project / Site name: Phoneix Wharf Port Talbot Samples received on: 26/04/2022

Your job number: 2111006 003 Samples instructed on/ 26/04/2022

Analysis started on:

Your order number: Analysis completed by: 12/05/2022

Report Issue Number: Report issued on: 12/05/2022

Samples Analysed: 1 soil sample

Signed:

Martvna Langer Junior Reporting Specialist

For & on behalf of i2 Analytical Ltd.

Standard Geotechnical, Asbestos and Chemical Testing Laboratory located at: ul. Pionierów 39, 41 -711 Ruda Śląska, Poland.

Accredited tests are defined within the report, opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of accreditation.

Standard sample disposal times, unless otherwise agreed with the laboratory, are : soils - 4 weeks from reporting

leachates - 2 weeks from reporting waters - 2 weeks from reporting asbestos - 6 months from reporting

Excel copies of reports are only valid when accompanied by this PDF certificate.

Any assessments of compliance with specifications are based on actual analytical results with no contribution from uncertainty of measurement. Application of uncertainty of measurement would provide a range within which the true result lies. An estimate of measurement uncertainty can be provided on request.





Lab Sample Number	2251447				
Sample Reference	WS07				
Sample Number					None Supplied
Depth (m)					0.20-0.70
Date Sampled					21/04/2022
Time Taken					None Supplied
Analytical Parameter (Soil Analysis)		Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status	
Stone Content		%	0.1	NONE	< 0.1
Moisture Content		%	0.01	NONE	22
Total mass of sample received		kg	0.001	NONE	0.4

Asbestos in Soil	Type	N/A	ISO 17025	Not-detected
Asbestos Analyst ID	N/A	N/A	N/A	SPU

General Inorganics

pH - Automated	pH Units	N/A	MCERTS	7.8
Total Cyanide	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0
Total Sulphate as SO4	mg/kg	50	MCERTS	280
water Soluble SO4 16hr extraction (2:1 Leachate Equivalent)	g/l	0.00125	MCERTS	0.036
Sulphide	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	12
Total Organic Carbon (TOC) - Automated	%	0.1	MCERTS	6.4

Total Phenois

Total Phenols (monohydric)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0

Speciated PAHs

Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	9.3
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.6
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	6.5
Fluorene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	5.4
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	93
Anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	24
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	110
Pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	120
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	78
Chrysene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	75
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	73
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	32
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	67
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	40
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	9.3
Benzo(ghi)perylene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	42

Total PAH

Speciated Total EPA-16 PAHs	mg/kg	0.8	MCERTS	786





Lab Sample Number	2251447			
Sample Reference	WS07			
Sample Number				None Supplied
Depth (m)	0.20-0.70			
Date Sampled	21/04/2022			
Time Taken	None Supplied			
Analytical Parameter (Soil Analysis)	Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status	

Heavy Metals / Metalloids

Arsenic (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	15
Barium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	250
Beryllium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	0.06	MCERTS	0.91
Boron (water soluble)	mg/kg	0.2	MCERTS	0.5
Cadmium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	0.2	MCERTS	< 0.2
Chromium (hexavalent)	mg/kg	1.2	NONE	< 1.2
Chromium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	8.6
Copper (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	140
Lead (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	17
Mercury (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	0.3	MCERTS	< 0.3
Nickel (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	32
Selenium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0
Vanadium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	25
Zinc (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	47

Monoaromatics & Oxygenates

Benzene	μg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0
Toluene	μg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0
Ethylbenzene	μg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0
p & m-xylene	μg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0
o-xylene	μg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0
MTBE (Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether)	μg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0

Petroleum Hydrocarbons

TPH C10 - C40 EH_CU_1D_TOTAL	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	1500
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC5 - EC6 HS_1D_AL	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC6 - EC8 HS_1D_AL	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC8 - EC10 HS_1D_AL	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC10 - EC12 EH_CU_1D_AL	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC12 - EC16 EH_CU_1D_AL	mg/kg	2	MCERTS	5.4
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC16 - EC21 EH_CU_1D_AL	mg/kg	8	MCERTS	23
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC21 - EC35 EH_CU_1D_AL	mg/kg	8	MCERTS	98
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic (EC5 - EC35) _{EH_CU+HS_1D_AL}	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	130

TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC5 - EC7 HS_1D_AR	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC7 - EC8 HS_ID_AR	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC8 - EC10 HS_1D_AR	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC10 - EC12 EH_CU_1D_AR	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	5.1
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC12 - EC16 EH_CU_1D_AR	mg/kg	2	MCERTS	23
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC16 - EC21 EH_CU_1D_AR	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	400
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC21 - EC35 EH_CU_1D_AR	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	770
TPH-CWG - Aromatic (EC5 - EC35) EH_CU+HS_1D_AR	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	1200

U/S = Unsuitable Sample I/S = Insufficient Sample





Project / Site name: Phoneix Wharf Port Talbot

* These descriptions are only intended to act as a cross check if sample identities are questioned. The major constituent of the sample is intended to act with respect to MCERTS validation. The laboratory is accredited for sand, clay and loam (MCERTS) soil types. Data for unaccredited types of solid should be interpreted with care.

Stone content of a sample is calculated as the % weight of the stones not passing a 10 mm sieve. Results are not corrected for stone content.

Lab Sample Number	Sample Reference	Sample Number	Depth (m)	Sample Description *
2251447	WS07	None Supplied	0.20-0.70	Black loam and clay with gravel and clinker





Project / Site name: Phoneix Wharf Port Talbot

Water matrix abbreviations:
Surface Water (SW) Potable Water (PW) Ground Water (GW) Process Waters (PrW) Final Sewage Effluent (FSE) Landfill Leachate (LL)

Analytical Test Name	tical Test Name Analytical Method Description Analytical Method Reference					
Sulphate, water soluble, in soil (16hr extraction)	Determination of water soluble sulphate by ICP-OES. Results reported directly (leachate equivalent) and corrected for extraction ratio (soil equivalent).	In house method.	L038-PL	D	MCERTS	
Metals in soil by ICP-OES	Determination of metals in soil by aqua-regia digestion followed by ICP-OES.	In-house method based on MEWAM 2006 Methods for the Determination of Metals in Soil.	L038-PL	D	MCERTS	
Asbestos identification in soil	Asbestos Identification with the use of polarised light microscopy in conjunction with disperion staining techniques.	In house method based on HSG 248	A001-PL	D	ISO 17025	
Boron, water soluble, in soil	Determination of water soluble boron in soil by hot water extract followed by ICP-OES.	In-house method based on Second Site Properties version 3	L038-PL	D	MCERTS	
Moisture Content	Moisture content, determined gravimetrically. (30 oC)	In house method.	L019-UK/PL	W	NONE	
Monohydric phenols in soil	Determination of phenols in soil by extraction with sodium hydroxide followed by distillation followed by colorimetry.	In-house method based on Examination of Water and Wastewater 20th Edition: Clesceri, Greenberg & Eaton (skalar)	L080-PL	W	MCERTS	
Speciated EPA-16 PAHs in soil	Determination of PAH compounds in soil by extraction in dichloromethane and hexane followed by GC-MS with the use of surrogate and internal standards.	In-house method based on USEPA 8270	L064-PL	D	MCERTS	
pH in soil (automated)	Determination of pH in soil by addition of water followed by automated electrometric measurement.	In house method.	L099-PL	D	MCERTS	
Sulphide in soil	Determination of sulphide in soil by acidification and heating to liberate hydrogen sulphide, trapped in an alkaline solution then assayed by ion selective electrode.	In-house method	L010-PL	D	MCERTS	
Total sulphate (as SO4 in soil)	Determination of total sulphate in soil by extraction with 10% HCl followed by ICP-OES.	In house method.	L038-PL	D	MCERTS	
Stones content of soil	Standard preparation for all samples unless otherwise detailed. Gravimetric determination of stone > 10 mm as % dry weight.			D	NONE	
Total cyanide in soil	Determination of total cyanide by distillation followed by colorimetry.	In-house method based on Examination of Water and Wastewater 20th Edition: Clesceri, Greenberg & Eaton (Skalar)	L080-PL	W	MCERTS	
Total organic carbon (Automated) in soil	Determination of organic matter in soil by oxidising with potassium dichromate followed by titration with iron (II) sulphate.	In house method.	L009-PL	D	MCERTS	
BTEX and MTBE in soil (Monoaromatics)	Determination of BTEX in soil by headspace GC-MS.	In-house method based on USEPA8260	L073B-PL	W	MCERTS	
TPHCWG (Soil)	Determination of hexane extractable hydrocarbons in soil by GC-MS/GC-FID.	In-house method with silica gel split/clean up.	L088/76-PL	W	MCERTS	
TPH Banding in Soil by FID	Determination of hexane extractable hydrocarbons in soil by GC-FID.	In-house method, TPH with carbon banding and silica gel split/cleanup.	L076-PL	D	MCERTS	
Hexavalent chromium in soil (Lower Level)	Determination of hexavalent chromium in soil by extraction in water then by acidification, addition of 1,5 diphenylcarbazide followed by colorimetry.	In-house method	L080-PL	W	NONE	





Project / Site name: Phoneix Wharf Port Talbot

Water matrix abbreviations:

Surface Water (SW) Potable Water (PW) Ground Water (GW) Process Waters (PrW) Final Sewage Effluent (FSE) Landfill Leachate (LL)

Analytical Test Name	Analytical Method Description	Analytical Method Reference	Method number	Wet / Dry Analysis	Accreditation Status
----------------------	-------------------------------	-----------------------------	------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------

For method numbers ending in 'UK' analysis have been carried out in our laboratory in the United Kingdom.

For method numbers ending in 'PL' analysis have been carried out in our laboratory in Poland.

Soil analytical results are expressed on a dry weight basis. Where analysis is carried out on as-received the results obtained are multiplied by a moisture correction factor that is determined gravimetrically using the moisture content which is carried out at a maximum of 30oC.

Unless otherwise indicated, site information, order number, project number, sampling date, time, sample reference and depth are provided by the client. The instructed on date indicates the date on which this information was provided to the laboratory.

Information in Support of Analytical Results

List of HWOL Acronyms and Operators

Acronym	Descriptions
HS	Headspace Analysis
MS	Mass spectrometry
FID	Flame Ionisation Detector
GC	Gas Chromatography
EH	Extractable Hydrocarbons (i.e. everything extracted by the solvent(s))
CU	Clean-up - e.g. by Florisil®, silica gel
1D	GC - Single coil/column gas chromatography
2D	GC-GC - Double coil/column gas chromatography
Total	Aliphatics & Aromatics
AL	Aliphatics
AR	Aromatics
#1	EH_2D_Total but with humics mathematically subtracted
#2	EH_2D_Total but with fatty acids mathematically subtracted
_	Operator - understore to separate acronyms (exception for +)
+	Operator to indicate cumulative e.g. EH+HS_Total or EH_CU+HS_Total





Amy Butler

Tweedie Evans Consulting Ltd The Old Chapel 35a Southover Wells Somerset BA5 1UH

t: 01749 677 760 **f:** 01749 679 345

i2 Analytical Ltd.
7 Woodshots Meadow,
Croxley Green
Business Park,
Watford,
Herts,
WD18 8YS

t: 01923 225404 **f:** 01923 237404

e: reception@i2analytical.com

Analytical Report Number: 22-54703

Replaces Analytical Report Number: 22-54703, issue no. 1 Additional analysis undertaken.

Project / Site name:Phoenix Wharf, Port TalbotSamples received on:21/04/2022

Your job number: 2111006 **Samples instructed on/** 28/04/2022

Analysis started on:

Your order number: Analysis completed by: 02/06/2022

Report Issue Number: 2 **Report issued on:** 07/06/2022

Samples Analysed: 1 leachate sample - 5 soil samples

Signed:

Anna Goc

Junior Reporting Specialist

For & on behalf of i2 Analytical Ltd.

Standard Geotechnical, Asbestos and Chemical Testing Laboratory located at: ul. Pionierów 39, 41 -711 Ruda Śląska, Poland.

Accredited tests are defined within the report, opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of accreditation.

Standard sample disposal times, unless otherwise agreed with the laboratory, are : soils - 4 weeks from reporting

leachates - 2 weeks from reporting waters - 2 weeks from reporting asbestos - 6 months from reporting

Excel copies of reports are only valid when accompanied by this PDF certificate.

Any assessments of compliance with specifications are based on actual analytical results with no contribution from uncertainty of measurement. Application of uncertainty of measurement would provide a range within which the true result lies.

An estimate of measurement uncertainty can be provided on request.





Lab Sample Number				2255199	2255200	2255201	2255202	2255203
Sample Reference		WS01	WS01	WS02	WS05	WS06a		
Sample Number				None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied
Depth (m)				0.40-0.60	3.20-3.50	0.20-0.60	0.20-0.60	0.20-0.50
Date Sampled				14/04/2022	14/04/2022	14/04/2022	14/04/2022	14/04/2022
Time Taken				None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied
Analytical Parameter (Soil Analysis)	Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status					
Stone Content	%	0.1	NONE	20	27	45	< 0.1	< 0.1
Moisture Content	%	0.01	NONE	11	11	6.6	11	25
Total mass of sample received	kg	0.001	NONE	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
Ashartas in Sail Saraan / Idantification Nama	Туре	N/A	ISO 17025	_	l .	Charactile	_	_
Asbestos in Soil Screen / Identification Name	Туре	N/A	ISO 17025	- Not-detected	Not-detected	Chrysotile Detected	Not-detected	Not-detected
Asbestos in Soil Asbestos Quantification (Stage 2)	%	0.001	ISO 17025	Not-uetected	Not-detected	< 0.001	Not-uetected	ivot-detected
, ,	%	0.001	ISO 17025	-	-	< 0.001	-	-
Asbestos Quantification Total Asbestos Analyst ID	N/A	N/A	N/A	- GFI	- GFI	< 0.001 SSZ	- GFI	- GFI
ASDESTOS AlidiySt ID	.,			GFI	GF1	332	GFI	GFI
General Inorganics								
pH - Automated	pH Units	N/A	MCERTS	7.9	10.6	9	8	7.7
Total Cyanide	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Fotal Sulphate as SO4	mg/kg	50	MCERTS	750	-	1500	160	-
Water Soluble SO4 16hr extraction (2:1 Leachate Equivalent)	g/l	0.00125	MCERTS	0.021	0.29	0.28	0.006	0.023
Sulphide	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	21	150	830	2.9	2.2
Total Organic Carbon (TOC) - Automated	%	0.1	MCERTS	1.3	1.3	2.6	0.4	-
Total Organic Carbon (TOC) – Manual	%	0.1	MCERTS	-	-	-	-	7.3
	•							
Total Phenois	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	- 10		- 10		
Total Phenols (monohydric)	IIIg/kg	1	PICERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Speciated PAHs								
Naphthalene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	0.58	1.3	< 0.05	28
Acenaphthylene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.28	< 0.05	0.61
Acenaphthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.22	< 0.05	12
Fluorene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.95	< 0.05	10
Phenanthrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	1.3	0.96	6.9	< 0.05	99
Anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.21	< 0.05	1.8	< 0.05	38
Fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	1.7	1.1	8.8	< 0.05	100
Pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	1.3	0.88	6.3	< 0.05	120
Benzo(a)anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	1.2	0.73	5.5	< 0.05	130
Chrysene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.93	0.64	3.9	< 0.05	92
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.87	0.68	3.9	< 0.05	130
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.64	0.29	2.4	< 0.05	43
Benzo(a)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.63	0.45	3	< 0.05	110
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.38	0.25	1.6	< 0.05	55
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.62	< 0.05	18
Benzo(ghi)perylene	mg/kg	0.05	MCERTS	0.29	0.31	1.7	< 0.05	58
	-		•					
Total PAH	mg/kg	0.8	MCERTS	0 :-		45 :		
Speciated Total EPA-16 PAHs	mg/kg	0.0	PICERIS	9.46	6.82	49.1	< 0.80	1040





Lab Sample Number				2255199	2255200	2255201	2255202	2255203
Sample Reference	WS01	WS01	WS02	WS05	WS06a			
Sample Number		None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied		
Depth (m)				0.40-0.60	3.20-3.50	0.20-0.60	0.20-0.60	0.20-0.50
Date Sampled		14/04/2022	14/04/2022	14/04/2022	14/04/2022	14/04/2022		
Time Taken				None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied
		Ε.						
Analytical Parameter (Soil Analysis)	Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status					
Heavy Metals / Metalloids								
Arsenic (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	32	52	31	13	12
Barium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	220	200	270	13	170
Beryllium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	0.06	MCERTS	1.1	1.5	2.1	0.19	0.81
Boron (water soluble)	mg/kg	0.2	MCERTS	0.4	1.2	0.8	< 0.2	0.5
Cadmium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	0.2	MCERTS	3	< 0.2	1.5	< 0.2	< 0.2
Chromium (hexavalent)	mg/kg	1.2	NONE	< 1.2	< 1.2	< 1.2	< 1.2	< 1.2
Chromium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	99	240	31	6.6	6.8
Copper (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	56	54	180	33	60
Lead (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	270	560	120	10	19
Mercury (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	0.3	MCERTS	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3	< 0.3
Nickel (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	45	28	34	6.2	28
Selenium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Vanadium (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	320	240	51	15	23
Zinc (aqua regia extractable)	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	550	890	260	38	31
Monoaromatics & Oxygenates Benzene Toluene	μg/kg μg/kg	1	MCERTS MCERTS	< 1.0 < 1.0	-	< 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0	-
Ethylbenzene	μg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	< 1.0	-
p & m-xylene	μg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	< 1.0	-
o-xylene	μg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	< 1.0	-
MTBE (Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether)	μg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	< 1.0	-
Patrolaum Hadasanham								
Petroleum Hydrocarbons TPH C10 - C40 EH_CU_1D_TOTAL	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	37	_	75	22	
TITI CIO CIO EN COLD TOTAL	9/9			37	-	/5	22	-
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC5 - EC6 HS_ID_AL	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	_	< 0.001	< 0.001	_
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC5 - EC6 HS_1D_AL TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC6 - EC8 HS_1D_AL	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	-	< 0.001		-
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC6 - EC8 _{HS_ID_AL} TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC8 - EC10 _{HS_ID_AL}	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	-	< 0.001	< 0.001 < 0.001	-
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC8 - EC10 _{HS_1D_AL} TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC10 - EC12 _{EH_CU_1D_AL}	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	-	< 1.0	< 1.0	-
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC10 - EC12 _{EH_CU_1D_AL} TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC12 - EC16 _{EH_CU_1D_AL}	mg/kg	2	MCERTS	< 2.0	-	< 2.0	< 2.0	
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC12 - EC16 _{EH_CU_1D_AL} TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC16 - EC21 _{EH_CU_1D_AL}	mg/kg	8	MCERTS	< 2.0 < 8.0	-	< 8.0	< 8.0	-
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC16 - EC21 _{EH_CU_1D_AL} TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >EC21 - EC35 _{EH_CU_1D_AL}	mg/kg	8	MCERTS	< 8.0		< 8.0	< 8.0	-
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic (EC5 - EC35) _{EH_CU+HS_ID_AL}	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	< 8.0 < 10	-	< 8.0 < 10	< 8.0 < 10	-
En_cu+ns_tu_AL	<i>J.</i> 3			< 10	_	< 10	< 10	
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC5 - EC7 HS_1D_AR	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	_	< 0.001	< 0.001	_
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC7 - EC8 HS_1D_AR	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001		< 0.001	< 0.001	_
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC8 - EC10 HS_1D_AR TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC8 - EC10 HS_1D_AR	mg/kg	0.001	MCERTS	< 0.001	_	< 0.001	< 0.001	_
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC10 - EC12 _{EH_CU_1D_AR}	mg/kg	1	MCERTS	< 1.0	_	2	< 1.0	_
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC12 - EC16 FH CU 1D AR	mg/kg	2	MCERTS	5.3	_	6.6	< 2.0	_
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC16 - EC21 _{EH_CU_1D_AR}	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	12	_	27	< 10	-
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >EC21 - EC35 _{EH_CU_1D_AR}	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	20	_	39	17	_
TPH-CWG - Aromatic (EC5 - EC35) EH_CU+HS_ID_AR	mg/kg	10	MCERTS	37	-	75	22	-
				_				

 $\label{eq:U/S} \text{U/S} = \text{Unsuitable Sample} \hspace{5mm} \text{I/S} = \hspace{3mm} \text{Insufficient Sample}$





Project / Site name: Phoenix Wharf, Port Talbot

Your Order No:

Certificate of Analysis - Asbestos Quantification

Methods:

Qualitative Analysis

The samples were analysed qualitatively for asbestos by polarising light and dispersion staining as described by the Health and Safety Executive in HSG 248.

Quantitative Analysis

The analysis was carried out using our documented in-house method A006-PL based on HSE Contract Research Report No: 83/1996: Development and Validation of an analytical method to determine the amount of asbestos in soils and loose aggregates (Davies et al, 1996) and HSG 248. Our method includes initial examination of the entire representative sample, then fractionation and detailed analysis of each fraction, with quantification by hand picking and weighing.

The limit of detection (reporting limit) of this method is 0.001 %.

The method has been validated using samples of at least 100 g, results for samples smaller than this should be interpreted with caution.

Both Qualitative and Quantitative Analyses are UKAS accredited.

Sample Number	Sample ID	Sample Depth (m)	Sample Weight (g)	Asbestos Containing Material Types Detected (ACM)	PLM Results	Asbestos by hand picking/weighing (%)	Total % Asbestos in Sample
2255201	WS02	0.20-0.60	116	Loose Fibres	Chrysotile	< 0.001	< 0.001

Opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of UKAS accreditation.





Lab Sample Number				2255204
Sample Reference				WS03a
Sample Number	None Supplied			
Depth (m)				0.20-0.60
Date Sampled	14/04/2022			
Time Taken				None Supplied
Analytical Parameter (Leachate Analysis)	Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status	

General Inorganics

pH (automated)	pH Units	N/A	ISO 17025	8
Total Cyanide	μg/l	10	ISO 17025	< 10
Sulphate as SO ₄	mg/l	0.1	ISO 17025	14.5
Sulphide	μg/l	5	NONE	< 5.0
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/l	0.1	NONE	9.58

Total Phenols

Total Phenols (monohydric)	μg/l	10	ISO 17025	< 10

Speciated PAHs

Naphthalene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01
Acenaphthylene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01
Acenaphthene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01
Fluorene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01
Phenanthrene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01
Anthracene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01
Fluoranthene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01
Pyrene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01
Benzo(a)anthracene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01
Chrysene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01
Benzo(a)pyrene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	μg/l	0.01	NONE	< 0.01
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	μg/l	0.01	NONE	< 0.01
Benzo(ghi)perylene	μg/l	0.01	NONE	< 0.01

Total PAH

Total EPA-16 PAHs	μg/l	0.2	NONE	< 0.2

Heavy Metals / Metalloids

Arsenic (dissolved)	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	1.2
Barium (dissolved)	μg/l	0.05	ISO 17025	160
Beryllium (dissolved)	μg/l	0.2	ISO 17025	0.2
Boron (dissolved)	μg/l	10	ISO 17025	65
Cadmium (dissolved)	μg/l	0.08	ISO 17025	1.6
Chromium (dissolved)	μg/l	0.4	ISO 17025	3.5
Copper (dissolved)	μg/l	0.7	ISO 17025	19
Lead (dissolved)	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	4.1
Mercury (dissolved)	μg/l	0.5	ISO 17025	< 0.5
Nickel (dissolved)	μg/l	0.3	ISO 17025	3.6
Selenium (dissolved)	μg/l	4	ISO 17025	< 4.0
Vanadium (dissolved)	μg/l	1.7	ISO 17025	< 1.7
Zinc (dissolved)	μg/l	0.4	ISO 17025	12

 $\label{eq:U/S} \text{U/S} = \text{Unsuitable Sample} \qquad \text{I/S} = \ \text{Insufficient Sample}$





Project / Site name: Phoenix Wharf, Port Talbot

* These descriptions are only intended to act as a cross check if sample identities are questioned. The major constituent of the sample is intended to act with respect to MCERTS validation. The laboratory is accredited for sand, clay and loam (MCERTS) soil types. Data for unaccredited types of solid should be interpreted with care.

Stone content of a sample is calculated as the % weight of the stones not passing a 10 mm sieve. Results are not corrected for stone content.

Lab Sample Number	Sample Reference	Sample Number	Depth (m)	Sample Description *
2255199	WS01	None Supplied	0.40-0.60	Brown loam and clay with gravel and stones.
2255200	WS01	None Supplied	3.20-3.50	Brown clay and sand with gravel and stones.
2255201	WS02	None Supplied	0.20-0.60	Brown loam and clay with gravel and stones.
2255202	WS05	None Supplied	0.20-0.60	Brown sandy clay with gravel and vegetation.
2255203	WS06a	None Supplied	0.20-0.50	Brown clay and sand with gravel.





Project / Site name: Phoenix Wharf, Port Talbot

Water matrix abbreviations:
Surface Water (SW) Potable Water (PW) Ground Water (GW) Process Waters (PrW) Final Sewage Effluent (FSE) Landfill Leachate (LL)

Analytical Test Name	Analytical Method Description	Analytical Method Reference	Method number	Wet / Dry Analysis	Accreditation Status
Sulphate, water soluble, in soil (16hr extraction)	Determination of water soluble sulphate by ICP-OES. Results reported directly (leachate equivalent) and corrected for extraction ratio (soil equivalent).	In house method.	L038-PL	D	MCERTS
Metals in soil by ICP-OES	Determination of metals in soil by aqua-regia digestion followed by ICP-OES.	In-house method based on MEWAM 2006 Methods for the Determination of Metals in Soil.	L038-PL	D	MCERTS
NRA Leachate Prep	10:1 extract with de-ionised water shaken for 24 hours then filtered.	In-house method based on National Rivers Authority	L020-PL	w	NONE
Asbestos identification in soil	Asbestos Identification with the use of polarised light microscopy in conjunction with disperion staining techniques.	In house method based on HSG 248	A001-PL	D	ISO 17025
Metals by ICP-OES in leachate	Determination of metals in leachate by acidification followed by ICP-OES.	In-house method based on MEWAM 2006 Methods for the Determination of Metals in Soil.	L039-PL	w	ISO 17025
Boron in leachate	Determination of boron in leachate. Sample acidified and followed by ICP-OES.	In-house method based on MEWAM	L039-PL	w	ISO 17025
Boron, water soluble, in soil	Determination of water soluble boron in soil by hot water extract followed by ICP-OES.	In-house method based on Second Site Properties version 3	L038-PL	D	MCERTS
Moisture Content	Moisture content, determined gravimetrically. (30 oC)	In house method.	L019-UK/PL	W	NONE
Monohydric phenols in leachate	Determination of phenols in leachate by distillation followed by colorimetry.	In-house method based on Examination of Water and Wastewater 20th Edition: Clesceri, Greenberg & Eaton (skalar)	L080-PL	W	ISO 17025
Monohydric phenols in soil	Determination of phenols in soil by extraction with sodium hydroxide followed by distillation followed by colorimetry.	In-house method based on Examination of Water and Wastewater 20th Edition: Clesceri, Greenberg & Eaton (skalar)	L080-PL	w	MCERTS
Speciated EPA-16 PAHs in leachate	Determination of PAH compounds in leachate by extraction in dichloromethane followed by GC-MS with the use of surrogate and internal standards.	In-house method based on USEPA 8270	L102B-PL	W	ISO 17025
Speciated EPA-16 PAHs in soil	Determination of PAH compounds in soil by extraction in dichloromethane and hexane followed by GC-MS with the use of surrogate and internal standards.	In-house method based on USEPA 8270	L064-PL	D	MCERTS
pH in soil (automated)	Determination of pH in soil by addition of water followed by automated electrometric measurement.	In house method.	L099-PL	D	MCERTS
pH at 20oC in leachate (automated)	Determination of pH in leachate by electrometric measurement.	In house method.	L099B	w	ISO 17025
Sulphide in leachate	Determination of sulphide in leachate by ion selective electrode.	In-house method	L010-PL	W	NONE
Sulphide in soil	Determination of sulphide in soil by acidification and heating to liberate hydrogen sulphide, trapped in an alkaline solution then assayed by ion selective electrode.	In-house method	L010-PL	D	MCERTS
Total sulphate (as SO4 in soil)	Determination of total sulphate in soil by extraction with 10% HCI followed by ICP-OES.	In house method.	L038-PL	D	MCERTS





Project / Site name: Phoenix Wharf, Port Talbot

Water matrix abbreviations:

Surface Water (SW) Potable Water (PW) Ground Water (GW) Process Waters (PrW) Final Sewage Effluent (FSE) Landfill Leachate (LL)

Analytical Test Name	Analytical Method Description	Analytical Method Reference	Method number	Wet / Dry Analysis	Accreditation Status
Stones content of soil	Standard preparation for all samples unless otherwise detailed. Gravimetric determination of stone > 10 mm as % dry weight.	In-house method based on British Standard Methods and MCERTS requirements.	L019-UK/PL	D	NONE
Total cyanide in leachate	Determination of total cyanide by distillation followed by colorimetry.	In-house method based on Examination of Water and Wastewater 20th Edition: Clesceri, Greenberg & Eaton (Skalar)	L080-PL	W	ISO 17025
Total cyanide in soil	Determination of total cyanide by distillation followed by colorimetry.	In-house method based on Examination of Water and Wastewater 20th Edition: Clesceri, Greenberg & Eaton (Skalar)	L080-PL	W	MCERTS
Total organic carbon in leachate	Determination of dissolved organic carbon in leachate by TOC/DOC NDIR analyser.	In-house method based on Examination of Water and Wastewater 20th Edition: Clesceri, Greenberg & Eaton	L037-PL	W	NONE
Total organic carbon (Automated) in soil	Determination of organic matter in soil by oxidising with potassium dichromate followed by titration with iron (II) sulphate.	In house method.	L009-PL	D	MCERTS
BTEX and MTBE in soil (Monoaromatics)	Determination of BTEX in soil by headspace GC-MS.	In-house method based on USEPA8260	L073B-PL	W	MCERTS
TPHCWG (Soil)	Determination of hexane extractable hydrocarbons in soil by GC-MS/GC-FID.	In-house method with silica gel split/clean up.	L088/76-PL	W	MCERTS
Total organic carbon in soil	Determination of organic matter in soil by oxidising with potassium dichromate followed by titration with iron (II) sulphate.	In house method.	L023-PL	D	MCERTS
TPH Banding in Soil by FID	Determination of hexane extractable hydrocarbons in soil by GC-FID.	In-house method, TPH with carbon banding and silica gel split/cleanup.	L076-PL	D	MCERTS
Asbestos Quantification - Gravimetric	Asbestos quantification by gravimetric method - in house method based on references.	HSE Report No: 83/1996, HSG 248, HSG 264 & SCA Blue Book (draft).	A006-PL	D	ISO 17025
Sulphate in leachates	Determination of sulphate in leachate by acidification followed by ICP-OES.	In-house method based on MEWAM 1986 Methods for the Determination of Metals in Soil""	L039-PL	w	ISO 17025
Hexavalent chromium in soil (Lower Level)	Determination of hexavalent chromium in soil by extraction in water then by acidification, addition of 1,5 diphenylcarbazide followed by colorimetry.	In-house method	L080-PL	w	NONE

For method numbers ending in 'UK' analysis have been carried out in our laboratory in the United Kingdom. For method numbers ending in 'PL' analysis have been carried out in our laboratory in Poland.

Soil analytical results are expressed on a dry weight basis. Where analysis is carried out on as-received the results obtained are multiplied by a moisture correction factor that is determined gravimetrically using the moisture content which is carried out at a maximum of 30oC.

Unless otherwise indicated, site information, order number, project number, sampling date, time, sample reference and depth are provided by the client. The instructed on date indicates the date on which this information was provided to the laboratory.





Project / Site name: Phoenix Wharf, Port Talbot

Water matrix abbreviations:

Surface Water (SW) Potable Water (PW) Ground Water (GW) Process Waters (PrW) Final Sewage Effluent (FSE) Landfill Leachate (LL)

Analytical Test Name Analytical Method Description	Analytical Method Reference	Method number	Wet / Dry Analysis	Accreditation Status
--	-----------------------------	------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------

Information in Support of Analytical Results

List of HWOL Acronyms and Operators

Acronym	Descriptions
HS	Headspace Analysis
MS	Mass spectrometry
FID	Flame Ionisation Detector
GC	Gas Chromatography
EH	Extractable Hydrocarbons (i.e. everything extracted by the solvent(s))
CU	Clean-up - e.g. by Florisil®, silica gel
1D	GC - Single coil/column gas chromatography
2D	GC-GC - Double coil/column gas chromatography
Total	Aliphatics & Aromatics
AL	Aliphatics
AR	Aromatics
#1	EH_2D_Total but with humics mathematically subtracted
#2	EH_2D_Total but with fatty acids mathematically subtracted
_	Operator - understore to separate acronyms (exception for +)
+	Operator to indicate cumulative e.g. EH+HS_Total or EH_CU+HS_Total

Sample Deviation Report



Analytical Report Number : 22-54703

Project / Site name: Phoenix Wharf, Port Talbot

This deviation report indicates the sample and test deviations that apply to the samples submitted for analysis. Please note that the associated result(s) may be unreliable and should be interpreted with care.

Sample ID	Other ID		Lab Sample Number	Sample Deviation	Test Name	Test Ref	Test Deviation
WS01	None Supplied	S	2255199	С	Sulphide in soil	L010-PL	С
WS01	None Supplied	S	2255199	С	Total cyanide in soil	L080-PL	С
WS01	None Supplied	S	2255200	С	Sulphide in soil	L010-PL	С
WS01	None Supplied	S	2255200	С	Total cyanide in soil	L080-PL	С
WS02	None Supplied	S	2255201	С	Sulphide in soil	L010-PL	С
WS02	None Supplied	S	2255201	С	Total cyanide in soil	L080-PL	С
WS05	None Supplied	S	2255202	С	Sulphide in soil	L010-PL	С
WS05	None Supplied	S	2255202	С	Total cyanide in soil	L080-PL	С
WS06a	None Supplied	S	2255203	С	Sulphide in soil	L010-PL	С
WS06a	None Supplied	S	2255203	С	Total cyanide in soil	L080-PL	С





Mitchell Tucker

Tweedie Evans Consulting Ltd The Old Chapel 35a Southover Wells Somerset BA5 1UH

t: 01749 677 760 **f:** 01749 679 345

e: mitchell.tucker@tecon.co.uk

i2 Analytical Ltd.
7 Woodshots Meadow,
Croxley Green
Business Park,
Watford,
Herts,
WD18 8YS

t: 01923 225404 **f:** 01923 237404

e: reception@i2analytical.com

Analytical Report Number: 22-57758

Project / Site name:Phoenix Wharf, Port TalbotSamples received on:12/05/2022

Your job number: Samples instructed on/ 12/05/2022

Analysis started on:

Your order number: 2111006 003 Analysis completed by: 25/05/2022

Report Issue Number: 1 **Report issued on:** 01/06/2022

Samples Analysed: 10 water samples

ed:

Signed:

Martyna Langer Junior Reporting Specialist

For & on behalf of i2 Analytical Ltd.

Standard Geotechnical, Asbestos and Chemical Testing Laboratory located at: ul. Pionierów 39, 41 -711 Ruda Śląska, Poland.

Accredited tests are defined within the report, opinions and interpretations expressed herein are outside the scope of accreditation.

Standard sample disposal times, unless otherwise agreed with the laboratory, are : soils - 4 weeks from reporting

leachates - 2 weeks from reporting waters - 2 weeks from reporting asbestos - 6 months from reporting

Excel copies of reports are only valid when accompanied by this PDF certificate.

Any assessments of compliance with specifications are based on actual analytical results with no contribution from uncertainty of measurement. Application of uncertainty of measurement would provide a range within which the true result lies.

An estimate of measurement uncertainty can be provided on request.





Your Order	No:	2111006	003
------------	-----	---------	-----

Your Order No: 2111006 003								
Lab Sample Number	2272222	2272223	2272224	2272225	2272226			
Sample Reference		BH01	BH02	BH03 A	BH04	WS01		
Sample Number		None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied		
Depth (m)		None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied		
Date Sampled		10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022		
Time Taken		None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied		
Analytical Parameter (Water Analysis)	Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status					
General Inorganics								
pH	pH Units	N/A	ISO 17025	7.3	7	6.8	7.2	7.8
Electrical Conductivity at 20 °C	μS/cm	10	ISO 17025	1300	2200	4500	5400	1100
Total Cyanide	μg/l	10	ISO 17025	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Complex Cyanide	μg/l	10	ISO 17025	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Free Cyanide	μg/l	10	ISO 17025	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Sulphate as SO4	μg/l	45	ISO 17025	176000	149000	411000	142000	833000
Sulphide	μg/l	5	NONE	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	54
Chloride	mg/l	0.15	ISO 17025	460	990	1500*	2100*	22
Ammoniacal Nitrogen as NH4	μg/l	15	ISO 17025	2300	2200	2200	4400	27
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/l	0.1	ISO 17025	3.64	3.56	2.63	8.25	3.94
Total Phenols Total Phenols (monohydric)	μg/l	10	ISO 17025	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Speciated PAHs			_					
Naphthalene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	3.92
Acenaphthylene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.75
Acenaphthene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.76
Fluorene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	2.51
Phenanthrene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	7.58
Anthracene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	1.46
Fluoranthene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	6.28
Pyrene	μg/l 	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	4.5
Benzo(a)anthracene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	3.21
Chrysene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	4.09
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	μg/l 	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	4
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	1.44
Benzo(a)pyrene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	2.35
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	1.27
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.53
Benzo(ghi)perylene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	1.47
Total PAH								
Total EPA-16 PAHs	μg/l	0.16	ISO 17025	< 0.16	< 0.16	< 0.16	< 0.16	46.1





Your Order No: 2111006 003								
Lab Sample Number		2272222	2272223	2272224	2272225	2272226		
Sample Reference		BH01	BH02	BH03 A	BH04	WS01		
Sample Number		None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied		
Depth (m)		None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied		
Date Sampled				10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022
Time Taken				None Supplied				
Analytical Parameter (Water Analysis)	Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status					
Heavy Metals / Metalloids								
Boron (dissolved)	μg/l	10	ISO 17025	420	560	650	1000	490
Calcium (dissolved)	mg/l	0.012	ISO 17025	77	38	44	70	200
Chromium (hexavalent)	μg/l	5	ISO 17025	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0
Iron (dissolved)	mg/l	0.004	ISO 17025	0.03	0.035	0.072	0.1	0.074
Magnesium (dissolved)	mg/l	0.005	ISO 17025	75	94	89	140	37
Phosphorus (dissolved)	μg/l	20	ISO 17025	233	528	428	544	59.8
Potassium (dissolved)	mg/l	0.025	ISO 17025	36	41	35	74	41
Sodium (dissolved)	mg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	450	810	1000	1100	160
Antimony (dissolved)	μg/l	0.4	ISO 17025	< 0.4	0.5	< 0.4	0.6	2
Arsenic (dissolved)	μg/l	0.15	ISO 17025	0.96	1.83	1.11	2.93	4.21
Barium (dissolved)	μg/l	0.06	ISO 17025	52	97	26	96	40
Beryllium (dissolved)	μg/l	0.1	ISO 17025	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Cadmium (dissolved)	μg/l	0.02	ISO 17025	< 0.02	< 0.02	0.24	< 0.02	0.04
Chromium (dissolved)	μg/l	0.2	ISO 17025	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	0.4
Cobalt (dissolved)	μg/l	0.2	ISO 17025	2.9	3.9	3.5	3.4	12
Copper (dissolved)	μg/l	0.5	ISO 17025	0.7	< 0.5	0.7	< 0.5	1.8
Lead (dissolved)	μg/l	0.2	ISO 17025	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2	5.7
Manganese (dissolved)	μg/l	0.05	ISO 17025	1400	3000	4400	3300	5200
Mercury (dissolved)	μg/l	0.05	ISO 17025	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Molybdenum (dissolved)	μg/l	0.05	ISO 17025	2.7	0.39	0.17	3.2	71
Nickel (dissolved)	μg/l	0.5	ISO 17025	1.6	6.3	3.2	4.1	14
Selenium (dissolved)	μg/l	0.6	ISO 17025	2.6	5.3	7.8	7.8	1.2
Tin (dissolved)	μg/l	0.2	ISO 17025	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	0.41
Vanadium (dissolved)	μg/l	0.2	ISO 17025	< 0.2	0.8	0.3	1.2	6.4
Zinc (dissolved)	μg/l	0.5	ISO 17025	2.7	6	3.9	1.5	5.8
Monoaromatics & Oxygenates								
Benzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Toluene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Ethylbenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
p & m-xylene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
o-xylene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
MTBE (Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether)	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0





Your Order No: 2111006 003								
Lab Sample Number				2272222	2272223	2272224	2272225	2272226
Sample Reference	BH01	BH02	BH03 A	BH04	WS01			
Sample Number		None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied		
Depth (m)		None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied		
Date Sampled	10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022			
Time Taken				None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied
Analytical Parameter (Water Analysis)	Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status	·	··			
Petroleum Hydrocarbons								
Mineral Oil (C10 - C40) EH_1D_AL_#1_#2_MS	μg/l	10	NONE	< 10.0	< 10.0	< 10.0	< 10.0	< 10.0
Diesel Range Organics (C10 - C25) EH_1D_TOTAL_#1_#2_MS	μg/l	10	NONE	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	290
	-	-	•	-	-		-	-
TPH1 (C10 - C40) _{EH_2D_TOTAL} _#1_#2	μg/l	10	ISO 17025	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	370
TPH2 (C6 - C10) _{HS 1D TOTAL}	μg/l	10	ISO 17025	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
						-		
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >C5 - C6 HS 1D AL	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >C6 - C8 HS 1D Al	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >C8 - C10 _{HS 1D AL}	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >C10 - C12 _{EH_1D_AL_#1_#2_MS}	μg/l	10	NONE	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >C12 - C16 EH 1D AL #1 #2 MS	μg/l	10	NONE	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >C16 - C21 EH_1D_AL_#1_#2_MS	μg/l	10	NONE	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >C21 - C35 EH_1D_AL_#1_#2_MS	μg/l	10	NONE	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic (C5 - C35) _{HS+EH_1D_AL_#1_#2_MS}	μg/l	10	NONE	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
710101010101010			1	1 10	1 10	1 10	110	1 10
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >C5 - C7 _{HS 1D AR}	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >C7 - C8 HS_1D_AR	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >C8 - C10 _{HS_1D_AR}	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >C10 - C12 _{EH_1D_AR_#1_#2_MS}	μg/l	10	NONE	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	26
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >C12 - C16 _{EH_1D_AR_#1_#2_MS}	μg/l	10	NONE	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	150
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >C16 - C21 _{EH_1D_AR_#1_#2_MS}	μg/l	10	NONE	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	120
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >C21 - C35 _{EH_1D_AR_#1_#2_MS}	μg/l	10	NONE	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	75
TPH-CWG - Aromatic (C5 - C35) HS+EH_1D_AR_#1_#2_MS	μg/l	10	NONE	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	370
					-		-	
VOCs			TCO 1702F					
Chloromethane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Chloroethane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Bromomethane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025 NONE	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Vinyl Chloride	μg/l μg/l	1	NONE	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Trichlorofluoromethane 1,1-Dichloroethene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	µg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Cis-1,2-dichloroethene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
MTBE (Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether)	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1-Dichloroethane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
2,2-Dichloropropane	µg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Trichloromethane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,2-Dichloroethane	µg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1-Dichloropropene		1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
	μg/l			< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
	μg/l μg/l	1	ISO 17025					
Trans-1,2-dichloroethene		1	ISO 17025 ISO 17025					< 1.0
Trans-1,2-dichloroethene Benzene	μg/l			< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0
Trans-1,2-dichloroethene Benzene Tetrachloromethane	µg/I µg/I µg/I	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0
Trans-1,2-dichloroethene Benzene Tetrachloromethane 1,2-Dichloropropane	µg/l µg/l µg/l	1	ISO 17025 ISO 17025	< 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0			
Trans-1,2-dichloroethene Benzene Tetrachloromethane 1,2-Dichloropropane Trichloroethene	µg/l µg/l µg/l µg/l	1 1 1	ISO 17025 ISO 17025 ISO 17025	< 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0			
Trans-1,2-dichloroethene Benzene Tetrachloromethane 1,2-Dichloropropane Trichloroethene Dibromomethane	µg/l µg/l µg/l µg/l µg/l	1 1 1	ISO 17025 ISO 17025 ISO 17025 ISO 17025 ISO 17025	< 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0			
Trans-1,2-dichloroethene Benzene Tetrachloromethane 1,2-Dichloropropane Trichloroethene Dibromomethane Bromodichloromethane	µg/l µg/l µg/l µg/l µg/l µg/l	1 1 1 1	ISO 17025 ISO 17025 ISO 17025 ISO 17025	< 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0			
Trans-1,2-dichloroethene Benzene Tetrachloromethane 1,2-Dichloropropane Trichloroethene Dibromomethane	µg/l µg/l µg/l µg/l µg/l	1 1 1 1 1	ISO 17025 ISO 17025 ISO 17025 ISO 17025 ISO 17025 ISO 17025	< 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0 < 1.0			





Your Order No: 2111006 003								
Lab Sample Number	2272222	2272223	2272224	2272225	2272226			
Sample Reference				BH01	BH02	BH03 A	BH04	WS01
Sample Number	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied			
Depth (m)				None Supplied				
Date Sampled				10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022
Time Taken				None Supplied				
Analytical Parameter (Water Analysis)	Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status					
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,3-Dichloropropane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Dibromochloromethane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Tetrachloroethene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,2-Dibromoethane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Chlorobenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Ethylbenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
p & m-Xylene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Styrene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Tribromomethane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
o-Xylene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Isopropylbenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Bromobenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
n-Propylbenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
2-Chlorotoluene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
4-Chlorotoluene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
tert-Butylbenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
sec-Butylbenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
p-Isopropyltoluene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Butylbenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0





Your Order No: 2111006 003								1
Lab Sample Number				2272222	2272223	2272224	2272225	2272226
Sample Reference				BH01	BH02	BH03 A	BH04	WS01
Sample Number				None Supplied				
Depth (m)				None Supplied				
Date Sampled				10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022
Time Taken	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied			
Analytical Parameter (Water Analysis)	Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status					
		읔	_					
SVOCs								
Aniline	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Phenol	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
2-Chlorophenol	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
2-Methylphenol	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Hexachloroethane	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Nitrobenzene	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
	μg/l	0.05	NONE					
4-Methylphenol	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Isophorone		0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
2-Nitrophenol	μg/l			< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
2,4-Dimethylphenol	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Naphthalene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	3.9
2,4-Dichlorophenol	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
4-Chloroaniline	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
2-Methylnaphthalene	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	7.1
2-Chloronaphthalene	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Dimethylphthalate	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Acenaphthylene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.75
Acenaphthene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.76
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Dibenzofuran	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	2.1
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Diethyl phthalate	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
4-Nitroaniline	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Fluorene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	2.5
Azobenzene	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Bromophenyl phenyl ether	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Hexachlorobenzene	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Phenanthrene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	7.6
Anthracene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	1.5
Carbazole	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.89
Dibutyl phthalate	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Anthraquinone	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
	μg/I μg/I	0.05	ISO 17025					
Fluoranthene		0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	6.3
Pyrene	μg/l			< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	4.5
Butyl benzyl phthalate	μg/l	0.05	NONE ICO 1702E	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Benzo(a)anthracene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	3.2
Chrysene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	4.1
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	4
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	1.4





Project / Site name: Phoenix Wharf, Port Talbot

Tour Order No. 2111000 003													
Lab Sample Number	2272222	2272223	2272224	2272225	2272226								
Sample Reference				BH01	BH02	BH03 A	BH04	WS01					
Sample Number Depth (m) Date Sampled Time Taken				None Supplied None Supplied 10/05/2022									
									None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied
									Analytical Parameter (Water Analysis)	Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status	
				Benzo(a)pyrene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	2.4	
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	1.3					
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	0.53					
Benzo(ghi)perylene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	< 0.01	1.5					
3&4-Methylphenol	μg/l	0.1	NONE	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10					

 $[\]label{eq:U/S} \mbox{U/S} = \mbox{Unsuitable Sample} \hspace{0.5cm} \mbox{I/S} = \mbox{Insufficient Sample}$

 $[\]ensuremath{^{*}}$ Result was reported from high dilution and should be interpreted with care





Your Order No: 2111006 003								
Lab Sample Number				2272227	2272228	2272229	2272230	2272231
Sample Reference				WS03A	WS06	WS07	WS10	WS11
Sample Number Depth (m) Date Sampled Time Taken				None Supplied				
				None Supplied				
				10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022
				None Supplied				
Analytical Parameter (Water Analysis)	Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status					
General Inorganics								
pH	pH Units	N/A	ISO 17025	7.4	7.2	7.2	7.2	7.1
Electrical Conductivity at 20 °C	μS/cm	10	ISO 17025	560	1800	620	580	660
Total Cyanide	μg/l	10	ISO 17025	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Complex Cyanide	μg/l	10	ISO 17025	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Free Cyanide	μg/l	10	ISO 17025	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Sulphate as SO4	μg/l	45	ISO 17025	37000	16600	27200	48500	97600
Sulphide	μg/l	5	NONE	< 5.0	8.9	24	< 5.0	< 5.0
Chloride	mg/l	0.15	ISO 17025	42	520	99	29	22
Ammoniacal Nitrogen as NH4	μg/l	15	ISO 17025	< 15	7400	1200	1000	2200
Total Organic Carbon (TOC)	mg/l	0.1	ISO 17025	3.53	15.6	4.5	3.1	6.49
Total Phenols (monohydric)	µg/l	10	ISO 17025	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
Speciated PAHs								
Naphthalene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	2.93	5.37	0.72	< 0.01
Acenaphthylene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	6.16	1	< 0.01	< 0.01
Acenaphthene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	3.48	3.17	< 0.01	< 0.01
Fluorene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	9.34	3.13	0.3	< 0.01
Phenanthrene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	0.94	70	39.1	1.76	< 0.01
Anthracene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	23.6	14.2	0.61	< 0.01
Fluoranthene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	1.24	50.2	75.9	2	< 0.01
Pyrene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	1.07	58.2	69.9	1.75	< 0.01
Benzo(a)anthracene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	0.43	26.1	31.5	0.88	< 0.01
Chrysene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025 ISO 17025	0.46	23	32.4	0.98	< 0.01
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	μg/l			0.47	17.4	32.4	1.43	< 0.01
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	0.22	7.3	8.86	1.6	< 0.01
Benzo(a)pyrene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	0.33	14.8	20.5	0.76	< 0.01
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	5.81	7.07	0.37	< 0.01
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025 ISO 17025	< 0.01	1.96	2.5	0.06	< 0.01
Benzo(ghi)perylene	µg/I	0.01	150 1/025	< 0.01	6.8	9.67	0.42	< 0.01
Total PAH								
TOWN TAIL								





Your Order No: 2111006 003								
Lab Sample Number				2272227	2272228	2272229	2272230	2272231
Sample Reference				WS03A	WS06	WS07	WS10	WS11
Sample Number				None Supplied				
Depth (m)				None Supplied				
Date Sampled				10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022
Time Taken				None Supplied				
Analytical Parameter (Water Analysis)	Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status					
Heavy Metals / Metalloids								
Boron (dissolved)	μg/l	10	ISO 17025	130	610	270	180	220
Calcium (dissolved)	mg/l	0.012	ISO 17025	110	110	110	180	220
Chromium (hexavalent)	μg/l	5	ISO 17025	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0	< 5.0
Iron (dissolved)	mg/l	0.004	ISO 17025	0.13	3	2.4	1	0.046
Magnesium (dissolved)	mg/l	0.005	ISO 17025	23	50	33	24	26
Phosphorus (dissolved)	μg/l	20	ISO 17025	286	552	593	138	54.2
Potassium (dissolved)	mg/l	0.025	ISO 17025	5	18	11	8.8	12
Sodium (dissolved)	mg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	19	360	84	42	18
Antimony (dissolved)	μg/l	0.4	ISO 17025	0.9	1.4	1.4	7.3	1.1
Arsenic (dissolved)	μg/l	0.15	ISO 17025	2.91	49.8	18.1	5.59	11.1
Barium (dissolved)	μg/l	0.06	ISO 17025	24	130	89	140	100
Beryllium (dissolved)	μg/l	0.1	ISO 17025	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1	< 0.1
Cadmium (dissolved)	μg/l	0.02	ISO 17025	0.07	< 0.02	< 0.02	0.04	< 0.02
Chromium (dissolved)	μg/l	0.2	ISO 17025	< 0.2	0.6	< 0.2	< 0.2	< 0.2
Cobalt (dissolved)	μg/l	0.2	ISO 17025	3.1	5.8	5.3	3.2	2.2
Copper (dissolved)	μg/l	0.5	ISO 17025	1.6	0.9	0.7	0.9	< 0.5
Lead (dissolved)	μg/l	0.2	ISO 17025	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.3
Manganese (dissolved)	μg/l	0.05	ISO 17025	1600	2600	1200	2300	1400
Mercury (dissolved)	μg/l	0.05	ISO 17025	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Molybdenum (dissolved)	μg/l	0.05	ISO 17025	17	8.6	15	3	3.5
Nickel (dissolved)	μg/l	0.5	ISO 17025	1.8	2.8	2.9	9.9	2.5
Selenium (dissolved)	μg/l	0.6	ISO 17025	< 0.6	6.2	< 0.6	< 0.6	0.6
Tin (dissolved)	μg/l	0.2	ISO 17025	< 0.20	< 0.20	0.21	< 0.20	< 0.20
Vanadium (dissolved)	μg/l	0.2	ISO 17025	1.2	5.6	1.9	1.1	4.6
Zinc (dissolved)	μg/l	0.5	ISO 17025	8.8	1.5	2	8.4	1.6
Monoaromatics & Oxygenates								
Benzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Toluene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Ethylbenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
p & m-xylene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
o-xylene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
MTBE (Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether)	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
,,,, 				- 1.0	2.0	- 2.0		. 1.0





Lab Sample Number Sample Reference Sample Number Depth (m) Date Sampled Time Taken Analytical Parameter (Water Analysis) Petroleum Hydrocarbons	Units	E		2272227 WS03A None Supplied None Supplied	2272228 WS06 None Supplied	2272229 WS07 None Supplied	2272230 WS10 None Supplied	2272231 WS11 None Supplied
Sample Number Depth (m) Date Sampled Time Taken Analytical Parameter (Water Analysis)	Uni	5		None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied		
Depth (m) Date Sampled Time Taken Analytical Parameter (Water Analysis)	Uni	E					None Supplied	None Supplied
Date Sampled Time Taken Analytical Parameter (Water Analysis)	Uni	E		None Supplied	None Constinu	,		
Time Taken Analytical Parameter (Water Analysis)	Uni	E			None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied
Analytical Parameter (Water Analysis)	Uni	5		10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022
Analytical Parameter (Water Analysis)	Uni	5		None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied	None Supplied
Datuslaum Hudvasauhans	ţ	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status					
Petroleum nyurocarbons								
Mineral Oil (C10 - C40) EH_1D_AL_#1_#2_MS	μg/l	10	NONE	< 10.0	< 10.0	< 10.0	< 10.0	< 10.0
Diesel Range Organics (C10 - C25) EH_1D_TOTAL_#1_#2_MS	μg/l	10	NONE	< 10	1400	3100	11	< 10
TPH1 (C10 - C40) _{EH_2D_TOTAL_#1_#2}	μg/l	10	ISO 17025	< 10	2000	3900	15	< 10
TPH2 (C6 - C10) HS_1D_TOTAL	μg/l	10	ISO 17025	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
					,			
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >C5 - C6 HS_1D_AL	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >C6 - C8 HS_1D_AL	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >C8 - C10 HS_1D_AL	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >C10 - C12 EH_1D_AL_#1_#2_MS	μg/l	10	NONE	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >C12 - C16 EH 1D AL #1 #2 MS	μg/l	10	NONE	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >C16 - C21 EH_1D_AL_#1_#2_MS	μg/l	10	NONE	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic >C21 - C35 EH_1D_AL_#1_#2_MS	μg/l	10	NONE	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aliphatic (C5 - C35) HS+EH_1D_AL_#1_#2_MS	μg/l	10	NONE	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >C5 - C7 HS 1D AR	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >C7 - C8 HS_1D_AR	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >C8 - C10 HS_1D_AR	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >C10 - C12 EH_1D_AR_#1_#2_MS	μg/l	10	NONE	< 10	26	43	< 10	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >C12 - C16 EH_1D_AR_#1_#2_MS	μg/l	10	NONE	< 10	210	300	< 10	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >C16 - C21 EH_1D_AR_#1_#2_MS	μg/l	10	NONE	< 10	1200	2800	< 10	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aromatic >C21 - C35 EH_1D_AR_#1_#2_MS	μg/l	10	NONE	< 10	530	820	< 10	< 10
TPH-CWG - Aromatic (C5 - C35) HS+EH_1D_AR_#1_#2_MS	μg/l	10	NONE	< 10	2000	3900	< 10	< 10
VOCs		-	100 17025					
Chloromethane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025 ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Chloroethane	μg/l		ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Bromomethane	μg/l	1	NONE	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Vinyl Chloride	μg/l μg/l	1	NONE	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Trichlorofluoromethane 1,1-Dichloroethene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0	< 1.0 < 1.0
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Cis-1,2-dichloroethene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
MTBE (Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether)	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1-Dichloroethane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
2,2-Dichloropropane	µg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Trichloromethane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,2-Dichloroethane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1-Dichloropropene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Trans-1,2-dichloroethene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Benzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Tetrachloromethane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,2-Dichloropropane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Trichloroethene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Dibromomethane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Bromodichloromethane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Cis-1,3-dichloropropene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
/: -: -: -: -: -: -: -: -: -: -: -: -:	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Trans-1,3-dichloropropene				- 1.0	- 1.0	- 1.0	- 1.0	- 1.0





Your Order No: 2111006 003								
Lab Sample Number				2272227	2272228	2272229	2272230	2272231
Sample Reference				WS03A	WS06	WS07	WS10	WS11
Sample Number				None Supplied				
Depth (m)				None Supplied				
Date Sampled				10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022
Time Taken				None Supplied				
Analytical Parameter (Water Analysis)	Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status					
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,3-Dichloropropane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Dibromochloromethane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Tetrachloroethene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,2-Dibromoethane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Chlorobenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Ethylbenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
p & m-Xylene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Styrene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Tribromomethane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
o-Xylene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Isopropylbenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Bromobenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
n-Propylbenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
2-Chlorotoluene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
4-Chlorotoluene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
tert-Butylbenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
sec-Butylbenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
p-Isopropyltoluene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Butylbenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	μg/l	1	ISO 17025	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0	< 1.0





Your Order No: 2111006 003								
Lab Sample Number				2272227	2272228	2272229	2272230	2272231
Sample Reference				WS03A	WS06	WS07	WS10	WS11
Sample Number				None Supplied				
Depth (m)				None Supplied				
Date Sampled				10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022
Time Taken				None Supplied				
Analytical Parameter (Water Analysis)	Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status					
		9	3					1
SVOCs								
Aniline	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Phenol	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
2-Chlorophenol	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Bis(2-chloroethyl)ether	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Bis(2-chloroisopropyl)ether	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
2-Methylphenol	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Hexachloroethane	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Nitrobenzene	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05		
4-Methylphenol	μg/l	0.05	NONE				< 0.05	< 0.05
Isophorone		0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
2-Nitrophenol	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
2,4-Dimethylphenol	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	0.71	< 0.05	< 0.05
Bis(2-chloroethoxy)methane	μg/l			< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Naphthalene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	2.9	5.4	0.72	< 0.01
2,4-Dichlorophenol	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
4-Chloroaniline	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
4-Chloro-3-methylphenol	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
2,4,6-Trichlorophenol	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
2,4,5-Trichlorophenol	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
2-Methylnaphthalene	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	5	8.3	1.2	< 0.05
2-Chloronaphthalene	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Dimethylphthalate	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
2,6-Dinitrotoluene	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Acenaphthylene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	6.2	1	< 0.01	< 0.01
Acenaphthene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	3.5	3.2	< 0.01	< 0.01
2,4-Dinitrotoluene	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Dibenzofuran	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	9	13	< 0.05	< 0.05
4-Chlorophenyl phenyl ether	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Diethyl phthalate	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
4-Nitroaniline	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Fluorene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	9.3	3.1	0.3	< 0.01
Azobenzene	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Bromophenyl phenyl ether	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Hexachlorobenzene	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Phenanthrene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	0.94	70	39	1.8	< 0.01
Anthracene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	24	14	0.61	< 0.01
Carbazole	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	15	29	< 0.05	< 0.05
Dibutyl phthalate	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Anthraquinone	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	13	23	< 0.05	< 0.05
Fluoranthene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	1.2	50	76	2	< 0.01
Pyrene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	1.1	58	70	1.8	< 0.01
Butyl benzyl phthalate	μg/l	0.05	NONE	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05	< 0.05
Benzo(a)anthracene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	0.43	26	32	0.88	< 0.01
Chrysene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	0.46	23	32	0.98	< 0.01
Benzo(b)fluoranthene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	0.47	17	32	1.4	< 0.01
Benzo(k)fluoranthene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	0.22	7.3	8.9	1.6	< 0.01
	-	-						





Analytical Report Number: 22-57758

Project / Site name: Phoenix Wharf, Port Talbot

Your Order No: 2111006 003

Tour Order No. 2111000 005								
Lab Sample Number				2272227	2272228	2272229	2272230	2272231
Sample Reference				WS03A	WS06	WS07	WS10	WS11
Sample Number				None Supplied				
Depth (m)				None Supplied				
Date Sampled				10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022	10/05/2022
Time Taken				None Supplied				
Analytical Parameter (Water Analysis)	Units	Limit of detection	Accreditation Status					
Benzo(a)pyrene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	0.33	15	21	0.76	< 0.01
Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	5.8	7.1	0.37	< 0.01
Dibenz(a,h)anthracene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	2	2.5	0.06	< 0.01
Benzo(ghi)perylene	μg/l	0.01	ISO 17025	< 0.01	6.8	9.7	0.42	< 0.01
3&4-Methylphenol	μg/l	0.1	NONE	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10

 $\label{eq:U/S} \mbox{U/S} = \mbox{Unsuitable Sample} \hspace{0.5cm} \mbox{I/S} = \mbox{Insufficient Sample}$

 $[\]ensuremath{^{*}}$ Result was reported from high dilution and should be interpreted with care





Analytical Report Number : 22-57758

Project / Site name: Phoenix Wharf, Port Talbot

Water matrix abbreviations:
Surface Water (SW) Potable Water (PW) Ground Water (GW) Process Waters (PrW) Final Sewage Effluent (FSE) Landfill Leachate (LL)

Analytical Test Name	Analytical Method Description	Analytical Method Reference	Method number	Wet / Dry Analysis	Accreditation Status
Metals in water by ICP-MS (dissolved)	Determination of metals in water by acidification followed by ICP-MS. Accredited Matrices: SW, GW, PW except B=SW,GW, Hg=SW,PW. Al=SW,PW.	In-house method based on USEPA Method 6020 & 200.8 "for the determination of trace elements in water by ICP-MS.	L012-PL	W	ISO 17025
Metals in water by ICP-OES (dissolved)	Determination of metals in water by acidification followed by ICP-OES. Accredited Matrices SW, GW, PW, PrW.(Al, Cu,Fe,Zn).	In-house method based on MEWAM 2006 Methods for the Determination of Metals in Soil.	L039-PL	W	ISO 17025
Boron in water	Determination of boron in water by acidification followed by ICP-OES. Accredited matrices: SW PW GW	In-house method based on MEWAM	L039-PL	W	ISO 17025
Complex cyanide in water	Determination of complex cyanide by calculation. Accredited matrices SW, PW, GW.	In-house method based on Examination of Water and Wastewater 20th Edition: Clesceri, Greenberg & Eaton (Skalar)	L080-PL	W	ISO 17025
Hexavalent chromium in water	Determination of hexavalent chromium in water by acidification, addition of 1,5 diphenylcarbazide followed by colorimetry.	In-house method by continuous flow analyser. Accredited Matrices SW, GW, PW.	L080-PL	W	ISO 17025
Electrical conductivity at 20oC of water	Determination of electrical conductivity in water by electrometric measurement. Accredited Matrices SW, GW, PW	In-house method	L031-PL	W	ISO 17025
Free cyanide in water	Determination of free cyanide by distillation followed by colorimetry. Accredited matrices SW, GW, PW.	In-house method based on Examination of Water and Wastewater 20th Edition: Clesceri, Greenberg & Eaton (Skalar)	L080-PL	W	ISO 17025
Mineral Oil (Waters) C10 - C40	Determination of dichloromethane extractable hydrocarbons in water by GC-MS.	In-house method	L070-PL	W	NONE
Monohydric phenols in water	Determination of phenols in water by continuous flow analyser. Accredited matrices: SW PW GW	In-house method based on Examination of Water and Wastewater 20th Edition: Clesceri, Greenberg & Eaton (skalar)	L080-PL	W	ISO 17025
Speciated EPA-16 PAHs in water	Determination of PAH compounds in water by extraction in dichloromethane followed by GC-MS with the use of surrogate and internal standards. Accredited matrices: SW PW GW	In-house method based on USEPA 8270	L102B-PL	W	ISO 17025
Sulphide in water	Determination of sulphide in water by ion selective electrode.	In-house method	L029-PL	W	NONE
Sulphate in water	Determination of sulphate in water after filtration by acidification followed by ICP-OES. Accredited Matrices SW, GW, PW.	In-house method based on MEWAM 2006 Methods for the Determination of Metals in Soil.	L039-PL	W	ISO 17025
Semi-volatile organic compounds in water	Determination of semi-volatile organic compounds in leachate by extraction in dichloromethane followed by GC-MS.	In-house method based on USEPA 8270	L102B-PL	W	ISO 17025
TPH2 (Waters)	Determination of hydrocarbons C6-C10 by headspace GC MS. Accredited Matrices SW, PW. GW.	In-house method based on USEPA8260	L088-PL	W	ISO 17025
TPHCWG (Waters)	Determination of dichloromethane extractable hydrocarbons in water by GC-MS, speciation by interpretation.	In-house method	L070-PL	W	ISO 17025
Total cyanide in water	Determination of total cyanide by distillation followed by colorimetry. Accredited matrices: SW PW GW	In-house method based on Examination of Water and Wastewater 20th Edition: Clesceri, Greenberg & Eaton (Skalar)	L080-PL	w	ISO 17025
Total organic carbon in water	Determination of dissolved organic carbon in water by TOC/DOC NDIR analyser. Accredited matrices: SW PW GW.	In-house method based on Examination of Water and Wastewater 20th Edition: Clesceri, Greenberg & Eaton	L037-PL	W	ISO 17025





Analytical Report Number: 22-57758

Project / Site name: Phoenix Wharf, Port Talbot

Water matrix abbreviations:
Surface Water (SW) Potable Water (PW) Ground Water (GW) Process Waters (PrW) Final Sewage Effluent (FSE) Landfill Leachate (LL)

Analytical Test Name	Analytical Method Description	Analytical Method Reference	Method number	Wet / Dry Analysis	Accreditation Status
Volatile organic compounds in water	Determination of volatile organic compounds in water by headspace GC-MS. Accredited matrices: SW PW GW	In-house method based on USEPA8260	L073B-PL	W	ISO 17025
BTEX and MTBE in water (Monoaromatics)	Determination of BTEX and MTBE in water by headspace GC-MS. Accredited matrices: SW PW GW	In-house method based on USEPA8260	L073B-PL	w	ISO 17025
Ammonium as NH4 in water	Determination of Ammonium/Ammonia/ Ammoniacal Nitrogen by the colorimetric salicylate/nitroprusside method. Accredited matrices SW, GW, PW.	In-house method based on Examination of Water and Wastewater 20th Edition: Clesceri, Greenberg & Eaton	L082-PL	w	ISO 17025
DRO (Waters)	Determination of dichloromethane extractable hydrocarbons in water by GC-MS.	In-house method	L070-PL	W	NONE
pH at 20oC in water (automated)	Determination of pH in water by electrometric measurement. Accredited matrices: SW PW GW	In house method.	L099-PL	W	ISO 17025
TPH1 (Waters)	Determination of dichloromethane extractable hydrocarbons in water by GC-MS.	In-house method	L070-PL	w	ISO 17025
Chloride in water	Determination of Chloride (diissolved) colorimetrically by discrete analyser.	In house based on MEWAM Method ISBN 0117516260. Accredited matrices: SW, PW, GW.	L082-PL	W	ISO 17025

For method numbers ending in 'UK' analysis have been carried out in our laboratory in the United Kingdom.

For method numbers ending in 'PL' analysis have been carried out in our laboratory in Poland.

Soil analytical results are expressed on a dry weight basis. Where analysis is carried out on as-received the results obtained are multiplied by a moisture correction factor that is determined gravimetrically using the moisture content which is carried out at a maximum of 30oC.

Unless otherwise indicated, site information, order number, project number, sampling date, time, sample reference and depth are provided by the client. The instructed on date indicates the date on which this information was provided to the laboratory.





Analytical Report Number : 22-57758

Project / Site name: Phoenix Wharf, Port Talbot

Water matrix abbreviations:
Surface Water (SW) Potable Water (PW) Ground Water (GW) Process Waters (PrW) Final Sewage Effluent (FSE) Landfill Leachate (LL)

Analytical Test Name A	Analytical Method Description	Analytical Method Reference	Method number	Wet / Dry Analysis	Accreditation Status
------------------------	-------------------------------	-----------------------------	------------------	-----------------------	-------------------------

Information in Support of Analytical Results

List of HWOL Acronyms and Operators

Acronym	Descriptions
HS	Headspace Analysis
MS	Mass spectrometry
FID	Flame Ionisation Detector
GC	Gas Chromatography
EH	Extractable Hydrocarbons (i.e. everything extracted by the solvent(s))
CU	Clean-up - e.g. by Florisil®, silica gel
1D	GC - Single coil/column gas chromatography
2D	GC-GC - Double coil/column gas chromatography
Total	Aliphatics & Aromatics
AL	Aliphatics
AR	Aromatics
#1	EH_2D_Total but with humics mathematically subtracted
#2	EH_2D_Total but with fatty acids mathematically subtracted
_	Operator - understore to separate acronyms (exception for +)
+	Operator to indicate cumulative e.g. EH+HS_Total or EH_CU+HS_Total

Appendix D Geotechnical Laboratory Report


ob No.			Project	Name					L	Progr	ramme	
317	765		Phoenix	x Wha	rf, Port Talbot				Samples		28/04/2022 28/04/2022	
oject No.			Client			Schedule recei Project started						
21110	06.003		TEC						Testing St	arted	20/05/2022	
Hole No.		Sa	mple		Soil Description	NMC	Passing	LL	PL	PI	Remarks	
	Ref	Top m	Base m	Туре	Co.: 2 cost.:pao.:	%	425μm %	%	%	%	, terriainte	
BH1	-	2.20	4.20	D	Dark grey slightly gravelly sandy silty CLAY (gravel is fm and angular to sub- angular)	31	86	38	22	16		
BH1	-	6.80	7.00	D	Dark grey and occasional brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly silty CLAY (gravel is fm and sub-angular to rounded)	58	96	52	29	23		
BH2	-	1.00	1.10	D	Dark grey and occasional brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly silty CLAY (gravel is fm and sub-angular to rounded)	31	98	37	20	17		
BH2	-	4.80	4.90	D	Grey slightly mottled brown silty CLAY	22	100	41	22	19		
BH2	-	8.00	8.45	D	Grey and occasional brown slightly sandy silty CLAY	34	100	39	20	19		
ВНЗА	-	2.20	3.20	D	Grey and occasional brown slightly gravelly silty CLAY (gravel is fm and angular to sub-angular)	43	92	49	25	24		
внза	-	5.20	6.70		Grey mottled brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly silty CLAY (gravel is fm and angular to sub-angular)	32	95	38	19	19		
ВН3А	-	5.65	5.85	D	Grey and occasional brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly silty CLAY (gravel is fm and angular to sub- angular)	29	95	37	19	18		
ВН3А	-	6.70	8.20	D	Dark grey and occasional brown slightly sandy slightly gravelly silty CLAY (gravel is fm and angular to sub- angular)	34	94	40	20	20		
ВНЗА	-	8.20	9.50	D	Dark grey slightly sandy silty CLAY	40	100	40	22	18		
ВН3А	-	9.70	10.50	D	Dark grey and occasional brown sandy silty CLAY with rare fine gravel	41	99	39	23	16		
ВН3А	-	13.50	13.95	D	Greyish brown silty CLAY	34	100	43	23	20		
œ ·	Natura	al Moistu	re Conten	t : clau	se 3.2	Report by Init 8 Olds	Close Old	ls Appro	oach	•	Checked a	
(P4)	-	-	s: clause				d Herts W				Initials J	
							01923 71					
UKAS					eproduced except in full	Email: J	ames@k4	soils.co	m		Date: 26/05	
	- Natithou	it authorit	ty of the la	aborato	ry							

MSF-5-R1


Approved Signatories: K.Phaure (Tech.Mgr) J.Phaure (Lab.Mgr)

K	SOILS		Sum	nma	ry of Natural M	Moisture Co	ontent, l	_iquid	Limit	and Pl	astic l	_imit R	esults
Job No.			Project	Name						1	Prog	ramme	
317	65				f, Port Talbot					Samples r	eceived	28/0	4/2022
Project No.			Client							Schedule i Project sta			4/2022 4/2022
-													
211100	06.003		TEC							Testing St	arted	20/0	5/2022
Hole No.		Sar	mple		Soil Desc	ription	NMC	Passing	LL	PL	PI	Rei	marks
	Ref	Top m	Base m	Туре		•	%	425μm %	%	%	%		
BH4	-	7.00	7.20	D	Dark grey slightly mot sandy silty CLAY	ttled brown	22	100	24	14	10		
C [†] O					art 2: 1990:	Test	Report by	K4 SOILS	LABOR	ATORY		Chec	ked and
- (35)			e Content s: clause 4			ι	Jnit 8 Olds (Close Old Herts WI	ls Appro	ach		App	roved
- (≯≮) -					tems tested							Initials	J.P
UKAS					eproduced except in full		Tel: Email: Ja	01923 711 mes@k4s		n		Date:	26/05/2022
TESTING	Appro	it authorit oved Sigi	y of the la	เมษาสเดเ K.Phau	ry Ire (Tech.Mgr) J.Phaure	(Lab.Mgr)						MSI	F-5-R1

K	LIQUID LIMIT, I		IT AND PLASTICITY	Job No.	31765	
SOILS		INDEX		Borehole/Pit No.	BH1	
Site Name	Phoenix Wharf, Port Ta	albot		Sample No.	-	
Project No.	2111006.003	Client	TEC	Depth Top	2.20	m
				Depth Base	4.20	m
	Dank mass slimbble mass	- II : It OI	AV/manualia for and annulan	Sample Type	D	
Soil Description	Dark grey slightly grav	to sub-angula	AY (gravel is fm and angular	Samples received	28/04/2022	
		to sub-angula	11)	Schedules received	28/04/2022	
				Project Started	29/04/2022	
				Date Tested	20/05/2022	




NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT	31	%
% PASSING 425µm SIEVE	86	%
LIQUID LIMIT	38	%
PLASTIC LIMIT	22	%
PLASTICITY INDEX	16	%




(≯∢)	
UKAS	
TESTING	
2519	

HIESI METHOD	Officered and
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 4.3 : 1990 Determination of the liquid limit by the cone penetrometer method	Approved
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 5.0 : 1990: Determination of the plastic limit and plasticity index	
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 3.2 : 1990:Determination of the moisture content by the oven drying	Initials: J.P
Test Report by K4 SOILS LABORATORY Unit 8 Olds Close Olds Approach Watford Herts WD18 9RU	Date: 26/05/2022
Tel: 01923 711 288 Email: James@k4soils.com	
Approved Signatories: K Phaure (Tech Mgr) J Phaure (Lab Mgr)	MSE-5 R2

(4)	LIQUID LIMIT, PLASTIC LIMIT AND PLASTICITY INDEX		Job No.	31765		
SOILS			Borehole/Pit No.	BH1		
Site Name	Phoenix Wharf, Port Ta	albot		Sample No.	-	
Project No.	2111006.003	Client	TEC	Depth Top	6.80 m	
				Depth Base	7.00	m
	Dork grovend coosi	anal braum aliabth	v aandy aliabtly gravally ailty	Sample Type	D	
Soil Description			y sandy slightly gravelly silty ngular to rounded)	Samples received	28/04/2022	
	OLAT (grave	51 13 1111 and 3ub-a	rigular to rounded)	Schedules received	28/04/2022	
				Project Started	29/04/2022	
					20/05/2022	




NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT	58	%
% PASSING 425µm SIEVE	96	%
LIQUID LIMIT	52	%
PLASTIC LIMIT	29	%
PLASTICITY INDEX	23	%




ato	
(≯≮)	
UKAS	
TESTING	
2510	

, ,	
TEST METHOD	Checked and
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 4.3 : 1990 Determination of the liquid limit by the cone penetrometer method	Approved
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 5.0 : 1990: Determination of the plastic limit and plasticity index	
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 3.2 : 1990:Determination of the moisture content by the oven drying	Initials: J.P
Test Report by K4 SOILS LABORATORY Unit 8 Olds Close Olds Approach Watford Herts WD18 9RU	Date: 26/05/2022
Tel: 01923 711 288 Email: James@k4soils.com	
Approved Signatories: K Phaure (Tech Mgr.), I Phaure (Lah Mgr.)	MCE 5 D2

(K	LIQUID LIMIT, PLASTIC LIMIT AND PLASTICITY INDEX		Job No.	31765		
SULS			Borehole/Pit No.	BH2		
Site Name	Phoenix Wharf, Port Ta	Phoenix Wharf, Port Talbot		Sample No.	-	
Project No.	2111006.003	Client	TEC	Depth Top	1.00	m
				Depth Base	1.10	m
	Dark gray and access	anal braum aliabth	v aandy aliabtly gravally ailty	Sample Type	D	
Soil Description			y sandy slightly gravelly silty ngular to rounded)	Samples received 28/04/20		
	OLAT (grave	21 13 1111 and 3ub-a	rigular to rounded)	Schedules received	28/04/2022	
				Project Started	29/04/2022	
				Date Tested	20/05/2022	




NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT	31	%
% PASSING 425µm SIEVE	98	%
LIQUID LIMIT	37	%
PLASTIC LIMIT	20	%
PLASTICITY INDEX	17	%




de	
(≯≮)	
UKAS	
TESTING	
2510	

, ,	
TEST METHOD	Checked and
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 4.3 : 1990 Determination of the liquid limit by the cone penetrometer method	Approved
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 5.0 : 1990: Determination of the plastic limit and plasticity index	
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 3.2 : 1990:Determination of the moisture content by the oven drying	Initials: J.P
Test Report by K4 SOILS LABORATORY Unit 8 Olds Close Olds Approach Watford Herts WD18 9RU	Date: 26/05/2022
Tel: 01923 711 288 Email: James@k4soils.com	
Approved Signatories: K Phaure (Tech Mgr), I Phaure (Lah Mgr)	MSE 5 D2

(4)	LIQUID LIMIT, PLASTIC LIMIT AND PLASTICITY INDEX		Job No.	31765		
SOILS			Borehole/Pit No.	BH2		
Site Name	Phoenix Wharf, Port Ta	albot		Sample No.	-	
Project No.	2111006.003	Client	TEC	Depth Top	4.80 m	
				Depth Base	4.90	m
				Sample Type	D	
Soil Description	Grey sl	ightly mottled brow	wn silty CLAY	Samples received	28/04/2022	
				Schedules received	28/04/2022	
				Project Started	29/04/2022	
i [Date Tested	20/05/2022	




NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT	22	%
% PASSING 425µm SIEVE	100	%
LIQUID LIMIT	41	%
PLASTIC LIMIT	22	%
PLASTICITY INDEX	19	%



	da	
į	(≯ ∢)	
	UKAS	
	TESTING	
	2510	


TEST METHOD	Checked and
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 4.3 : 1990 Determination of the liquid limit by the cone penetrometer method	Approved
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 5.0 : 1990: Determination of the plastic limit and plasticity index	
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 3.2 : 1990:Determination of the moisture content by the oven drying	Initials: J.P
Test Report by K4 SOILS LABORATORY Unit 8 Olds Close Olds Approach Watford Herts WD18 9RU	Date: 26/05/2022
Tel: 01923 711 288 Email: James@k4soils.com	
Approved Signatories: K.Phaure (Tech.Mgr) J.Phaure (Lab.Mgr)	MSF-5 R2

(4)	LIQUID LIMIT, PLASTIC LIMIT AND PLASTICITY		Job No.	31765		
SOILS		INDEX		Borehole/Pit No.	BH2	
Site Name	Phoenix Wharf, Port Ta	albot		Sample No.	-	
Project No.	2111006.003	Client	TEC	Depth Top	8.00	m
				Depth Base	8.45	m
				Sample Type	D	
Soil Description	Grey and occa	ısional brown sligl	ntly sandy silty CLAY	Samples received	28/04/2022	
				Schedules received	28/04/2022	
				Project Started	29/04/2022	
			Date Tested	20/05/2022		



NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT	34	%
% PASSING 425µm SIEVE	100	%
LIQUID LIMIT	39	%
PLASTIC LIMIT	20	%
PLASTICITY INDEX	19	%

PLASTICITY INDEX



	(da)	
į	(≯∢)	Ш
	UKAS	
	TESTING	
	2510	

TEOT METHOD
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 4.3 : 1990 Determination of the liquid limit by the cone penetrometer method
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 5.0 : 1990: Determination of the plastic limit and plasticity index
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 3.2 : 1990:Determination of the moisture content by the oven drying
Test Report by K4 SOILS LABORATORY Unit 8 Olds Close Olds Approach Watford Herts WD18 9RU
Tel: 01923 711 288 Email: James@k4soils.com

Approved Signatories: K.Phaure (Tech.Mgr) J.Phaure (Lab.Mgr)

MSF-5 R2


Initials:

Checked and Approved


Date: 26/05/2022

J.P

(4)	LIQUID LIMIT, I	LIQUID LIMIT, PLASTIC LIMIT AND PLASTICITY		Job No.	31765	
SOILS		INDEX		Borehole/Pit No.	ВН3А	
Site Name	Phoenix Wharf, Port Ta	albot		Sample No.	-	
Project No.	2111006.003	Client	TEC	Depth Top	2.20 m	
				Depth Base	3.20	m
	Constraint and a secsion at the		called alless OI ANY (supercal in fina	Sample Type	D	
Soil Description		prown sligntly gray nd angular to sub-	velly silty CLAY (gravel is fm	Samples received	28/04/2022	
		id arigular to sub-	angular)	Schedules received	28/04/2022	
				Project Started	29/04/2022	
					20/05/2022	




NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT	43	%
% PASSING 425µm SIEVE	92	%
LIQUID LIMIT	49	%
PLASTIC LIMIT	25	%
PLASTICITY INDEX	24	%




	d	
ı	(≯≮)	
	UKAS	
	TESTING	
Г	2510	

I <u>I TEST METHOD</u>	Checked and
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 4.3 : 1990 Determination of the liquid limit by the cone penetrometer method	Approved
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 5.0 : 1990: Determination of the plastic limit and plasticity index	
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 3.2 : 1990:Determination of the moisture content by the oven drying	Initials: J.P
Test Report by K4 SOILS LABORATORY Unit 8 Olds Close Olds Approach Watford Herts WD18 9RU	Date: 26/05/2022
Tel: 01923 711 288 Email: James@k4soils.com	
Approved Signatories: K.Phaure (Tech.Mgr) J.Phaure (Lab.Mgr)	MSF-5 R2

K4	LIQUID LIMIT, PLASTIC LIMIT AND PLASTICITY		Job No.	31765		
Soils		INDEX		Borehole/Pit No.	ВН3А	
Site Name	Phoenix Wharf, Port Ta	Phoenix Wharf, Port Talbot		Sample No.	-	
Project No.	2111006.003	Client	TEC	Depth Top	5.20 m	
				Depth Base	6.70	m
	C	:	hlh. silh. Cl AV /l	Sample Type	D	
Soil Description		igntly sandy slight i and angular to st	ly gravelly silty CLAY (gravel	Samples received 28/04/20		
	13 111	and angular to st	ab-angular)	Schedules received	28/04/2022	
				Project Started	29/04/2022	
			Date Tested	20/05/2022		




NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT	32	%
% PASSING 425µm SIEVE	95	%
LIQUID LIMIT	38	%
PLASTIC LIMIT	19	%
PLASTICITY INDEX	19	%



	do	
	(≯≮)	
·	JKAS	
	TESTING	
	2510	


TEST METHOD	Checked and
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 4.3 : 1990 Determination of the liquid limit by the cone penetrometer method	Approved
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 5.0 : 1990: Determination of the plastic limit and plasticity index	
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 3.2 : 1990:Determination of the moisture content by the oven drying	Initials: J.P
Test Report by K4 SOILS LABORATORY Unit 8 Olds Close Olds Approach Watford Herts WD18 9RU	Date: 26/05/2022
Tel: 01923 711 288 Email: James@k4soils.com	
Approved Signatories: K Phaure (Tech Mgr), I Phaure (Lah Mgr)	MCE 5 D2

K4	LIQUID LIMIT, PLASTIC LIMIT AND PLASTICITY INDEX		Job No.	31765		
Soils			Borehole/Pit No.	ВН3А		
Site Name	Phoenix Wharf, Port Ta	albot		Sample No.	-	
Project No.	2111006.003	Client	TEC	Depth Top	5.65	m
			Depth Base	5.85	m	
	Construction of the		de aliantate anno esta alle a CLAV	Sample Type	D	
Soil Description		rown sligntly sand s fm and angular t	dy slightly gravelly silty CLAY	Samples received 28/04/2022 Schedules received 28/04/2022		
	(graver is	s iiii ailu ailgulai t	o sub-angular)			
				Project Started	29/04/2022	
			Date Tested	20/05/2022		



NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT	29	%
% PASSING 425µm SIEVE	95	%
LIQUID LIMIT	37	%
PLASTIC LIMIT	19	%
PLASTICITY INDEX	18	%

PLASTICITY INDEX




d	
(≯∢)	l
UKAS	
TESTING	
2519	

TEST METHOD	Checked and
BS1377: Part 2: Clause 4.3: 1990 Determination of the liquid limit by the cone penetrometer method	Approved
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 5.0 : 1990: Determination of the plastic limit and plasticity index	
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 3.2 : 1990:Determination of the moisture content by the oven drying	Initials: J.P
Test Report by K4 SOILS LABORATORY Unit 8 Olds Close Olds Approach Watford Herts WD18 9RU	Date: 26/05/2022
Tel: 01923 711 288 Email: James@k4soils.com	
Approved Signatorias: K. Dhaura (Took Mar.) Dhaura (Loh Mar.)	


Approved Signatories: K.Phaure (Tech.Mgr) J.Phaure (Lab.Mgr)

MSF-5 R2

(4)	LIQUID LIMIT, PLASTIC LIMIT AND PLASTICITY INDEX		Job No.	31765		
SOILS			Borehole/Pit No.	ВН3А		
Site Name	Phoenix Wharf, Port Ta	albot		Sample No.	-	
Project No.	2111006.003	Client	TEC	Depth Top	6.70	m
				Depth Base	8.20	m
	Dank many and a casa:			Sample Type	D	
Soil Description			y sandy slightly gravelly silty ar to sub-angular)	Samples received	28/04/2022	
	OLAT (glav	ci is iiii and angu	ar to sub-arigular)	Schedules received	28/04/2022	
				Project Started	29/04/2022	
				Date Tested	20/05/2022	




NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT	34	%
% PASSING 425µm SIEVE	94	%
LIQUID LIMIT	40	%
PLASTIC LIMIT	20	%
PLASTICITY INDEX	20	%




(da)	
(≯∢)	ш
UKAS	
TESTING	
2510	

, , ,	
TEST METHOD	Checked and
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 4.3 : 1990 Determination of the liquid limit by the cone penetrometer method	Approved
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 5.0 : 1990: Determination of the plastic limit and plasticity index	
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 3.2 : 1990:Determination of the moisture content by the oven drying	Initials: J.P
Test Report by K4 SOILS LABORATORY Unit 8 Olds Close Olds Approach Watford Herts WD18 9RU	Date: 26/05/2022
Tel: 01923 711 288 Email: James@k4soils.com	
Approved Signatories: K Phaure (Tech Mgr) J Phaure (Lab Mgr)	MSE-5 R2

(4)	LIQUID LIMIT, PLASTIC LIMIT AND PLASTICITY INDEX		Job No.	31765		
SOILS			Borehole/Pit No.	ВН3А		
Site Name	Phoenix Wharf, Port Ta	albot		Sample No.	-	
Project No.	2111006.003	Client	TEC	Depth Top	8.20	m
				Depth Base	9.50	m
				Sample Type	D	
Soil Description	Dark	grey slightly sand	y silty CLAY	Samples received	28/04/2022	
				Schedules received	28/04/2022	
				Project Started	29/04/2022	
				Date Tested	20/05/2022	




NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT	40	%
% PASSING 425µm SIEVE	100	%
LIQUID LIMIT	40	%
PLASTIC LIMIT	22	%
PLASTICITY INDEX	18	%




CL	
	ŀ
(≯≮)	
UKAS	
TESTING	
2519	

I E SI METHOD	Checked and
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 4.3 : 1990 Determination of the liquid limit by the cone penetrometer method	Approved
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 5.0 : 1990: Determination of the plastic limit and plasticity index	
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 3.2 : 1990:Determination of the moisture content by the oven drying	Initials: J.P
Test Report by K4 SOILS LABORATORY Unit 8 Olds Close Olds Approach Watford Herts WD18 9RU	Date: 26/05/2022
Tel: 01923 711 288 Email: James@k4soils.com	
Approved Signatories: K. Phaure (Tech.Mgr) J. Phaure (Lab.Mgr)	MSF-5 R2

(4)	LIQUID LIMIT, PLASTIC LIMIT AND PLASTICITY INDEX		Job No.	31765		
SOILS			Borehole/Pit No.	ВН3А		
Site Name	Phoenix Wharf, Port Ta	hoenix Wharf, Port Talbot		Sample No.	-	
Project No.	2111006.003	Client	TEC	Depth Top	9.70 m	
	Dark grey and occasional brown sandy silty CLAY with rare fine			Depth Base	10.50	m
				Sample Type	D	
Soil Description	Dark grey and occas	sionai brown sand gravel	by silty CLAY with rare line	Samples received	28/04/2022	
		gravei			28/04/2022	
					29/04/2022	
				Date Tested	20/05/2022	




NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT	41	%
% PASSING 425µm SIEVE	99	%
LIQUID LIMIT	39	%
PLASTIC LIMIT	23	%
PLASTICITY INDEX	16	%




(≯∢)	
UKAS	
TESTING	
2519	

HESI METHOD	Officered and
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 4.3 : 1990 Determination of the liquid limit by the cone penetrometer method	Approved
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 5.0 : 1990: Determination of the plastic limit and plasticity index	
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 3.2 : 1990:Determination of the moisture content by the oven drying	Initials: J.P
Test Report by K4 SOILS LABORATORY Unit 8 Olds Close Olds Approach Watford Herts WD18 9RU	Date: 26/05/2022
Tel: 01923 711 288 Email: James@k4soils.com	
Approved Signatories: K Phaure (Tech Mgr) J Phaure (Lab Mgr)	MSE-5 R2

(4)	LIQUID LIMIT, PLASTIC LIMIT AND PLASTICITY INDEX		Job No.	31765		
SOILS			Borehole/Pit No.	ВН3А		
Site Name	Phoenix Wharf, Port Ta	albot		Sample No.	-	
Project No.	2111006.003	Client	TEC	Depth Top	13.50	m
	Greyish brown silty CLAY			Depth Base	13.95	m
				Sample Type	D	
Soil Description				Samples received	28/04/2022	
			Schedules received	28/04/2022		
					29/04/2022	
					20/05/2022	




NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT	34	%
% PASSING 425µm SIEVE	100	%
LIQUID LIMIT	43	%
PLASTIC LIMIT	23	%
PLASTICITY INDEX	20	%




de	
(≯≮)	
UKAS	
TESTING	
2510	

, ,	
TEST METHOD	Checked and
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 4.3 : 1990 Determination of the liquid limit by the cone penetrometer method	Approved
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 5.0 : 1990: Determination of the plastic limit and plasticity index	!
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 3.2 : 1990:Determination of the moisture content by the oven drying	Initials: J.P
Test Report by K4 SOILS LABORATORY Unit 8 Olds Close Olds Approach Watford Herts WD18 9RU	Date: 26/05/2022
Tel: 01923 711 288 Email: James@k4soils.com	
Approved Signatories: K Phaure (Tech Mgr), I Phaure (Lab Mgr)	MSE 5 D2

(4)	LIQUID LIMIT, PLASTIC LIMIT AND PLASTICITY INDEX		Job No.	31765		
SOILS			Borehole/Pit No.	BH4		
Site Name	Phoenix Wharf, Port Ta	albot		Sample No.	-	
Project No.	2111006.003	Client	TEC	Depth Top	7.00	m
	Dark grey slightly mottled brown sandy silty CLAY			Depth Base	7.20	m
				Sample Type	D	
Soil Description				Samples received	28/04/2022	
				Schedules received	28/04/2022	
			Project Started	29/04/2022		
					20/05/2022	



NATURAL MOISTURE CONTENT	22	%
% PASSING 425µm SIEVE	100	%
LIQUID LIMIT	24	%
PLASTIC LIMIT	14	%
PLASTICITY INDEX	10	%



da	
	E
(≯≮)	Ш
	E
UKAS	
TESTING	
2510	_

IEST METHOD						
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 4.3 : 1990 Determination of the liquid limit by the cone penetrometer method						
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 5.0 : 1990: Determination of the plastic limit and plasticity index						
BS1377: Part 2 :Clause 3.2 : 1990:Determination of the moisture content by the oven drying	Initials: J.P					
Test Report by K4 SOILS LABORATORY Unit 8 Olds Close Olds Approach Watford Herts WD18 9RU	Date: 26/05/2022					
Tel: 01923 711 288 Email: James@k4soils.com						
Approved Signatories: K.Phaure (Tech.Mgr) J.Phaure (Lab.Mgr)	MSF-5 R2					

Unconsolidated Undrained Triaxial Compression tests without measurement of pore pressure **Summary of Results**

Tests carried out in accordance with BS1377:Part 7: 1990 clause 8 or 9 as appropriate to test

			Tes	ts ca	arried out in accordan	ce w	ith B	S1377	:Par	t 7 : 1	990 c	laus	e 8 o	r 9 a	s ap	pro	priate to test
Job No.						ect Na										ograr	nme
31765			Phoeni	x Wh	arf, Port Talbot	Samples received							28/04/2022				
														edule i		28/04/2022	
Project N			Client	-								29/04/2022					
2111006.		TEC	TEC								Testing Started				13/05/2022		
Sa		mple			Test	Dei	nsity			<u> </u>		At failure					
Hole No.	Ref	Тор	Base	Туре	Soil Description	Туре	bulk	dry	W	Length	Diamete	σ3	Axial strain	σ1 - σί	cu	M 0	Remarks
		m	m	*			Ma	/m3	%	mm	mm	kPa	%	kPa	kPa	d e	
BH1	-	6.40	6.80	U	Extremely low strength black clayey amorphous PEAT	UUM	1.63	1.02	59	198	103	38 75 150	17.2 18.7 19.7	14 14 14	7 7 7	С	
BH2	-	11.00	11.60	U	Liquid black slightly sandy carbonaceous peaty silty CLAY becoming @ 11.35 m low strength dark grey slightly sandy carbonaceous peaty silty CLAY with	UUM	1.17	0.46	155	76	38	75 150 300	17.9 19.5 21.1	44 48 50	22 24 25	С	
внза	-	5.20	5.65	U	Very low strength slightly sandy slightly gravelly slightly peaty organic silty CLAY (gravel is fine calcareous fragments)	UUM	1.90	1.37	38	198	102	38 75 150	17.2 18.7 20.2	27 28 28	13 14 14	С	
внза	-	10.50	10.95	U	Very low strength spongy clayey pseudo-fibreous PEAT with occasional decayed wood fragments	UUM	1.33	0.61	119	198	103	75 150 300	17.2 18.7 20.2	36 38 42	18 19 21	С	
Legend	UU -	single st	age test	(single	e and multiple specimens)	σ3	Cell	oressure	•			Mode	of failur	e ;	B - E	Brittle	<u> </u>


 $\sigma 1$ - $\sigma 3$ $\,$ Maximum corrected deviator stress

P - Plastic

UUM - Multistage test on a single specimen suffix R - remoulded or recompacted

cu Undrained shear strength, $\frac{1}{2}$ (σ 1 - σ 3)

C - Compound



Test Report by K4 SOILS LABORATORY Unit 8 Olds Close Olds Approach Watford Herts WD18 9RU Tel: 01923 711 288 Email: James@k4soils.com

Checked and Approved

ese results only apply to the items tested. The report shall not be reproduced except in full without authority of the laboratory

Initials: J.P 26/05/2022 Date:

MSF-5-R7b